Office of Student Financial Aid Programs



Report on Student Financial Aid in Texas Higher Education

Fiscal Year 2015

September 2016

This page has been left blank intentionally.

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board



Robert "Bobby" Jenkins Jr., CHAIR Stuart W. Stedman, VICE CHAIR David D. Teuscher, MD, SECRETARY TO THE BOARD Arcilia C. Acosta S. Javaid Anwar Fred Farias III, OD Ricky A. Raven Janelle Shepard John T. Steen Jr. Haley E.R. DeLaGarza, STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE

Austin Houston Beaumont Dallas Midland McAllen Sugar Land Weatherford San Antonio Victoria

Raymund A. Paredes, COMMISSIONER OF HIGHER EDUCATION

Agency Mission

The mission of the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board is to provide leadership and coordination for the Texas higher education system and to promote access, affordability, quality, success, and cost efficiency through *60x30TX*, resulting in a globally competitive workforce that positions Texas as an international leader.

Agency Vision

The THECB will be recognized as an international leader in developing and implementing innovative higher education policy to accomplish our mission.

Agency Philosophy

The THECB will promote access to and success in quality higher education across the state with the conviction that access and success without quality is mediocrity and that quality without access and success is unacceptable.

The Coordinating Board's core values are:

Accountability: We hold ourselves responsible for our actions and welcome every opportunity to educate stakeholders about our policies, decisions, and aspirations.

Efficiency: We accomplish our work using resources in the most effective manner.

Collaboration: We develop partnerships that result in student success and a highly qualified, globally competent workforce.

Excellence: We strive for excellence in all our endeavors.

The Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age or disability in employment or the provision of services.

Please cite this report as follows:

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board. (2016). Report on Student Financial Aid in Texas Higher Education Fiscal Year 2015. Austin, TX.

Table of Contents

| Executive Summary | i |
|--|----------------|
| Chapter 1 – Introduction | 1 |
| Chapter 2 – Overview of Tuition and Fees | 6 |
| Section 1: Tuition and Fees | 6 |
| Section 2: Tuition Set-Asides | 11 |
| Section 3: Tuition Exemptions and Waivers | 12 |
| Chapter 3 – Overview of Financial Aid | 19 |
| Section 1: Types and Sources of Financial Aid | 19 |
| Section 2: Financial Aid to Undergraduate Students | 20 |
| Section 3: Financial Aid to Graduate Students | 24 |
| Section 4: Need-Based Aid and Merit Aid | |
| Section 5: State and National Trends | 32 |
| Chapter 4 – Program Profiles | 42 |
| Section 1: Toward EXcellence, Access, and Success (TEXAS) Grant | 44 |
| Section 2: Tuition Equalization Grant (TEG) | |
| Section 3: Texas Educational Opportunity Grant (TEOG) Program | 54 |
| Section 4: Texas Public Educational Grant (TPEG) | 59 |
| | 63 |
| Section 5: Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015) | |
| | 67 |
| Section 5: Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015) | |
| Section 5: Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015) Section 6: Merit Scholarships | 71 |
| Section 5: Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015) Section 6: Merit Scholarships Section 7: Top Ten Percent Scholarship Section 8: Texas College Work-Study (TX WS) Section 9: College Access Loan (CAL) Program | 71 75 79 |
| Section 5: Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015) Section 6: Merit Scholarships Section 7: Top Ten Percent Scholarship Section 8: Texas College Work-Study (TX WS) | |

Appendices

| Appendix A: Financial Aid by Student's Home Region | |
|---|-----|
| Appendix B: Institutions Included in the Financial Aid Database | 89 |
| Appendix C: Cost of Attendance Budgets for Texas Colleges and Universities | |
| Appendix D: All Students Who Completed Need Analysis and Received Aid | 95 |
| Appendix E: Number of Awards and Total Funds Awarded Per Institution | |
| Appendix F: Students Who Demonstrated Need and Received Aid | 124 |
| Appendix G: Students Who Demonstrated Need and Did Not Receive Aid | 127 |
| Appendix H: Students Who Did Not Demonstrate Need and Received Aid. | 129 |
| Appendix I: Percent of Enrollment Receiving Tuition Equalization Grants, by Ethnicity | 131 |
| Appendix J. Exemptions and Waivers | 132 |
| Appendix K: Resources | 135 |

Index of Tables

| Table 1. Comparison of recipient information for state financial aid programs | iii |
|--|-----|
| Table 2. Income levels used for poverty and median indicators and maximum EFC for Federal Pell Grant | |
| eligibility | 4 |
| Table 3. Average annual tuition & fees at Texas public institutions of higher education, FY 2011-2015 | 7 |
| Table 4. Tuition and fee revenue perspectives. | |
| Table 5. Total exemptions and waivers, FY 2011-2015. | 13 |
| Table 6. Exemptions and waivers, by institutional sector, FY 2011-2015 | 15 |
| Table 7. Largest exemption and waiver programs, FY 2015. | |
| Table 8. Hazlewood exemptions, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 9. Exemption and waiver detail, by institutional sector, FY 2015 | |
| Table 10. Types and sources of aid to undergraduate students, FY 2015 | |
| Table 11. Grants and scholarships to undergraduate students, by program, FY 2015 | 21 |
| Table 12. Loans to undergraduate students, by program, FY 2015. | 22 |
| Table 13. Types and sources of aid to graduate students, FY 2015. | |
| Table 14. Grants and scholarships to graduate students, by program, FY 2015 | 26 |
| Table 15. Loans to graduate students, by program, FY 2015 | |
| Table 16. Students who demonstrated need and received aid (Group A), FY 2015. | 31 |
| Table 17. Students who demonstrated need and did not receive aid (Group B), FY 2015 | |
| Table 18. Students who did not demonstrate need and received aid (Group C), FY 2015 | |
| Table 19. Grant and scholorship funding sources, FY 2011-2015. | 33 |
| Table 20. Loan funding sources, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 21. All aid (in billions) to students who applied for financial aid, FY 2011-2015 | |
| Table 22. TEXAS Grant, TEG, and TEOG funding summary, FY 2011-2015 | |
| Table 23. Grant aid (in millions) awarded to students attending Texas institutions, FY 2011-2015 | |
| Table 24. Percentage of total grant aid (Texas), by type, FY 2011-2015 | |
| Table 25. National grant aid (in millions), FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 26. Percentage of total grant aid (nationally), by type, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 27. Loans (in millions) awarded to students attending Texas institutions, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 28. Educational loans (in millions) awarded nationally, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 29. State and tuition set-aside financial aid funding, FY 2015. | |
| Table 30. Income data utilized in program profiles | |
| Table 31. Race/ethnicity comparison, TEXAS Grant recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015 | |
| Table 32. Graduation and persistence rates of TEXAS Grant recipients. | |
| Table 33. Summary – TEG awards, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 34. Race/ethnicity comparison, TEG recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015. | |
| Table 35. Graduation and persistence rates of TEG recipients. | |
| Table 36. TEOG coverage of tuition and fees at public two-year institutions, FY 2011-2015 | |
| Table 37. Summary – TEOG awards, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 38. Race/ethnicity comparison, TEOG recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015. | |
| Table 39. Graduation and persistence rates of TEOG recipients. | |
| Table 40. Summary – TPEG awards, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 41. Race/ethnicity comparison, TPEG recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015. | |
| Table 42. Graduation and persistence rates of TPEG recipients. | |
| Table 43. Summary – HB 3015 Awards, FY 2011-2015. | |
| Table 44. Race/ethnicity comparison, HB 3015 recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015. Table 45. Conductive comparison of the 2015 recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015. | |
| Table 45. Graduation and persistence rates of HB 3015 recipients. Table 46. Graduation and persistence rates of HB 3015 recipients. | |
| Table 46. Summary – merit scholarships, FY 2015. Table 47. Dass (athenisity comparison merit scholarship registrate up all students at aligible institutions) | 6/ |
| Table 47. Race/ethnicity comparison, merit scholarship recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FX 2015 | ~~ |
| FY 2015 | 69 |

| Table 48. Graduation and persistence rates of merit scholarship recipients. | 70 |
|---|----|
| Table 49. Summary – Top Ten Percent Scholarship awards, FY 2011-2015 | 72 |
| Table 50. Race/ethnicity comparison, Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients vs. all students at eligible | |
| institutions, FY 2015. | 74 |
| Table 51. Graduation and persistence rates of Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients. | 74 |
| Table 52. Summary – TX WS awards, FY 2011-2015. | 76 |
| Table 53. Race/ethnicity comparison, TX WS recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015 | 78 |
| Table 54. Graduation and persistence rates of TX WS recipients. | 78 |
| Table 55. Summary – CAL awards, FY 2011-2015. | 79 |
| Table 56. Race/ethnicity comparison, CAL recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015 | 82 |
| Table 57. Graduation and persistence rates of CAL recipients. | 82 |
| Table 58. Summary – BOT awards, FY 2011-2015 | 83 |
| Table 59. Race/ethnicity comparison, BOT loan recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015 | 85 |
| Table 60. Graduation and persistence rates of BOT loan recipients. | 86 |
| Table 61. Summary – TASSP awards, FY2011-2015. | 87 |

