



# TEXAS HIGHER EDUCATION COORDINATING BOARD

P.O. Box 12788 Austin, Texas 78711

## MEMORANDUM

TO: Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on Towards EXcellence, Access and Success  
(TEXAS) Grant

FROM: Mary E. Smith, Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator

DATE: March 9, 2018

RE: Appointment of Members to Negotiated Rulemaking Committee

Robert W. Jenkins  
CHAIR

Stuart W. Stedman  
VICE CHAIR

John T. Steen, Jr.  
SECRETARY OF THE BOARD

Andrias R. "Annie" Jones  
STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE

Arcilia C. Acosta  
S. Javaid Anwar  
Fred Farias III, O.D.  
Ricky A. Raven  
Janelle Shepard

Raymund A. Paredes  
COMMISSIONER  
OF HIGHER EDUCATION

512/427-6101  
Fax 512/427-6127

Web site:  
<http://www.thecb.state.tx.us>

Texas Education Code 61.0331 directs the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board ("THECB" or "Board") to employ the negotiated rulemaking process described in Chapter 2008 of the Texas Government Code when adopting a policy, procedure, or rule relating to the allocation or distribution of funds, including financial aid or other trusteed funds under Section 61.07761 of the Texas Education Code.

On February 14, the THECB submitted a Notice of Intent (NOI) to engage in negotiated rulemaking to the *Texas Register*. This NOI included a list of stakeholders and proposed membership for the negotiated rulemaking committee. The NOI was published on February 23 for ten days with a public comment deadline of March 4. The THECB received a request from Robert Spina, Dean, College of Education and Human Development, at Lamar University, that he be replaced on the negotiated rulemaking committee with Katrina Brent, Assistant Provost for Enrollment Management, at Lamar University. This request was granted.

In accordance with Texas Government Code, Section 2008.54 (Negotiated Rulemaking Act, 1997), I hereby appoint the following 13 individuals to serve as committee members to represent affected parties and the THECB on the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on TEXAS Grant:

### Public Universities

Billy Satterfield, Executive Director, Financial Aid/Registrar, University of Houston-Clear Lake  
(University of Houston System)

Dede Gonzales, Associate Director, Financial Aid & Scholarships, Texas State University (Texas State University System)

Delisa Falks, Assistant Vice President, Financial Aid & Scholarships, Texas A&M University (Texas A&M University System)

Diane Todd-Sprague, Director, Financial Aid, The University of Texas at Austin (The University of Texas System)

Javier Flores, Vice President, Student Affairs and Enrollment Management, Angelo State University (Texas Tech University System)

Katrina Brent, Assistant Provost, Enrollment Management, Lamar University (Texas State University System)

LaTasha Goudeau, Director, Financial Aid, University of Houston-Downtown (University of Houston System)

Laura Elizondo, Director, Financial Aid, Texas A&M International University (Texas A&M University System)

Lisa Blazer, Senior Associate Vice President, Student Affairs, The University of Texas at San Antonio (The University of Texas System)

Tammy Mitchum, Assistant Director, Student Financial Aid, Stephen F. Austin State University

Zelma DeLeon, Executive Director, Student Financial Aid & Scholarships, University of North Texas (University of North Texas System)

### Public Health-Related Institutions

Araceli Alvarez, Director, Student Financial Services, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston (The University of Texas System)

### Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Charles Puls, Deputy Assistant Commissioner, Student Financial Aid Programs



Texas Higher Education  
Coordinating Board

1200 East Anderson Lane, Austin, Texas 78752

**Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on  
Towards EXcellence, Access and Success (TEXAS) Grant**

April 18, 2018  
9:30a – 4:30p  
Lonestar Room

---

**MEETING MATERIALS**

Materials include the following:

- I. Meeting Agenda
- II. Texas Education Code 61.0331 (Relevant Provisions)
- III. THECB Rules Relating to Negotiated Rulemaking
- IV. Ground Rules for Negotiated Rulemaking
- V. Convener's Report
- VI. Notice of Intent Filed with the *Texas Register*
- VII. Facilitator's Bio
- VIII. THECB General Information



Texas Higher Education  
Coordinating Board

1200 East Anderson Lane, Austin, Texas 78752

**Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on  
Towards EXcellence, Access and Success (TEXAS) Grant**

April 18, 2018  
9:30a – 4:30p  
Lonestar Room

---

**AGENDA**

- I. Introductions
- II. Brief Overview of the Negotiated Rulemaking Process: What it is, What it's not
- III. Brief Overview of Roles and Responsibilities
  - A. Role of Facilitator
  - B. Role of Sponsor Agency
    - Technical and administrative support
  - C. Role of Committee Members
    - Representative role
    - Commitment to negotiate in good faith
- IV. Consideration of Facilitator
- V. Procedural Issues
  - A. Discussion and Consideration of Ground Rules
  - B. Discussion and Consideration of Definition of Consensus
- VI. Discussion of Draft Allocation Methodology for TEXAS Grant Program
- VII. Consideration of Proposed Allocation Methodology for TEXAS Grant Program

## Texas Education Code Applicable Provisions Negotiated Rulemaking for Financial Aid and Trusteed Funds

SECTION 29. Subchapter B, Chapter 61, Education Code, is amended by adding Section 61.0331 to read as follows:

**Sec. 61.0331. NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING REQUIRED.** The board shall engage institutions of higher education in a negotiated rulemaking process as described by Chapter 2008, Government Code, when adopting a policy, procedure, or rule relating to:

(1) an admission policy regarding the common admission application under Section 51.762, a uniform admission policy under Section 51.807, graduate and professional admissions under Section 51.843, or the transfer of credit under Section 61.827;

(2) the allocation or distribution of funds, including financial aid or other trusteed funds under Section 61.07761;

(3) the reevaluation of data requests under Section 51.406; or

(4) compliance monitoring under Section 61.035.

SECTION 46. Subchapter C, Chapter 61, Education Code, is amended by adding Section 61.07761 to read as follows:

**Sec. 61.07761. FINANCIAL AID AND OTHER TRUSTEED FUNDS ALLOCATION.** (a) For any funds trusteed to the board for allocation to institutions of higher education and private or independent institutions of higher education, including financial aid program funds, the board by rule shall:

(1) establish and publish the allocation methodologies; and

(2) develop procedures to verify the accuracy of the application of those allocation methodologies by board staff.

(b) The board shall consult with affected stakeholders before adopting rules under this section.

## **THECB Adopted Rules Related to Negotiated Rulemaking**

### CHAPTER 1. AGENCY ADMINISTRATION SUBCHAPTER A. GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### Section

- 1.1. Dates for Regular Quarterly Meetings of the Board
- 1.2. Authority of the Commissioner to Interpret Rules
- 1.3. Educational Data
- 1.4. Rules of Order
- 1.5. Coordinating Board Committees
- 1.6. Advisory Committees
- 1.7. Petition for the Adoption of Rules
- 1.8. Historically Underutilized Business (HUBs) Program
- 1.9. Training for Members of Governing Boards and Board Trustees
- 1.10. Administration of the Open Records Act
- 1.11. Protest Procedures for Resolving Vendor Protests Relating to Purchasing Issues
- 1.12. Foreign Travel
- 1.13. Internal Auditor
- 1.14. Negotiated Rulemaking
- 1.15. Authority of the Commissioner to Propose Board Rules
- 1.16. Contracts for Materials and Services
- 1.17. Authority of the Commissioner to Provide Direct Supervision of the Education Research Centers
- 1.18. Operation of Education Research Centers
- 1.19. Education and Training of Board Administrators and Employees

1.1 – 1.13 (No change.)

#### 1.14. Negotiated Rulemaking

(a) Definitions. The following words and terms, when used in this rule, shall have the following meaning:

(1) Alternative Dispute Resolution coordinator – An agency employee appointed under Chapter 1, Subchapter B, Rule 1.22.

(2) Board or agency– Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

(3) Commissioner – The Commissioner of Higher Education

(4) Consensus – The negotiated rulemaking committee has reached consensus on a matter only if the agreement is unanimous, unless the committee has unanimously agreed to define consensus in another manner. The absence or silence of a member at the time the final consensus vote is taken is equivalent to agreement. If consensus is achieved, negotiated rulemaking committee members may not thereafter withdraw their agreement.

(5) Institutions of higher education – As defined in Texas Education Code Section 61.003

(6) Private or independent institutions of higher education – As defined in Texas Education Code Section 61.003

(b) If the Assistant Commissioner whose Division has jurisdiction over the subject matter of the rule or rules to be adopted concludes that the agency may benefit from negotiated rulemaking, he or she shall request that the agency's Alternative Dispute Resolution (ADR) coordinator assist in determining

whether it is advisable to proceed under the procedures established in Chapter 2008 of the Texas Government Code.

(1) Scope and Purpose. This rule also implements Texas Education Code Sections 61.0331, 61.0572, 61.058, and 61.07761 which require the Board to engage in negotiated rulemaking with institutions of higher education in accordance with the procedures established in Texas Government Code Chapter 2008 when adopting a policy, procedure, or rule relating to:

(2) the transfer of credit under Texas Education Code Section 61.827 or admission policies regarding:

(A) the common admission application under Texas Education Code Section 51.762;

(B) uniform admissions under Texas Education Code Section 51.807; or

(C) graduate and professional admissions under Texas Education Code 51.843; or

(3) the reevaluation of data requests under Texas Education Code Section 51.406;

(4) compliance monitoring under Texas Education Code Section 61.035;

(5) the standards for cost, efficiency, space need, and space use under Texas Education Code Sections 61.0572 and 61.058 in regards to:

(A) new construction, rehabilitation, repair of buildings and facilities at institutions of higher education; and

(B) the purchases of improved real property added to institutions of higher education's educational and general buildings and facilities inventory; or

(6) the allocation (including the allocation methodologies and related procedures) or distribution of funds, including financial aid or other trusteed funds under Texas Education Code Section 61.07761, to institutions of higher education and private or independent institutions of higher education. For rulemaking on this issue, the Board shall engage in negotiated rulemaking with both institutions of higher education and private or independent institutions of higher education, as applicable.