Index of Figures

| Figure 1. Texas' three-year average inflation-adjusted earnings | 2 |
|---|----|
| Figure 2. Nationally, Texas ranks 20th in affordability of public universities | 6 |
| Figure 3. Public university undergraduate and graduate net tuition and fee revenue & state appropriations | 9 |
| Figure 4. Breakout of undergraduate tuition and fee charges | |
| Figure 5. Students benefitting from exemptions and waivers, FY 2011-2015. | 14 |
| Figure 6. Institutional foregone revenue from exemptions and waivers, FY 2011-2015 | 14 |
| Figure 7. Undergraduate and graduate financial aid, by type of aid, FY 2015 | |
| Figure 8. Percentage of aid to undergraduate students, by aid type and source FY 2015 | 20 |
| Figure 9. Percentage of undergraduates receiving aid within income ranges, FY 2015 | |
| Figure 10. Distribution of financial aid to undergraduate students, by sector and type of aid, FY 2015 | 24 |
| Figure 11. Percentage of aid to graduate students, by aid type and source, FY 2015 | 25 |
| Figure 12. Percentage of graduates receiving aid within income ranges, FY 2015 | 27 |
| Figure 13. Distribution of financial aid to graduate students, by institutional sector and type of aid, FY 2015 | 28 |
| Figure 14. Average amount of unmet need for undergraduate students, FY 2015 | |
| Figure 15. Average amount of unmet need for graduate students, FY 2015 | 29 |
| Figure 16. Comparison of students as to receipt of aid and demonstration of need, FY 2015 | 30 |
| Figure 17. Students who demonstrated need, FY 2015 | 32 |
| Figure 18. Loans and grants (in billions) in Texas, FY 2011-2015 | 33 |
| Figure 19. Grant aid (in millions) in Texas, FY 2011-2015 | 35 |
| Figure 20. Grant aid (in millions) nationally, FY 2011-2015. | 36 |
| Figure 21. Loan aid in Texas, FY 2011-2015 | |
| Figure 22. Educational loans nationwide, FY 2011-2015 | 38 |
| Figure 23. TEXAS Grant recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015 | 45 |
| Figure 24. TEXAS Grant recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | |
| Figure 25. TEXAS Grant recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015 | 47 |
| Figure 26. TEG recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015 | 50 |
| Figure 27. TEG recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | 51 |
| Figure 28. TEG recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015 | 52 |
| Figure 29. TEOG recipients, by income level, FY 2015 | 56 |
| Figure 30. TEOG recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | |
| Figure 31. TEOG recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY2015. | |
| Figure 32. TPEG recipients, by income level FY 2011-2015 | |
| Figure 33. TPEG recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | 61 |

| Figure 35. HB 3015 recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.6Figure 36. HB 3015 recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.6Figure 37. HB 3015 recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 38. Merit scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.6Figure 39. Merit scholarship recipients, by FC, FY 2015.6Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by income level, FY 2015.8Figure 52. BOT recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8 | Figure 34. TPEG recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015 | 61 |
|---|--|----|
| Figure 36. HB 3015 recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.6Figure 37. HB 3015 recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 38. Merit scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.6Figure 39. Merit scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.6Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | | |
| Figure 37. HB 3015 recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 38. Merit scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.6Figure 39. Merit scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.6Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | | |
| Figure 38. Merit scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.6Figure 39. Merit scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.6Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 36. HB 3015 recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | 65 |
| Figure 39. Merit scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.6Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 37. HB 3015 recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015 | 65 |
| Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.6Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 38. Merit scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015 | 68 |
| Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 39. Merit scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | 68 |
| Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015 | 69 |
| Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015 | 72 |
| Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.7Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | 73 |
| Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.7Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015 | 73 |
| Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015 | 76 |
| Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.7Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | Figure 45. TX WS recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | 77 |
| Figure 47. CAL recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | | |
| Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | | |
| Figure 49. CAL recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.8Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | | |
| Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.8Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.8 | | |
| Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015 | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Executive Summary

Financial aid plays a critical role in accomplishing all four of the goals articulated in the *60x30TX* strategic plan for higher education: attainment, completion, marketable skills, and student debt. Effective aid programs support both access and success in our higher education structure and provide work-study opportunities to prepare students for the workforce. Furthermore, the balance of grant and loan opportunities, including effective counseling on these options, plays a key role in helping students manage their student debt. In keeping with the General Appropriations Act requirement to present an annual report concerning student financial aid at Texas public and independent institutions of higher education, this report provides data and details regarding the financial aid awarded in Fiscal Year (FY) 2015 in order to inform policy discussions and other necessary activities as we continue our efforts to create the educated workforce necessary for Texas' long-term success.

Although \$9.2 billion in student financial aid was awarded from federal, institutional, private, and state funding in FY 2015, there was still a significant amount of unmet financial need among students attending Texas institutions of higher education. The average amount per student needed to cover the gap between undergraduate education costs and student resources (Expected Family Contribution and financial aid) was approximately \$6,000 for students attending public two-year institutions, \$6,200 for students attending public universities and health-related institutions (HRIs), and \$7,000 for students attending private or independent institutions.

Some students can reduce this gap by working to earn more income and by reducing living expenses. However, they cannot control tuition and fee costs. Since tuition was deregulated in 2003, these charges for full-time undergraduate students have increased by 70 percent, in dollars adjusted for inflation. At the same time, state appropriations to institutions have declined.

Additionally, foregone institutional revenue from tuition exemptions and waivers have increased dramatically since 2009, reaching \$752.2 million in FY 2015. The largest amount of foregone revenue from tuition exemption and waiver programs was from Hazlewood exemptions benefitting veterans and their dependents, dual credit exemptions, competitive scholarship waivers, and teaching or research assistant waivers.

In particular, "Hazlewood Legacy Act" exemptions, authorized by the Texas Legislature in 2009, accounted for 52 percent of all exemptions in FY 2015, which totaled \$184.7 million. Legacy Act provisions extend eligibility to spouses and allow eligible veterans to assign their unused credit hours to their children. The amount of Legacy Act tuition exemptions increased by 157 percent from FY 2011 to FY 2015.

Another noteworthy element of student financial aid in Texas is "tuition set-asides." Two state financial aid programs are funded by the mandatory setting aside of specified tuition dollars. The Texas Public Educational Grant (TPEG) program was authorized in 1975, while Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides, commonly referred to as HB 3015 funds, was authorized at the time of tuition deregulation in 2003. The funds collected from the set-asides are retained at the institutions for distribution to financially needy students. In FY 2015, 207,818 students received awards exceeding \$345 million through these programs. Almost half of the students receiving these funds had family incomes below the federally established poverty level for a family of four.

Reliance on set-aside funds to assist financially needy students has increased as tuition and fees have increased. Students who don't meet all requirements to qualify for other grant aid may be assisted with these funds. Additionally, institutions rely on these funds to meet the statutory requirements to make up the difference between tuition and fee costs and grants through two of the state's signature grant programs, the Toward EXcellence, Access, and Success (TEXAS) Grant Program and the Texas Educational Opportunity Grant (TEOG) Program.