(A) With the exception of sections 1.14(a), (b), and (d)(3)-(4), this rule and the procedures set forth herein apply only to those matters, as set forth in (b-1), in which the Board is required to engage in negotiated rulemaking.

(B) In matters other than those addressed in (b-1), the Board retains the right to engage in negotiated rulemaking in accordance with the procedures established in the Texas Government Code, Chapter 2008.

(c) Appointment of Convener. The ADR coordinator will appoint an agency employee to serve as the convener to assist in negotiated rulemaking. The convener may not have a financial or other interest in the outcome of the rulemaking process that would interfere with the person's impartial and unbiased service as the convener.

(d) Duties of Convener. (1) The convener will assist the ADR coordinator in identifying institutions of higher education (and private or independent institutions when rulemaking under 1.14(a)(5) is considered) and other stakeholders (such as students, state agencies, and accreditors) who are likely to be affected by the proposed rule(s), including identifying institutions and other stakeholders who may oppose the issuance of rule(s). The convener will discuss with institution representatives and

other stakeholders whether they are willing to participate in negotiated rulemaking, which issues a negotiated rulemaking committee should address, and whether there are other institutions or persons the convener needs to identify who may be affected by the proposed rule(s).

(2) Where the Board is required to engage in negotiated rulemaking, the convener shall report to the ADR coordinator the outcome of the above discussions.

(3) Where the Board is not required to engage in negotiated rulemaking, the convener shall report to the ADR coordinator on the relevant considerations regarding negotiated rulemaking, including, but not limited to:

(i) the number of identifiable interests that would be significantly affected by the proposed rule(s),

(ii) the probable willingness and authority of the representatives of affected interests to negotiate in good faith,

(iii) the probability that a negotiated rulemaking committee would reach a unanimous or a suitable general consensus on the proposed rule(s),

(iv) the adequacy of Board, institution, and citizen resources to participate in negotiated rulemaking, and

(v) the probability that the negotiated rulemaking committee will provide a balanced representation between affected stakeholder interests.

(4) Where the Board is not required to engage in negotiated rulemaking, the convener shall also recommend to the ADR coordinator whether negotiated rulemaking is appropriate.

(5) The report and recommendations of a convener are public information and available on request to any member of the public.

(e) Publishing Notice of Proposed Negotiated Rulemaking. To initiate negotiated rulemaking, the Commissioner will publish a notice of intent to establish a negotiated rulemaking committee to prepare proposed rules. Such notice will be published both in the *Texas Register* and on the Board's website. The ADR coordinator will consider all comments received by the close of the comment period pursuant to the notice of intent. The notice of intent will include:

(1) a statement that the Board intends to engage in negotiated rulemaking;

(2) a description of the subject and scope of the rule(s) to be developed;

(3) a description of the known issues to be considered in developing the rule(s);

(4) a list of the interests likely to be affected by the proposed rule(s);

(5) a list of the individuals the ADR coordinator proposes to appoint to the negotiated rulemaking committee to represent the Board and affected interests (each committee will include at least one agency staff representative);

(6) a request for comments on the proposal to engage in negotiated rulemaking, including a description of the issues the commenter believes will need to be addressed in developing the rule(s), as well as on the proposed membership of the negotiated rulemaking committee; and

(7) a description of the procedure through which an institution or person who will be significantly affected by the proposed rule(s) may, before the ADR coordinator appoints members to the negotiated

rulemaking committee, apply for membership on the committee or nominate another to represent the institution's or person's interests on the committee (before nominating an individual to the committee, the nominator should confirm that the potential nominee can and will make the necessary time commitment to the negotiations).

(f) Appointment of Negotiated Rulemaking Committee Members. After considering comments and nominations received in response to the notice of proposed negotiated rulemaking, the ADR coordinator will appoint members to a negotiated rulemaking committee to serve until the proposed rule(s) (if any) is adopted by the Board. The ADR coordinator will appoint members to the committee with a goal of providing adequate and balanced representation for the affected interests while keeping the size of the committee manageable. The ADR coordinator shall select individuals with demonstrated expertise or experience in the relevant matters under negotiations and who reflect the diversity of the identifiable interests which could be significantly affected by the proposed rule(s). An individual selected to serve on the committee will be expected to represent the interests of his or her entity, organization or group, and participate in the negotiations in a manner consistent with the goal of developing proposed rules on which the committee will reach consensus.