In reviewing financial aid data overall, it is important to recognize that federal and state gift aid is primarily targeted for undergraduates, rather than graduate students. More than half of all financial aid to undergraduates attending Texas institutions in FY 2015 was grant aid, and approximately 43 percent was in the form of student loans. Conversely, only a small percentage of aid to graduate students was grant aid, with more than 83 percent of all aid to graduate students in the form of student loans.

It is also important to recognize that students attending Texas institutions of higher education rely to a great extent on federal funds for financial assistance. The majority of financial aid dollars awarded were from federal funds for student loans and Federal Pell grants. A total of \$563.5 million, only 6 percent of all FY 2015 aid to students attending Texas institutions of higher education, was awarded through the seven state programs funded by General Revenue appropriations.

A significant amount of funding, more than \$1.3 billion in merit aid was awarded to 224,841 students in Texas higher education in FY 2015. This includes aid from endowments and other institutional funds, as well as funds awarded to students by entities outside the institutions.

Table 1 provides a quick comparison of the profiles of state financial aid programs, including the average income and EFC of the students receiving awards in FY 2015. A very high percentage of students receiving awards through the state programs for financially needy students had an EFC below the threshold to qualify for Federal Pell grants, \$5,158. The highest percentages of award recipients whose family incomes were below the poverty level were in the TEOG Program, the Texas College Work-Study Program, and the TPEG Program.

The College Access Loan (CAL) Program provides Texas students one of the lowest-cost nonfederal student loan options in the nation, with its 4.5 percent fixed annual interest rate. This program is funded through the sale of tax-exempt bonds and repayments from loans, at no cost to taxpayers. Even though approximately 70 percent of students receiving CAL and B-On-Time (BOT) loans had family incomes above the \$52,550 median for Texas, almost 30 percent of CAL borrowers and 37 percent of BOT borrowers had an EFC below the Federal Pell EFC threshold. The BOT Loan Program, funded by state appropriations, is being phased out due to legislation passed by the 84th Texas Legislature.

Table 1 also shows an "at a glance" comparison of the distribution of state program award recipients in terms of race/ethnicity. Hispanic students represented the highest percentage of award recipients in all of the state financial aid programs except the BOT Loan Program and the Tuition Equalization Grant (TEG) Program.

Texas higher education continues to be relatively affordable, ranked third in affordability of public twoyear institutions nationally, twentieth with regard to public universities, and thirtieth with regard to private or independent institutions. Even so, in order to increase access and persistence and expand students' options for careers after graduation, developing mechanisms to finance higher education in a manner that provides the most effective balance among appropriations, tuition and fees, and financial aid remains critical in our effort to make higher education more affordable for students.

| Program | TEXAS Grant | TEOG | TEG | TPEG | HB3015 | Merit | Top Ten % | TCWS | CAL | ВОТ |
|------------------------------|----------------|---|--------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|
| Total Awarded | \$339,475,026 | \$51,227,236 | \$90,528,191 | \$146,770,037 | \$198,397,273 | \$1,358,486,303 | \$11,921,843 | \$8,628,560 | \$116,301,498 | \$58,990,699 |
| # Recipients | 74,412 | 26,619 | 27,307 | 120,496 | 87,322 | 224,841 | 16,217 | 5,059 | 8,858 | 9,484 |
| Avg. Award | \$4,562 | \$6,546 PSC \$4,088 PTI \$1,827 PCC | \$3,315 | \$1,218 | \$2,272 | \$6,042 | \$735 | \$1,706 | \$13,130 | \$6,220 |
| Avg. EFC | \$1,109 | \$476 | \$4,048 | \$1,992 | \$3,077 | \$9,442 | \$5,199 | \$1,893 | \$16,036 | \$11,533 |
| Avg. Income | \$29,185 | \$20,246 | \$44,681 | \$31,337 | \$36,384 | \$48,860 | \$53,645 | \$30,398 | \$87,628 | \$78,382 |
| | • | | | Percer | ntage of Funding | † | | | | |
| \$0 EFC | 50.5% | 71.5% | 39.2% | 49.1% | 41.9% | 15.8% | 30.5% | 55.5% | 15.0% | 13.7% |
| At or Below Pell EFC | 95.2% | 99.8% | 72.2% | 81.9% | 75.4% | 31.0% | 63.9% | 88.6% | 29.2% | 36.7% |
| Income Below Poverty | 43.1% | 61.5% | 36.3% | 49.8% | 43.6% | 39.7% | 25.8% | 54.0% | 17.8% | 12.9% |
| Income at or Below Median | 86.4% | 94.1% | 68.0% | 78.9% | 73.2% | 52.8% | 56.8% | 83.0% | 30.4% | 30.0% |

Table 1. Comparison of recipient information for state financial aid programs.

| Program TASSP Total Awarded | \$2,740,152 | # Recipients | 302 | Avg. Award | \$9,073 |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----|------------|---------|
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-----|------------|---------|

| Race/ Ethnicity | TEXAS Grant | TEOG | TEG | TPEG | HB3015 | Merit | Top Ten % | TCWS | CAL | вот |
|-----------------|----------------|------|-----|------|--------|-------|-----------|------|-----|-----|
| Hispanic | 55% | 51% | 34% | 41% | 38% | 26% | 46% | 43% | 50% | 34% |
| White | 17% | 20% | 37% | 29% | 33% | 46% | 29% | 25% | 29% | 38% |
| African Amer. | 15% | 21% | 15% | 18% | 15% | 10% | 7% | 20% | 10% | 17% |
| Asian | 8% | 3% | 5% | 5% | 9% | 5% | 15% | 6% | 4% | 6% |
| Other/Unkn. | 5% | 5% | 9% | 7% | 6% | 14% | 4% | 6% | 7% | 6% |

PSC = Public State Colleges; PTI = Public Technical Institutes; PCC = Public Community Colleges

EFC for Pell Eligibility: up to \$5,158; Poverty level of a family of four: \$23,624; Texas median income: \$52,550

Merit aid is from institutional funds and organizations outside the institution.

Tuition exemptions and waivers are reported in Chapter 2 Section 3.

[†]The above percentages are rounded.

Chapter 1 – Introduction

Since its inception in 1965, the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board (THECB) has administered the state's financial aid programs for Texas students enrolled in nonprofit institutions of higher education in Texas. In 1987, *The Texas Charter for Higher Education* called for public higher education to be accessible to all those who seek and qualify for admission. "Neither financial nor social status should serve as a barrier to opportunities for higher education in Texas. Financial aid as well as academic and social support services should be available. Texas colleges and universities will actively recruit and retain students from populations that have not heretofore fully participated in higher education" (Texas Charter, p.6).

Texans supported the goals of the previous statewide strategic plan for higher education, *Closing the Gaps by 2015*, in recognition of the state's changing demographics and the importance of an educated workforce for its economic and social well-being. Over the 15-year period beginning in 2000, the Texas Legislature established and funded new higher education institutions, appropriated \$3.3 billion for TEXAS Grants to help low-income students attend college, and increased funding for programs in critical fields. Since 2000, institutions of higher education have responded by increasing enrollment in 2014 by almost 600,000 students and awarding approximately 130,000 more bachelor's degrees, associate degrees, and certificates.

Building on these foundational gains, the newly adopted *60x30TX* strategic plan for higher education acknowledges that tremendous challenges remain and must be addressed to improve students' opportunities for a better life, employers' ability to remain competitive, and the state's ability to grow a robust economy. The new strategic plan includes four broad goals:

- By 2030, at least 60 percent of Texans ages 25-34 will have a certificate or degree
- By 2030, at least 550,000 students in that year will complete a certificate, associate, bachelor's, or master's from an institution of higher education in Texas
- By 2030, all graduates from Texas public institutions of higher education will have completed programs with identified marketable skills
- By 2030, undergraduate student loan debt will not exceed 60 percent of first-year wages for graduates of public institutions

The College Board's *Education Pays 2013* states, "The median lifetime earnings of individuals with an associate degree and those with some college education but no degree (a category that includes certificate holders) are 27 percent and 13 percent higher than the median earnings of high school graduates, respectively." Figure 1, based on three years of U.S. Census Bureau surveys, illustrates the considerable increase in lifetime earnings for individuals who attain increased levels of higher education.