(g) Costs of Participating in Negotiated Rulemaking.

(1) The Board will provide appropriate administrative support to the negotiated rulemaking committee. Except as provided below, a member of a negotiated rulemaking committee is responsible for the member's own costs in serving on the committee. However, if:

(A) The member certifies that he or she (or the entity, organization or group which the member represents) lacks sufficient financial resources to participate as a member of the committee and provides any requested proof of same; and

(B) The ADR coordinator determines that the member's service on the committee is necessary for the adequate representation of an affected interest,

(C) then, the Board may pay a member's reasonable travel and per diem costs related to the member's service on the committee at the rate set in the General Appropriations Act for state employees.

(2) The costs of the negotiated rulemaking facilitator described in subsection (h) shall be borne equally, on a pro rata basis, by all entities represented on the negotiated rulemaking committee, unless the negotiated rulemaking committee unanimously agrees to a different cost allocation; or the facilitator is an employee of the Board, in which event the costs of the facilitator shall be borne by the Board.

(h) Appointment of Negotiated Rulemaking Facilitator. The ADR coordinator will appoint a negotiated rulemaking facilitator who will utilize alternative dispute resolution skills to attempt to arrive at a consensus on a proposed rule(s). The ADR coordinator may appoint a Board employee or contract with another state employee or private individual to serve as the facilitator. The ADR coordinator's appointment of the facilitator is subject to the approval of the negotiated rulemaking committee and the facilitator serves at the will of the committee. The ADR coordinator will appoint the facilitator utilizing, among other things, the following criteria:

(1) The facilitator must possess the qualifications required for an impartial third party under Civil Practice and Remedies Code Section 154.052(a) and (b);

(2) The facilitator is subject to the standards and duties prescribed by Civil Practice and Remedies Code Sections 154.053(a) and (b) and has the qualified immunity prescribed by Civil Practice and Remedies Code Section 154.055, if applicable;



(3)The facilitator will not be the person designated to represent the Board on the negotiated rulemaking committee on substantive issues related to the rulemaking; and

(4)The facilitator will not have a financial or other interest in the outcome of the rulemaking process that would interfere with the person's impartial and unbiased service as the facilitator.

(i) Duties of Negotiated Rulemaking Committee and Facilitator. The facilitator will preside over meetings of the negotiated rulemaking committee and assist the members of the committee to establish procedures for conducting negotiations and will utilize alternative dispute resolution skills to encourage a consensus on the proposed rule(s). The facilitator may not, however, compel or coerce the members to reach a consensus.

(j) Consensus and the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee's Report. If the negotiated rulemaking committee reaches a consensus, the committee will draft and send a report to the Board that contains the text of the proposed rule(s). If the committee determines that only a partial agreement on a proposed rule(s) has been reached, the committee will draft and send a report to the Board that describes the partial agreement achieved, lists the unresolved substantive issues, and includes any other information or recommendations of the committee. The committee's report is public information. If consensus is not achieved, the Board shall determine whether to proceed with proposed rule(s). If the Board decides to proceed with proposed rule(s), the Board may use language developed during the negotiations or develop new language for all or a portion of the proposed rule(s).

(k) Proposed Rulemaking under the APA. If the Board decides to proceed with rulemaking after receipt of the negotiated rulemaking committee's report, the Board shall initiate rulemaking under the regular Administrative Procedures Act (APA) procedures, as prescribed in Texas Government Code Chapter 2001, Subchapter B. In addition to the APA's requirements regarding the contents of notice of proposed rulemaking, the notice will also state that:

(1)the Board used negotiated rulemaking in developing the proposed rule, and

(2)the negotiated rulemaking committee report is public information and the report's location at which it will be available to the public.

All published proposed rules will conform to the agreements resulting from consensus, if any, achieved through negotiated rulemaking (as reflected in the negotiated rulemaking committee's report).

(l) Confidentiality of Certain Records and Communications. Civil Practice and Remedies Code (CPRC) Sections 154.053 and 154.073 apply to the communications, records, conduct, and demeanor of the facilitator and the members of the negotiated rulemaking committee as if the negotiated rulemaking were a dispute being resolved in accordance with CPRC Chapter 154. In the negotiated rulemaking context, the Texas Office of the Attorney General, subject to review by a Travis County district court, decides in accordance with CPRC Section 154.073(d) whether a communication or material subject to Section 154.073(d) is confidential, excepted from required disclosure, or subject to required disclosure. Notwithstanding CPRC Section 154.073(e):

(1) a private communication and a record of a private communication between a facilitator and a member or members of the committee are confidential and may not be disclosed unless the member or members of the committee, as appropriate, consent to the disclosure; and

(2) the notes of a facilitator are confidential except to the extent that the notes consist of a record of a communication with a member of the committee who has consented to disclosure in accordance with subdivision (1).

(m) The Board hereby delegates to the Commissioner the responsibilities and authority set forth in this section.

## **Ground Rules For Negotiated Rulemaking**

### **I. GOAL**

To reach consensus on the language of a proposed rule

### **II. REACHING DECISIONS**

- A. Use of Consensus. Negotiations will be conducted with the intent of reaching a consensus decision.
- B. Consensus. Unless the Committee members agree unanimously to another definition at the outset of the process:

*Consensus means that all Committee members concur in the decision because their major interests have been taken into consideration and addressed in a satisfactory manner. While committee members may differ in their acceptance of individual terms of the agreement, all committee members can support the final agreement given the trade-offs and current circumstances.*

Committee members can reach consensus without embracing each element of the agreement with the same fervor as other members. Some parties may strongly endorse a particular solution while others may accept it as a workable agreement.

### **III. AGREEMENT**

- A. Final Product/Proposed Rule. The Committee intends for its final work product to be the text of a proposed rule. If the Committee reaches consensus on a proposed rule, the agency will accept the proposed rule as its draft with the recommendation that it be published in the Texas Register as drafted.
- B. Failure to Reach Consensus. If the Committee is unable to reach consensus on a proposed rule, then the Committee will draft a report that specifies the issues on which consensus was reached, the issues that remain unsolved, and any other information that the Committee considers important.
- C. Support of Agreement. The Committee members agree not to take any action to inhibit the adoption or implementation of a rule that conforms to the consensus proposal of the Committee. Furthermore, members agree to advocate for the consensus rule to their membership and to other policy makers both during and after the negotiated rulemaking process. If a member fails to keep this agreement, all other members agree to submit comments to the agency, any other relevant state officials, government bodies, or Courts, stating that:
1. All members concurred in the proposed rule; and
  2. All members supported approval of the final rule that conforms to the consensus proposal of the Committee.

### **IV. COMMITTEE MEETINGS**

- A. Meeting Attendance
1. The same Committee members listed at the end of this document need be present at each full meeting of the Committee.
  2. Scheduled meetings will proceed even if some members are absent.

3. Absent members are responsible for updating themselves in the proceedings of missed meetings.
4. After the negotiations have begun, additional members may join the Committee only with the concurrence of the Committee.

**B. During the Meetings**

1. Meetings will be open to the public. However, participation in negotiations will be limited to Committee members and invited experts.
2. Visitors are requested to respect the process and abide by these ground rules. This request will be stated at the beginning of each meeting.
3. Visitors who wish to comment during the negotiations may do so through the following avenues:
  - a. Channel comments through one of the Committee members;
  - b. Submit written comments to the Committee members; or
  - c. Submit comments through the website, if available.Further, a Committee member may invite a member of the audience to speak, as that member sees fit. Initial comments should be limited to three minutes and may be extended at the Committee's request.
4. The proceedings of the Committee will not be electronically recorded, but the facilitator may prepare draft summaries of the meetings for the convenience of the members. Such summaries shall not be approved by the Committee, and they are not to be construed to represent the official position of the Committee or any member on what transpired at a Committee meeting. Summaries will note issues discussed, any outcome to discussions, requests for data, and any other action items.

**C. Caucuses**

1. The facilitator may at any time request a confidential caucus with specific members or groups of members to attempt resolution of a specific issue.
2. Any member may request a caucus at any time to consult with other members, but such caucuses are to be used sparingly. The caucusing members will be asked to move into the hallway or another meeting area to conduct the caucus. The length of caucuses will be determined at the discretion of the facilitator who may serve as a mediator during such caucuses.

- D. Stakeholder Representatives.** Individual members acknowledge that they have been named to the Committee as the representative of all others in their stakeholder class, and not just themselves. To this end, the members pledge to communicate with other members of their organization or stakeholder class to ensure that the deliberations reflect the viewpoints of the stakeholder class as a whole.

**V. NEGOTIATING**

- A. All members agree to act in good faith in all aspects of these negotiations. Members agree to speak openly and commit to addressing each other's concerns and needs. Members may not use other members' specific offers, positions, or statements made during the negotiations for any purpose outside the negotiation.
- B. All members commit to share relevant information, which if excluded, would damage the credibility or outcome of the consensus. Members will make every effort to provide requested information reasonably in advance of scheduled meetings.
- C. All members will endeavor to tailor their statements during meetings to ensure the opportunity for all members to participate fully on issues in which they have an interest. Members agree

to speak one at a time and allow each other a reasonable opportunity for uninterrupted comments. All members will refrain from personal attacks.

- D. Any Committee member may withdraw from the negotiations at any time without prejudice. The remaining Committee members will then decide whether to continue the negotiations.