Figure 1. Texas' three-year average inflation-adjusted earnings.¹

Affordability

The challenge of affordability will continue to be a significant factor in improving the rate of attainment of higher education credentials. Texas public school enrollment trends show a ten-year increase in the percentage of economically disadvantaged students in the P-12 system; the majority (60%) of students in the P-12 pipeline are poor (THECB, 2015). Demographers project that this percentage will increase. Data collected by the Coordinating Board show that only 53 percent of 2015 Texas high school graduates enrolled in a Texas institution of higher education in the following fall.

As noted later in this report, since 2003, the amount of resident undergraduate tuition and fees charged at public institutions has increased considerably, while state appropriations to those institutions have decreased during the same period. The availability of substantial financial assistance is critical to broad access to a higher education.

The Toward EXcellence, Access, and Success (TEXAS) Grant Program, the state's foundational grant program for academically prepared needy students, was created with the intent to cover the tuition and fees of these students as long as they continue to meet program requirements. Although state appropriations for the grants have increased significantly over the years, they have not kept pace with tuition and fee charges or the increased number of eligible students, resulting in lower award amounts to assist more students, and failing to cover tuition and fees. Because institutions are required to provide non-loan assistance to cover the difference between TEXAS Grant awards and tuition and fees, they must use other funds, including dedicated tuition set aside funds and other institutional resources, to make up this difference.

Another one of the state's three signature grant programs is the Texas Educational Opportunity Grant (TEOG) Program, for students attending Texas public two-year institutions of higher education. As with the TEXAS Grant Program, institutions must ensure that student tuition and fees are covered.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, American Community Survey, 2011, 2012, and 2013.

¹ Texas' three-year average inflation-adjusted earning summed for Texans ages 25 to 64 by educational attainment for those working more than 32 hours per week and 49 weeks per year.

Institutions may not use loans, work-study, or Federal Pell grants to make up the difference between the amount of a TEOG award and the amount of tuition and fees owed.

Determining Financial Need

Students apply for financial aid each year by completing the Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA) or a Texas Application for State Financial Aid (TASFA), which collect demographic, income, and asset information from students and their families. This information is used to estimate the Expected Family Contribution (EFC), the amount of money a family is projected to contribute towards a student's education costs. The EFC is determined by a need analysis formula established in Title IV of the Higher Education Act. A detailed explanation of the EFC formula, with practical example scenarios, is provided by Moneyzine.com at http://www.money-zine.com/financial-planning/college-loan/expected-family-contributions/.

A student's Cost of Attendance (COA) includes tuition and fees, allowances for books and supplies, room and board, transportation expenses, and miscellaneous personal expenses. A student's financial need is derived by subtracting the EFC from the COA.

In FY 2015, the average total cost of attendance included in the institutions' projected College Student Budgets for 2014-2015 was \$21,978 for resident undergraduates attending public universities and \$37,436 for private or independent institutions, while the average cost of attendance for public two-year institutions was \$14,272. Appendix C includes each institution's reported estimated average college costs anticipated for the 2014–2015 academic year.

About the Annual Financial Aid Report

Since 1993, the Texas Legislature has included in the General Appropriations Act a provision calling for the THECB to provide to the Legislative Budget Board an annual report concerning student financial aid at Texas public and independent institutions of higher education. This *Report on Student Financial Aid in Texas Higher Education, Fiscal Year 2015,* supports the goals of the new strategic plan for higher education by providing policymakers important information about the funding sources, types of student financial aid programs in place, and the students benefitting from those programs in FY 2015, as well as data on unmet financial need.

The FY 2015 report includes many features of prior annual reports, but those who are familiar with previous reports will notice the following changes:

- > A section on tuition and fees has been added
- > A section on tuition exemptions and waivers has been added
- Summary financial aid data are presented separately for undergraduates and graduate students
- > Program summary profiles on the state-funded loan programs have been added
- Program summary profiles include new information regarding average award amounts and percentages of dollars awarded to low-income recipients
- Data are presented according to the following three institutional sectors: Public Universities and Health-Related Institutions (HRIs), Private and Independent Institutions, and Public Two-Year Institutions
- > Five years of data are presented for comparative and analytical purposes

Table 2 will be used throughout the report to provide comparative income data on program recipients, as well as comparative context for the EFC levels represented among program recipients. These data are available for students who have received aid after completing the FAFSA, TASFA, or a comparable form

of need analysis. The income on which the need analysis is based was reported for the calendar year that occurred two years before the state fiscal year for which the aid is received, as indicated in Table 2.

| FY | Tax Year | Poverty ² | Median | Max. EFC – Pell Grant |
|------|----------|----------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| 2011 | 2009 | \$21,756 | \$53,250 | \$4,617 |
| 2012 | 2010 | \$22,113 | \$52,789 | \$5,273 |
| 2013 | 2011 | \$22,811 | \$51,993 | \$4,995 |
| 2014 | 2012 | \$22,283 | \$52,319 | \$5,081 |
| 2015 | 2013 | \$23,264 | \$52,550 | \$5,158 |

Table 2. Income levels used for poverty and median indicators and maximum EFC for Federal Pell Grant eligibility.

Source: Short, 2011; Short, 2012; Short, 2013; Short, 2014; and Department of Numbers, Nd.

For this report, 144 Texas public and independent colleges and universities that participate in state financial aid programs contributed financial aid data for FY 2015. All data in the report are from the 2015 Financial Aid Database System (FADS) unless otherwise noted. Some data presented in this report may differ slightly from statistics found in other reports.

Data Considerations

The following items should be taken into consideration when reviewing the financial aid detail throughout this report, including the appendices provided in this chapter.

- The Texas Financial Aid Database System (FADS) does not include information on students attending for-profit institutions in Texas. National data for Federal Pell Grants, Federal Direct Loans, and Federal Direct PLUS Loans have been adjusted to reflect only funds awarded to students attending nonprofit institutions (College Board, 2015).
- This report does not include data on aid that has been offered, but has been declined. For example, students may choose to decline some or all of loan funds offered and instead find a means of lowering costs or working to provide income.
- The characteristics of individual students receiving exemptions and waivers are not reported by institutions in the Integrated Fiscal Reporting System (IFRS), which is the source of all tuition exemption and waiver data presented in the tables and figures in chapter 2, section 3. Also, each exemption and waiver reported in IFRS is associated with a recipient; therefore, a student receiving more than one exemption and/or waiver is reported more than once as a recipient.
- National data are based on the federal academic year (July 1, 2014 to June 31, 2015), whereas state data are based on each institution's academic year.
- Work-study awards are reported only for funds actually earned, rather than funds offered as part of a total financial aid award package.
- Neither state nor national data are available for student wages that are not part of a formal work-study program, consumer loans or mortgage refinancing to pay for college costs, or credit card debt incurred to pay for college costs.
- In order to comply with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act, any student counts of fewer than five are represented with an asterisk in the appendices.

² The poverty income levels are for a family of two adults and two children.

When data are reported by funding source, programs are grouped based on the following categories of funding sources:

- Federal Sources
 - Americorps Education Awards (Americorps)
 - Federal Pell Grant
 - Federal Supplemental Educational Opportunity Grant (SEOG)
 - Federal Work-Study {state and employer funds}
 - Federal Direct Subsidized Loan
 - Federal Direct Unsubsidized Loan
 - Federal Direct PLUS Loan
 - Federal Perkins Loan
 - Federal TEACH Grant {a forgivable loan}
 - Primary Care Loans
- State Sources³
 - Toward EXcellence, Access, and Success (TEXAS) Grant
 - Tuition Equalization Grant (TEG)
 - Texas Educational Opportunity Grant (TEOG)
 - Top Ten Percent Scholarship
 - Texas College Work-Study {state and employer funds}
 - Texas B-On-Time (BOT) Loan
 - College Access Loan (CAL) {funded by the sale of tax-exempt bonds and loan repayments}
- Institutional Sources
 - Texas Public Educational Grant (TPEG)
 - Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015)
 - Grants and Scholarships
 - Work-Study {institutional and employer funds}
 - Loans {no activity on FY 2015}
 - Other
 - Merit-based Aid funded by institutions
 - Student Deposit Scholarships
 - Institutional Work-Study
- Other/Private Sources
 - Categorical Aid {gift aid from outside organizations}
 - \circ Merit-based Aid funded by private donations to institutions
 - Other Grants and Scholarships
 - Other Long-Term Loans

³ Texas Armed Serves Scholarship Program (TASSP) data are not reported in FADS.