## **VI. COMMUNICATIONS**

- A. When communicating with the press, Committee members agree to limit their statements to expressions of their own interests. Inquiries from the press may be referred to the facilitators. If the Committee decides to issue a press statement, the Committee will agree on the language of the press statement.
- B. In all communications outside of the Committee, including those to the press, members may give information concerning issues raised and actions taken but agree to refrain from attributing views or positions expressed in a non-public setting and identified as confidential to a particular group or individual, even if that party withdraws from negotiations.

To: Mary E. Smith, Ph.D., Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator  
From: Laurie A. Frederick, Convener  
Date: February 13, 2018  
Re: Towards EXcellence, Access and Success Grant

Texas Education Code 61.0331 contains provisions requiring the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (“THECB” or “Board”) to engage in negotiated rulemaking in certain instances. Among the instances that require negotiated rulemaking is the Towards EXcellence, Access and Success (TEXAS) Grant. The requirement is found in the Texas Education Code, Chapter 61, which states in relevant part:

*Sec. 61.0331. NEGOTIATED RULEMAKING REQUIRED. The board shall engage institutions of higher education in a negotiated rulemaking process as described by Chapter 2008, Government Code, when adopting a policy, procedure, or rule relating to:*

*[...]*

*(2) the allocation or distribution of funds, including financial aid or other trusteed funds under Section 61.07761.*

Section 61.07761 provides, in pertinent part, as follows:

*Sec. 61.07761. FINANCIAL AID AND OTHER TRUSTEED FUNDS ALLOCATION.*

*(a) For any funds trusteed to the board for allocation to institutions of higher education and private or independent institutions of higher education, including financial aid program funds, the board by rule shall:*

- (1) establish and publish the allocation methodologies; and*
- (2) develop procedures to verify the accuracy of the application of those allocation methodologies by board staff.*

*(b) For purposes of this section, student financial assistance includes grants, scholarships, loans, and work-study.*

The procedure for negotiated rulemaking is found in Texas Government Code Chapter 2008, which contains requirements for notice and the duties of those involved. The convener is charged with responsibility for:

1. Assisting the agency in determining whether it is advisable to proceed with negotiated rulemaking;
2. Assisting in the identification of persons likely affected by the proposed rule;
3. Recommending to the agency whether negotiated rulemaking is feasible as a means to develop the proposed rule; and
4. Reporting to the agency on the relevant considerations.

In determining whether it is advisable to proceed with negotiated rulemaking, the agency need only refer to the enacted legislation, above, requiring negotiated rulemaking. It is recommended that negotiated rulemaking proceed.

In identifying persons likely affected by the proposed rule, a memo was sent via email to all chancellors and presidents at Texas public universities and health-related institutions soliciting their interest and willingness to participate in the negotiated rulemaking process, or to nominate a representative from their institution (see Attachment 1). From this effort, 14 individuals (see Attachment 2) volunteered or were

nominated to participate on the TEXAS Grant negotiated rulemaking committee. This presents a good probability that the identified interests will be adequately represented.

The positions held by the volunteers and nominees include financial aid directors, vice presidents, deans, and a deputy assistant commissioner. This indicates a probable willingness and authority of the affected interests to negotiate in good faith and a reasonable probability that a negotiated rulemaking process can result in a unanimous or, if the committee so chooses, a suitable general consensus on the proposed rule.

Given the requirement of negotiated rulemaking, doing so will not unreasonably delay notice and eventual adoption of the proposed rule. Similarly, the adequacy of agency resources to participate and the willingness of the agency to accept the consensus of the negotiated rulemaking committee as the basis for the proposed rule is also compulsory in accordance with law.

The probability that the negotiated rulemaking committee will provide a balanced representation between public and regulated interests is also good. As mentioned, memos were sent to all affected institutions and we have received nominations of 14 individuals for consideration to the negotiated rulemaking committee from throughout the state. The stakeholders who could be significantly affected by this rule and should be represented on this committee are:

1. Texas Public Universities;
2. Texas Public Health-Related Institutions; and
3. Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board.

The volunteers and nominees represent various institutional types and sizes, and geographic locations throughout the state.

With the above considerations, it is recommended that the THECB move forward with negotiated rulemaking. It is also recommended that a notice be posted for 10 days in the *Texas Register* for public comment in accordance with section 2008.053 of the Texas Government Code.



Texas Higher Education  
Coordinating Board



To: Chancellors and Presidents of Texas Public Universities and Health-Related Institutions

From: Laurie A. Frederick, Convener, Negotiated Rulemaking

Re: Nominations for the Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on Toward EXcellence, Access and Success (TEXAS) Grant

To provide institutions with greater flexibility in administering their state financial aid funds, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) would like to propose the elimination of reallocations and institute biennial, rather than annual, allocations. To do so would require a modification to the TEXAS Grant allocation methodology.