Chapter 2 – Overview of Tuition and Fees

Section 1: Tuition and Fees

Even though state appropriations have declined and tuition and fees have increased steadily since 2003, Texas higher education is still considered relatively affordable, when compared with other states. Texas has more robust higher education data than many states. Therefore, comparisons with national data require review of data that are commonly reported by all states in the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS)⁴.

When applying this standard, the national average undergraduate net tuition and fees for students attending a four-year public institution was \$8,312 in FY 2014, compared with \$7,476 for such students in Texas. The national average undergraduate net tuition and fees for students attending a public two-year institution was \$2,882 compared with \$1,898 for Texas students. This places Texas as the twentieth least expensive state to attend public universities and the third least expensive state to attend public community colleges. Texas private universities rank 30th in affordability nationally, with average net tuition and fees at \$26,382 annually. Table 3 shows the average annual tuition and fees at Texas public institutions of higher education for FY 2011 – 2015.




Figure 2. Nationally, Texas ranks 20th in affordability of public universities.⁵

Source: Snyder et.al, 2016, p. 663

 ⁴ IPEDS instructions leave some room for interpretation, and institutions vary in their determination of the groups of students to include. Not all optional fees are included for IPEDS, and institutions are asked to "estimate average tuition based on the average full-time credit-hour load for an entire academic year." Therefore IPEDS and IFRS do not exactly match.
 ⁵ Figures show average undergraduate tuition and fees charged for first-time, full-time students (at least 12 credit-hours per semester) in degree-granting institutions. Tuition and fees for public institutions represent charges to state residents.

Table 3. Average annual tuition & fees at Texas public institutions of higher education, FY 2011-2015.

| Fiscal Year | Public Universities | Public HRIs | Public Community Colleges | Public State Colleges | Public Technical Institutes |
|----------------|------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 2011 | \$6,997 | \$6,501 | \$2,163 | \$4,025 | \$3,344 |
| 2012 | \$7,342 | \$6,681 | \$2,416 | \$4,218 | \$4,251 |
| 2013 | \$7,705 | \$6,890 | \$2,553 | \$4,543 | \$4,216 |
| 2014 | \$7,902 | \$6,999 | \$2,574 | \$4,759 | \$4,526 |
| 2015 | \$8,183 | \$7,388 | \$2,653 | \$5,014 | \$4,388 |
| Source: TEDS | • | | • | • • • | • |

Source: IFRS

In reviewing the trend of increased tuition and fees, one should be aware of the various types of tuition that can be charged.

Statutory Tuition: a charge authorized under Texas Education Code (TEC), Section 54.051 in an amount determined by the Texas Legislature for resident or non-resident undergraduate students. Statutory tuition mandates a minimum amount of tuition to be charged per semester credit hour (SCH), although students qualifying for certain statutorily defined tuition exemptions may be charged less or nothing at all.

- For public universities and public state colleges, tuition specified in statute for residents is \$50 per SCH. For non-residents, it is equal to the average of the non-resident undergraduate tuition charged to a resident of Texas at a public state university in each of the five most populous states other than Texas. Other rates are prescribed for students enrolled in certain graduate and professional programs.
- For institutions within the Texas State Technical College System, the amount is set by the institutional governing board. The minimum amount for residents must be \$16 per SCH and the maximum must be \$50 per SCH; for non-residents, the required minimum is \$80 per SCH.
- For public junior colleges, the amount is determined by the governing board of each institution. The required minimum amount for residents is \$8 per SCH and must total at least \$25 for a semester; the required minimum amount for nonresidents is \$200 for each semester.

Designated Tuition: TEC, Section 54.0513 authorizes institutions of higher education to charge any undergraduate or graduate, resident or non-resident student, an additional tuition charge (e.g., in addition to statutory tuition) that the governing board of the institution considers necessary for the effective operation of the institution. This rate varies by institution.

Board Authorized Tuition: TEC, Section 54.008 authorizes public institutions of higher education to set tuition for graduate programs at rates at least twice that of undergraduate tuition, and different rates may be set among programs.

Fixed-Price Tuition Plans: Fixed price tuition plans offer students predictability and an incentive to graduate on time. TEC, Section 54.017, requires universities to offer freshman and transfer students a Fixed Tuition Price Plan under which the institution agrees not to increase tuition charges per semester credit hour for at least four years (12 consecutive semesters).

Flat Rate Tuition Plans: Flat rate tuition plans also offer students predictability and an incentive to graduate on time. These plans, which are not mandated, allow students to pay a fixed amount regardless of the number of hours taken, with a minimum number of hours required. For example, a student may pay for 12 hours and take 18 hours.

In addition to statutory and designated tuition charges, all students are assessed certain fees:

- Mandatory Fees are authorized by statute or by the governing board of an institution, and are charged to a student upon enrollment to provide services available to every student. Examples of such fees are library and laboratory fees, course and incidental fees collected under TEC, Section 55.16(c), and other mandatory fees as authorized by the governing board of the institution.
- Course Fees are mandatory fees required of all students enrolled in a particular course, such as materials for a chemistry lab, or a discretionary fee required of students in a given course, or for students participating in a special activity, such as a parking fee. This includes fees for state funded continuing education courses.

Deregulated Tuition and Decreased Appropriations

Before 2003, the Texas Legislature had regulatory authority to set tuition rates, generally mandating that the same statutory and designated tuition rate be charged across the state. House Bill 3015, 78th Texas Legislature, amended TEC, Section 54.0513 to allow governing boards of public universities to set different designated tuition rates. Universities began increasing designated tuition in spring 2004. Since then, there has been no upper limit on the amount of designated tuition that a university could charge, and amounts can vary by program, course level, and academic period.


State appropriations include institutional enhancements, but do not include the Research Development Fund, the Higher Education Fund, or the Available University Fund. There are different ways to calculate state appropriations and state support of higher education. Figure 3 reflects appropriations per full-time student equivalent (FTSE), defined as 30 semester credit hours per year for purposes of this report.

Overall, in inflation-adjusted dollars and taking into account all students attending Texas public universities:

- net tuition and fee revenue for institutions has increased 91 percent since 2003;
- state appropriations have declined 27 percent;
- > state appropriations per FTSE have been somewhat flat, in nominal dollars;
- public university expenditures per FTSE (adjusted for inflation) increased 2.1 percent from \$22,768 in FY 2003 to \$23,247 in 2015 (THECB, 2016); and
- since the 2003 deregulation of tuition and fees charged at public universities, the amount of resident undergraduate tuition and fees has increased by 119 percent in real dollars (70%, in dollars adjusted for inflation).


During the period 1994-2003, state appropriations decreased annually by 1.1 percent, while net tuition and fees increased annually by 6.9 percent during the same period. During the period 2001-2015, appropriations decreased annually by 2.2 percent, while net tuition and fees increased by 7.6 percent each year during that period. Figure 3 shows the relationship between net tuition and fee revenue and inflation-adjusted state appropriations for FY 1994 – 2015.


Figure 3. Public university undergraduate and graduate net tuition and fee revenue & state appropriations.⁶



[†]Source: THECB Sources and Uses Report

The tuition and fee data shown in Figure 4 reflect the average amounts⁷ charged to resident undergraduate students enrolled in 15 semester credit hours at Texas public universities. Actual charges may vary based on the student's classification and course load, the college the student attends within the university, the student's specific personal circumstances, or for other reasons deemed appropriate by the institution.





There are multiple ways that tuition and fee data are collected and reported. Table 4 reflects net revenue from an institutional perspective and costs of attending an institution from a student's perspective. The student's perspective is also reflected in Figure 4.

⁶ Adjusted for inflation; average statewide undergraduate and graduate net tuition = FY 2015 net tuition and fee revenue reported for every student (including part-time) and divided by statewide number of FTSEs. Institutions with more students represent more weight in the average.

⁷ Calculated by taking the average fee bill for a student enrolled in 15 SCH in each of the 38 universities and determining an average; the average is not weighted in relation to how many students are enrolled at each institution.

| Institution's Perspective | Student's Perspective |
|--|--|
| Net Tuition and Fee Revenue per FTSE | Undergraduate Tuition and Fee Data |
| Source: THECB Sources and Uses Report | Source: THECB Tuition and Fees Data |
| Definition: Total amount of tuition and fees paid by undergraduate and graduate students (less waivers, remissions, exemptions, scholarship discounts and allowances) at public universities, divided by FTSE enrollment. | Definition: The average amount paid by a resident undergraduate student enrolled in 15 semester credit hours per semester at public universities, calculated from net fee bills. Includes tuition, mandatory fees, and college course fees. |
| Uses: Reflects how much revenue institutions receive from tuition and fee sources, accounting for their total enrollment. Allows comparison to other sources of institutional revenue (e.g. state appropriations). | Uses: Reflects how much a full-time undergraduate student paid in tuition and fees. Does not include part-time or graduate students. Allows comparison of students in similar situations across institutions. |
| Increase since 2003 (inflation-adjusted): 91% | Increase since 2003 (inflation-adjusted): 70% |

Table 4. Tuition and fee revenue perspectives.

Foregone Revenue to Institutions due to Exemptions and Waivers

The Texas Legislature has authorized 57 exemption and waiver programs. Exemption programs allow specified groups of Texas residents or non-residents to enroll without paying tuition, or, in some cases, tuition and fees. Waiver programs allow special groups of non-residents to enroll and pay a reduced non-resident rate. Of the 57 programs, 31 are mandated for all public institutions and implementation of the 26 optional programs is left to the discretion of institutions.

The amount of foregone revenue resulting from tuition exemptions and waivers for all institutions totaled \$752.2 million in 2015 (Integrated Financial Reporting System, FY 2015). Of this amount, \$413.3 million (55%) was associated with mandatory exemptions and waivers while \$338.9 million (45%) was a result of optional exemptions and waivers. Section 3 of this chapter provides more details on these programs, highlighting the programs that represent the largest dollar amounts and including a complete list of the programs.

Compliance Costs to Institutions

According to a study assembled by the National Association of Student Financial Aid Administrators, estimated federal regulatory compliance costs higher education \$27 billion per year. The cost of compliance with federal regulations is estimated to be between 3 percent and 11 percent of total non-hospital operating expenditures. Of the estimated \$27 billion cost of federal compliance for the entire higher education sector, an estimated \$17 billion is attributable to higher education (including financial aid) and all-sector compliance, with the remaining \$10 billion going to research-related compliance.

When reviewed by sector, community colleges were estimated to incur \$6 billion in compliance costs, for-profit institutions were estimated to incur \$1 billion, and four-year institutions incurred the remaining estimated balance of \$20 billion.

Funding Challenges for Community Colleges

Historically, state government has funded a significant part of the administrative and instructional expenses for community college districts. In turn, the districts have funded costs related to physical

plant and facilities primarily through revenues generated from local tax bases. However, state support of administrative and instructional expenses has declined from a high of 62 percent in FY 1999 to 30 percent in FY 2015.

The shift in state fiscal support introduces a number of funding issues. Local financial resources for many community college districts, especially those in rural areas of the state, are severely limited by their constricted tax bases. The poorest district has a gross assessed valuation of only \$105 million and collected only \$237,000 in taxes. The property valuation requirement established by the Texas Legislature in 1985 for the creation of new districts is \$2.5 billion, a level that, currently, seven established community colleges do not meet. All of those districts are rural or in smaller cities and several cover an area significantly smaller than the county in which they are located.

In addition, several community college districts have reached, or are near their maximum local tax levy, further restricting their ability to meet the financial challenges of maintaining and expanding facilities and providing for new educational and training needs of the community.

Section 2: Tuition Set-Asides

Texas Public Educational Grant (TPEG) Program

In 1975, the Texas Legislature created the TPEG program to provide grant assistance to students with financial need. In FY 2015, approximately \$147 million in financial aid was awarded through the TPEG Program (see Chapter 4, Section 4). To fund this program, public institutions of higher education are required to "set aside" funds for TPEG awards, of which, not less than 90 percent of TPEG funds must be used for grants to students whose educational costs are not met in whole or in part from other sources, while more than 10 percent may be used for emergency loans. The set-aside amounts are established in Texas Education Code, Section 56.033 as follows:

Public Institutions of Higher Education

- Not less than 15 percent nor more than 20 percent of the statutory tuition collected from resident students attending universities, state public colleges and state technical institutes; and
- > 3 percent of each nonresident student's statutory tuition charge.

Public Community Colleges

- Not less than 6 percent nor more than 20 percent of resident hourly tuition at community colleges, exclusive of out-of-district charges; and
- At least \$1.50 must be set aside from nonresident student hourly tuition charges for academic courses at a public community college.

Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015)

In deregulating tuition by allowing universities to set their own designated tuition rates in 2003, the 78th Texas Legislature also required all public institutions of higher education to set aside a portion of their designated tuition revenues for financial aid targeted to financially needy students. Specifically, HB 3015 amended the Texas Education Code by adding Sections 56.011 and 56.012, which require institutions to set aside not less than 15 percent of the amount of undergraduate and graduate designated tuition charged in excess of \$46 per semester credit hour. This set-aside is to be used for financial assistance for financially needy resident undergraduate or graduate students at the institution. In FY 2015, grant and scholarship aid awarded through HB 3015 set-asides totaled approximately \$198 million (see Chapter 4, Section 5).

Previously, institutions were also required to set aside an additional five percent of the undergraduate amount of designated tuition charged in excess of \$46 per semester credit hour to fund the B-On-Time (BOT) Loan Program (see Chapter 4, Section 10). These funds were used to make zero-interest loans to qualifying students. The promissory note for each loan included a provision stating that the loan would be forgiven if the student graduated on-time and with a 'B' average. House Bill 700, 84th Texas Legislature, limited future BOT awards to students who had received a loan prior to the 2015-2016 academic year and abolishes the program entirely in 2020. The five percent tuition set-aside was eliminated beginning in fall 2015.

Section 3: Tuition Exemptions and Waivers

The Texas Legislature has authorized a variety of programs that exempt or waive tuition, fees, and other costs of attendance at public colleges and universities. These exemption and waiver programs are targeted to specific populations that have been identified as warranting special consideration. Generally, an exemption allows special groups of Texas residents or non-residents to enroll without paying tuition or, in some cases, tuition and fees. A waiver allows special groups of non-residents to enroll and pay a reduced non-resident tuition rate.

Many exemption and waiver programs reward individuals or their families for services rendered. Others strengthen institutional recruitment of faculty, research assistants, teaching assistants, and highly qualified students. Of the 57 tuition exemption and waiver programs, 31 are mandated for all public institutions, but implementation of the 26 optional programs is left to the discretion of the governing board of each institution. If the institution chooses to offer the program, however, it must serve all eligible students.

Institutions generally have local discretion to identify the documentation needed to support a student's claim of eligibility. Once eligibility is determined by the appropriate institutional authority, billing is adjusted accordingly and the student pays any remaining balance of expenses.

Variation in Program Characteristics

Exemption and waiver programs have been added and amended by the Texas Legislature over a period of many years, with limited uniformity in definition, application, or structure for the programs. There is variation in definitions related to eligibility, benefits, academic requirements and residency. For example, some programs limit the total credit hours that will qualify for exemption (120, 150, or 200). Others authorize exemptions until a degree is awarded, while others have no restriction on total hours after the student initially qualifies for the exemption.

In terms of eligibility, some programs require financial need while others include some merit components (such as a recommendation from a high school principal) or satisfactory academic progress. Senate Bill 1210, 83rd Texas Legislature, Regular Session, and effective for fall 2014, imposes a minimum grade point average for continuation awards for most exemption programs. Some exemptions and waivers require Texas residency as defined in the Texas Education Code, while others are silent on residency. The value of awards varies from a single fee or charge to "all dues, fees, and charges whatsoever." Terminology is also varied, as some programs refer to exempting "mandatory fees," while others reference only "fees," and still others use the term "tuition fees."