Texas Education Code, Section 61.0331 directs the THECB to employ the negotiated rulemaking process described in Chapter 2008 of the Texas Government Code when adopting rules relating to financial aid allocation methodologies. Enclosed are the statutory provisions relating to negotiated rulemaking. Pursuant to Texas Government Code, Section 2008.052 (Negotiated Rulemaking Act), I have been appointed to serve as the Convener for the negotiated rulemaking process.

The purpose of this memo is to solicit your willingness to participate on the **Negotiated Rulemaking Committee on TEXAS Grant** or to nominate a designee from your institution for potential selection by the THECB's Alternative Dispute Resolution Coordinator. Please respond to me via email at [Laurie.Frederick@thecb.state.tx.us](mailto:Laurie.Frederick@thecb.state.tx.us) by no later than 5 pm on February 12, 2018.

Targeted dates for convening the one-day meeting of the committee are April 9-13 and April 16-20 from 9:30 am to 4:30 pm at the THECB offices in Austin. We ask that you hold these dates on your calendar in the event you are selected to serve on this committee, or if you nominate someone from your institution, please share these dates with your nominee as well.

If you have questions or concerns, feel free to contact me at (512) 427-6446. Thank you in advance for your assistance in this matter.

Enclosure

c: Council of Public University Presidents and Chancellors  
Financial Aid Directors  
Governmental Relations Staff  
Institution Liaisons

[TEC+61.0331%2C+Financial+Aid+%26+Trusted+Funds.pdf](#)

## Attachment 2: Nominations

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Public University/Health-Related Institutions</u>
Araceli Alvarez	Director, Student Financial Services	UT System/HRI: The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston
Billy Satterfield	Executive Director, Financial Aid, Registrar	UH System: University of Houston-Clear Lake
Charles Puls	Deputy Assistant Commissioner, Student Financial Aid Programs	Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board
Dede Gonzales	Associate Director, Financial Aid & Scholarships	TSU System: Texas State University
Delisa Falks	Assistant Vice President, Financial Aid & Scholarships	TAMU System: Texas A&M University
Diane Todd-Sprague	Director, Financial Aid	UT System: The University of Texas at Austin
Javier Flores	Vice President, Student Affairs and Enrollment Management	TTU System: Angelo State University
LaTasha Goudeau	Director, Financial Aid	UH System: University of Houston-Downtown
Laura Elizondo	Director, Financial Aid	TAMU System: Texas A&M International University
Lisa Blazer	Senior Associate Vice President, Enrollment Services	UT System: The University of Texas at San Antonio
Lyn Kinyon	Associate Director, Financial Aid	UT System: The University of Texas at Arlington
Robert Spina	Dean, College of Education and Human Development	TSU System: Lamar University
Tammy Mitchum	Assistant Director, Student Financial Aid	Stephen F. Austin State University
Zelma DeLeon	Executive Director, Student Financial Aid & Scholarships	UNT System: University of North Texas



## Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

### Notice of Intent to Engage in Negotiated Rulemaking--Toward Excellence, Access and Success Grant (Texas Public Universities and Health-Related Institutions)

To provide institutions with greater flexibility in administering their state financial aid funds, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) would like to propose the elimination of reallocations and institute biennial, rather than annual, allocations. To do so would require a modification to the Toward EXcellence, Access and Success (TEXAS) Grant allocation methodology. Texas Education Code, Section 61.0331 directs the THECB to employ the negotiated rulemaking process described in Chapter 2008 of the Texas Government Code when adopting rules relating to financial aid allocation methodologies.

In identifying persons likely affected by the proposed rules, the Convener of Negotiated Rulemaking sent a memo via email to all chancellors and presidents at Texas Public Universities and Health-Related Institutions soliciting their interest and willingness to participate in the negotiated rulemaking process, or to nominate a representative from their campus.

From this effort, 14 individuals responded (out of approximately 47 affected entities) and expressed an interest to participate or nominated someone from their institution to participate on the negotiated rulemaking committee for TEXAS Grant. The positions held by the nominees and volunteers include financial aid directors, vice presidents, deans, and a deputy assistant commissioner. This indicates a probable willingness and authority of the affected interests to negotiate in good faith and a reasonable probability that a negotiated rulemaking process can result in a unanimous or, if the committee so chooses, a suitable general consensus on the proposed rule.

The following is a list of the stakeholders who are significantly affected by this rule and will be represented on the negotiated rulemaking committee for TEXAS Grant:

1. Texas Public Universities;
2. Texas Public Health-Related Institutions; and
3. Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board.