Some programs are large and well known, such as the Hazlewood Exemption, which provides an exemption from tuition and some fees for eligible Texas veterans and/or their families. Others are relatively obscure and uniquely targeted, such as the Registered Nurses in Postgraduate Nursing Degree Programs Waiver, which permits institutions to allow qualifying out-of-state students to pay resident tuition rates. A complete list of exemptions and waivers is provided in Table 9 of this chapter.

Foregone Revenue to Institutions

The All Funds Formula Funding Allocations to institutions does not include funding to offset tuition and fees lost to exemptions and waivers claimed at an institution. One exception occurred in 2013, when the 83rd Texas Legislature appropriated \$30 million to offset tuition and fee losses by institutions from students using the "Hazlewood Legacy Act" option authorized by the 81st Texas Legislature. These funds were distributed to institutions at the end of FY 2013 with two additional years to expend the funds.

Given the large amount of foregone revenue to institutions represented by tuition exemptions and waivers, it is important to recognize their possible impact on tuition and fee charges for all students attending public institutions of higher education in Texas. The following exemption and waiver data for FY 2015 illustrate this:


- Texas has 37 exemption programs and 20 waiver programs, providing assistance to 283,441 students in FY 2015
- > The amount of forgone tuition and fee revenue for institutions totaled \$752.2 million
- Exemptions and waivers at public universities represented 78 percent (\$583.3 million) of this total
- \$413.3 million (55%) of the total was associated with the cost of mandatory exemptions and waivers
- \$338.9 million (45%) of the total was associated with optional programs that some institutions elected to administer

Table 5 reflects annual increases in the number of recipients and dollar amount for tuition exemptions and waivers from FY 2011 to FY 2015. The number of recipients has increased by 19.5 percent, while the amount of foregone revenue to institutions increased by 51 percent during this period.

| FY | # Recipients ⁸ | Amount |
|-------|------------------------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | 237,283 | \$498,129,489 |
| 2012 | 255,343 | \$573,537,448 |
| 2013 | 258,211 | \$653,107,940 |
| 2014 | 268,883 | \$699,853,886 |
| 2015 | 283,441 | \$752,223,267 |
| Total | 1,303,161 | \$3,176,852,030 |

| Table 5. | Total exemptions | and waivers, | FY 2011-2015. |
|----------|------------------|--------------|---------------|
|----------|------------------|--------------|---------------|

⁸ Each exemption and waiver reported in IFRS is associated with a recipient; therefore, a student receiving more than one exemption and/or waiver is reported more than once as a recipient.



\$450 \$395 \$400 \$366 \$357 \$351 \$334 \$350 \$317 \$302 \$291 \$300 \$256 \$250 Millions \$207 \$200 \$150 \$100 \$50 \$0 FY 2011 FY 2012 FY 2013 FY 2014 FY 2015 Exemptions Waivers

Figure 6. Institutional foregone revenue from exemptions and waivers, FY 2011-2015.

Table 6 reflects the total exemptions and waivers by institutional sector. The number of students enrolled in public universities and health-related institutions (HRIs) increased by almost 48,838 students (8.5%) from FY 2011 to FY 2015. The number of students attending these institutions who received tuition exemptions and waivers in FY 2011 increased by 28,427 (27.3%) by FY 2015. These additional exemptions and waivers represented a \$213.8 million increase (53.6%) by FY 2015, compared with FY 2011.

Although the number of students attending public two-year institutions *decreased* by 30,774 students (4.1%) from FY 2011 to FY 2015, the number of students receiving exemptions and waivers while attending those institutions *increased* by 17,731, representing \$40.2 million more in foregone revenue for these institutions in FY 2015 than in FY 2011. This represents a 41 percent increase in the amount of tuition exemptions and waivers awarded at public two-year institutions from FY 2011 to FY 2015.

| Institutional Sector | Public Unive | rsities & HRIs | Two-Year Public Institutions | | ions TOTAL - ALL | |
|-------------------------|--------------|----------------|------------------------------|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| | # | | # | | # | |
| Fiscal Year | Recipients | Amount | Recipients | Amount | Recipients | Amount |
| 2011 | 104,197 | \$399,059,118 | 133,086 | \$99,070,371 | 237,283 | \$498,129,489 |
| 2012 | 117,417 | \$452,048,144 | 138,284 | \$121,489,303 | 255,701 | \$573,537,448 |
| 2013 | 111,523 | \$518,933,325 | 146,688 | \$134,174,615 | 258,211 | \$653,107,940 |
| 2014 | 124,796 | \$559,581,809 | 144,087 | \$140,272,077 | 268,883 | \$699,853,886 |
| 2015 | 132,624 | \$612,869,473 | 150,817 | \$139,353,794 | 283,441 | \$752,223,267 |

| Table 6. Exemptions and | waivers, by | institutional | sector, FY | 2011-2015. |
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|------------|
|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|------------|------------|

Hazlewood exemptions (Table 7) represented the largest amount of foregone institutional revenue from tuition exemptions and waivers in FY 2015. The Hazlewood Act provides qualified veterans, and in some cases, spouses and dependent children, an education benefit for up to 150 hours of tuition exemption, including most fees.

In 2009, the 81st Texas Legislature authorized new provisions, known as the "Hazlewood Legacy Act," which remove certain residency restrictions, extend eligibility to spouses, and permit eligible veterans to assign their unused hours to their child. This component represented \$114.3 million (62%) of the \$184.7 million total for Hazlewood exemptions in FY 2015. Comparing FY 2011 data with FY 2015 data, the total number of all Hazlewood exemptions increased by 85 percent, while the total dollar amount represented by these exemptions increased by 157 percent.

The second largest exemption program in FY 2015 was Courses for Joint High School and Junior College Credit (Dual Credit), while the largest tuition waiver programs, also shown in Table 7, were for competitive scholarship recipients (optional) and research and teaching assistants (mandatory).

| Exemption/Waiver | Public Universiites & HRIs | Two-Year Public Institutions | All Sectors |
|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------------|
| Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Children Credit) | \$11,106,850 | \$1,083,977 | \$12,190,827 |
| Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Children Non-Credit) | \$0 | \$44,026 | \$44,026 |
| Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Legacy) | \$107,056,730 | \$7,274,515 | \$114,331,246 |
| Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Spouse Credit) | \$936,007 | \$237,868 | \$1,173,875 |
| Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Spouse Non-Credit) | \$0 | \$4,522 | \$4,522 |
| Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Veterans Credit) | \$40,891,874 | \$15,540,918 | \$56,432,792 |
| Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Veterans Non-Credit) | \$0 | \$488,208 | \$488,208 |
| Total Hazelwood Exemptions | \$159,991,462 | \$24,674,033 | \$184,665,495 |
| Courses for Joint High School and Junior College Credit (exemption) | \$0 | \$81,066,210 | \$81,066,210 |
| Scholarship Student (waiver) | \$173,371,742 | \$2,658,366 | \$176,030,108 |
| Teaching or Research Assistant (waiver) | \$124,069,498 | \$202,539 | \$124,272,037 |

Table 7. Largest exemption and waiver programs, FY 2015.

Source: IFRS

Table 8 shows five years of data for the seven Hazlewood exemption provisions. The number of Hazlewood Legacy Act exemptions increased from 2,722 awards in FY 2011, to 19,003 awards in FY 2015, representing a 598 percent increase. During the same period, the dollar amount represented by Hazlewood Legacy Act exemptions increased from \$14.4 million to \$114.3 million, representing a 693.8 percent increase. The dollar amount awarded to veterans for non-credit hours (continuing education) is

the only Hazlewood exemption category showing a decrease when FY 2011 data are compared with FY 2015 data.