The THECB proposes to appoint the following 13 individuals to the negotiating rulemaking committee for TEXAS Grant to represent affected parties and the agency:

#### *Texas Public Health-Related Institutions*

Araceli Alvarez, Director, Student Financial Services, The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, The University of Texas System

#### *Texas Public Universities*

Tammy Mitchum, Assistant Director, Student Financial Aid, Stephen F. Austin State University

Laura Elizondo, Director, Financial Aid, Texas A&M International University, Texas A&M University System

Delisa Falks, Assistant Vice President, Financial Aid & Scholarships, Texas A&M University, Texas A&M System

Robert Spina, Dean, College of Education and Human Development, Lamar University, Texas State University System

Dede Gonzales, Associate Director, Financial Aid & Scholarships, Texas State University, Texas State University System

Javier Flores, Vice President, Student Affairs and Enrollment Management, Angelo State University, Texas Tech University System

Billy Satterfield, Executive Director, Financial Aid, Registrar, University of Houston-Clear Lake, University of Houston System

LaTasha Goudeau, Director, Financial Aid, University of Houston-Downtown, University of Houston System

Zelma DeLeon, Executive Director, Student Financial Aid & Scholarships, University of North Texas, University of North Texas System

Diane Todd Sprague, Director, Financial Aid, The University of Texas at Austin, The University of Texas System

Lisa Blazer, Senior Associate Vice President, Enrollment Services, The University of Texas at San Antonio, The University of Texas System

*Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board*

Charles Puls, Deputy Assistant Commissioner, Student Financial Aid Programs

Meetings will be open to the public. If there are persons who are significantly affected by these proposed rules and are not represented by the persons named above, those persons may apply to the agency for membership on the negotiated rulemaking committee or nominate another person to represent their interests. Application for membership must be made in writing and include the following information:

\*Name and contact information of the person submitting the application;

\*Description of how the persons are significantly affected by the rule and how their interests are different than those represented by the persons named above;

\*Name and contact information of the person being nominated for membership; and

\*Description of the qualifications of the nominee to represent the person's interests.

The THECB requests comments on the Notice of Intent to engage in negotiated rulemaking and on the membership of the negotiated rulemaking committee for TEXAS Grant. Comments and applications for membership on the committee must be submitted by March 4, 2018, to: Laurie A. Frederick, Convener, Negotiated Rulemaking, Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board, P.O. Box 12788, Austin, Texas 78711, Fax: (512) 427-6127, Email: [laurie.frederick@theccb.state.tx.us](mailto:laurie.frederick@theccb.state.tx.us).

TRD-201800632

William Franz

General Counsel

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Filed: February 14, 2018

**Mindy Nobles**

Program Director, Academic Quality and Workforce  
Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board

Mindy Nobles has been employed with the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board since 2013 and serves as Program Director in the Academic Quality and Workforce Division. She supervises the T-STEM Challenge Scholarship Program and performs administrative and grant management duties in the Carl D. Perkins Grant Program. Ms. Nobles also assists with Methods of Administration monitoring for compliance with Civil Rights in Vocational Education regulations of the U.S. Department of Education. Among her other duties, Ms. Nobles has served as key staff to field of study advisory committees.

Ms. Nobles has more than 20 years of experience in Texas community and technical college education and administration. At Northeast Texas Community College (NTCC), Ms. Nobles served as Associate Professor of English. In addition to her faculty responsibilities, Ms. Nobles served for three years as Director of Instruction for Humanities and as NTCC's Director of Distance Education *ad interim* for two years. Prior to coming to NTCC, Ms. Nobles supervised the Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA) Program at Kilgore College (KC) and later served as KC's grants writer. At Texas State Technical College–Marshall, Ms. Nobles served as Workforce Development Coordinator in the college's JTPA program.

Ms. Nobles holds an M.A. and B.A. in English from the University of Texas at Tyler and an A.A. in Liberal Arts from Kilgore College, graduating from all programs with highest honors. She completed doctoral coursework in Written Discourse at Texas A&M University–Commerce prior to joining the Coordinating Board. Ms. Nobles is certified in Dispute Resolution and is an active member of the Austin Association of Mediators.



**Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board**  
**1200 East Anderson Lane**  
**Austin, Texas 78752**  
**(512) 427-6101**

### **Driving Directions to the THECB**

From IH-35:

- Exit Hwy 183 South
- Turn East onto 183 South access road (Coming from the North, turn left; From the South, turn right)
- Get in far left lane and Make a U-Turn under 183 at Cameron Road (the first light)
- The Coordinating Board is on the right (after Luby's)

From Austin-Bergstrom International Airport:

- Take Hwy 71 West
- Exit to Hwy 183 North
- Continue along 183 North and take the Cameron Rd exit onto E Anderson Ln
- The Coordinating Board is on the right after the intersection (after Luby's)

Link to [Area Hotels](#)

Link to [Area Restaurants](#)

\*For security reasons, visitors must enter the building using the front entrance only.

\*Visitors must sign-in at security desk to obtain a visitor badge. The visitor (or institution ID) badge must be worn/visible while in the building.

\*Free parking available.

NOTE: Information above can also be found on the THECB website at <http://www.thecb.state.tx.us/apps/events/>.