Table 9 lists all exemptions and waivers, the applicable statutory citation, whether each is mandatory or optional, the number of awards, and the total amount of the awards, by institutional sector.

| | Grai | Grand Totals | | Hazlewood Dependents (Credit Hours) | | Hazlewood Dependents (Non-Credit Hours) | | zlewood lents Legacy Act |
|----------------|---------|----------------|---------------|---|--------|--|---------------|--------------------------------|
| Fiscal Year | Awards | <u>Dollars</u> | <u>Awards</u> | <u>Dollars</u> | Awards | <u>Dollars</u> | <u>Awards</u> | <u>Dollars</u> |
| 2011 | 22,585 | 71,850,210 | 1,961 | \$10,932,045 | 2 | \$560 | 2,722 | \$14,484,274 |
| 2012 | 31,595 | 113,412,252 | 3,268 | \$13,234,257 | 3 | \$1,199 | 7,102 | \$43,444,679 |
| 2013 | 38,385 | 150,777,640 | 1,744 | \$8,226,382 | 9 | \$11,317 | 12,233 | \$76,320,929 |
| 2014 | 41,978 | 172,127,563 | 1,833 | \$9,654,837 | 23 | \$18,811 | 17,434 | \$100,517,656 |
| 2015 | 41,804 | 184,665,495 | 2,183 | \$12,190,827 | 41 | \$44,026 | 19,003 | \$114,331,246 |
| | 176,347 | \$692,833,160 | 10,989 | \$54,238,347 | 78 | \$75,913 | 58,494 | \$349,098,783 |

Table 8. Hazlewood exemptions, FY 2011-2015.

| | Hazlewood Spouse (Credit Hours) | | Spo | Hazlewood Spouse (Non-Credit) | | Hazlewood Veteran (Credit Hours) | | ood Veteran redit Hours) |
|----------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------|
| Fiscal Year | <u>Awards</u> | Dollars | <u>Awards</u> | Dollars | <u>Awards</u> | <u>Dollars</u> | <u>Awards</u> | <u>Dollars</u> |
| 2011 | 31 | \$113,972 | 0 | \$0 | 17,455 | \$45,739,687 | 414 | \$579,672 |
| 2012 | 52 | \$140,311 | 0 | \$0 | 20,672 | \$56,040,927 | 498 | \$550,880 |
| 2013 | 143 | \$560,264 | 3 | \$5,854 | 23,730 | \$64,914,151 | 523 | \$738,742 |
| 2014 | 440 | \$920,352 | 5 | \$8,251 | 21,728 | \$60,297,032 | 515 | \$710,625 |
| 2015 | 342 | \$1,173,875 | 4 | \$4,522 | 19,800 | \$56,432,792 | 431 | \$488,208 |
| | 1,008 | \$2,908,774 | 12 | \$18,627 | 103,385 | \$283,424,589 | 2,381 | \$3,068,126 |

| Name of Exemption | TEC § | Mandatory or Optional | Public Uni | versities & HRIs | Public Two-Year Institutions | |
|--|---------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| | | Optional | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount |
| Adopted Students Formerly in Foster or Other Residential Care | 54.367(a) | Mandatory | 637 | \$4,819,608 | 867 | \$1,166,450 |
| Blind, Deaf Students | 54.364 | Mandatory | 1,376 | \$8,662,142 | 2,117 | \$2,807,721 |
| Children of Disabled Firefighters and Law Enforcement Officers | 54.351 | Mandatory | 58 | \$428,897 | 50 | \$87,938 |
| Children of Professional Nursing Program Faculty | 54.355 | Mandatory | 59 | \$237,884 | 27 | \$24,498 |
| Combat Duty Dependents | 54.2031 | Optional | * | \$716 | * | \$15,059 |
| Concurrent High School and College-Level Credit | 54.216 | Optional | 4,385 | \$7,069,952 | 6,733 | \$4,968,137 |
| Courses for Joint High School and Junior College Credit | 130.008 | Optional | 0 | \$0 | 102,456 | \$81,066,210 |
| Designated Tuition, Hardship | 54.261 | Optional | 2,806 | \$4,821,938 | 0 | \$0 |
| Disabled Peace Officers | 54.352 | Optional | * | \$105,207 | 8 | \$6,850 |
| Distance Learning or Off-Campus Course | 54.218 | Optional | 17,238 | \$4,785,527 | 69 | \$3,350 |
| Education Benefits for Certain Survivors | 54.354 | Mandatory | 43 | \$327,707 | 15 | \$25,249 |
| Firefighters and Peace Officers Enrolled in Certain Courses | 54.353(a) | Mandatory | 497 | \$1,027,145 | 253 | \$139,162 |
| Firefighters Enrolled in Fire Science Course | 54.353 | Mandatory | 416 | \$950,176 | 2,049 | \$2,261,733 |
| Fully Funded Courses | 54.217 | Optional | 85 | \$73,020 | 82 | \$44,158 |
| Hazlewood Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Children Credit) | 54.341 (b)(1) | Mandatory | 1,567 | \$11,106,850 | 616 | \$1,083,977 |
| Hazlewood Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Children Non-Credit) | 54.341 (b)(1) | Mandatory | 0 | \$0 | 41 | \$44,026 |
| Hazlewood Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Legacy) | 54.341 (k) | Mandatory | 14,452 | \$107,056,730 | 4,551 | \$7,274,515 |
| Hazlewood Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Spouse Credit) | 54.341 (a-2) | Mandatory | 193 | \$936,007 | 149 | \$237,868 |
| Hazlewood Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Spouse Non-Credit) | 54.341 (a-2) | Mandatory | 0 | \$0 | * | \$4,522 |
| Hazlewood Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Veterans Credit) | 54.341 (a) | Mandatory | 8,730 | \$40,891,874 | 11,070 | \$15,540,918 |
| Hazlewood Veterans and Other Military Personnel, Dependents (Veterans Non-Credit) | 54.341 (a) | Mandatory | 0 | \$0 | 431 | \$488,208 |
| Highest Ranking High School Graduates | 54.301 | Optional | 1,017 | \$7,131,005 | 149 | \$230,859 |
| Inter-Institutional Academic Programs | 54.368 | Optional | 151 | \$421,235 | 0 | \$0 |
| Participants in Military Funerals | 54.344 | Mandatory | * | \$450 | 0 | \$0 |
| Preceptors for Professional Nursing Education Programs | 54.356 | Mandatory | 296 | \$220,695 | 155 | \$92,342 |
| Reduced Designated Tuition Rates for Courses Provided During Off-Peak Hours at Certain Institutions | 54.061 | Optional | 37 | \$71,111 | 0 | \$0 |
| Senior Citizens - 6 Hours Credit | 54.365(c) | Optional | 220 | \$488,068 | 2,235 | \$592,302 |
| Senior Citizens - Audit | 54.365(b) | Optional | 50 | \$95,525 | 50 | \$24,424 |
| Senior College Plan (Texas Tomorrow Fund) | 54.624 | Mandatory | 12,006 | \$15,106,580 | 0 | <u>+,</u> \$0 |
| Student Services Fees | 54.262 | Optional | 0 | \$0 | 18 | \$384 |
| Students 55 Years or Older | 54.263 | Optional | 282 | \$492,375 | 243 | \$17,927 |
| Students Under Conservatorship of Department of Family and Protective Services | 54.366 | Mandatory | 717 | \$4,753,965 | 2,478 | \$3,635,671 |
| Tuition for District Employees (Community Colleges) | 130.0851 | Optional | 0 | \$0 | 1,380 | \$784,569 |
| Tuition for Students Residing Outside of District (Ad Valorem) | 130.0032 | Optional | 0 | <u>\$0</u> | 360 | \$271,760 |
| Tuition Limit in Cases of Concurrent Enrollment | 54.011 | Mandatory | 533 | \$285,961 | 7 | \$821 |
| Tuition Reduction (for students taking 15 or more hours) | 54.01 | Mandatory | 832 | \$276,004 | 44 | \$3,800 |
| Waiver of Fees | 54.5035 | Optional | 17,272 | \$11,060,914 | 691 | \$670,034 |
| Subtotal | 0 110000 | optional | 85,975 | \$233,705,268 | 139,403 | |
| Total Exemptions | 225,378 | \$357,320,709 | , | +,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | , | ,- , |

Table 9. Exemption and waiver detail, by institutional sector, FY 2015.9

⁹Due to FERPA restrictions, award counts of fewer than five are represented with an asterisk.

| Name of Waiver | TEC § Mandatory or | | Public Ur | iversities & HRIs | Public Two-Year Institutions | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|-----------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | | Optional | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount |
| Academic Common Market | 54.233 | Mandatory | 53 | \$225,608 | 0 | \$0 |
| Biomedical Research Program, Scholarship | 54.214 | Mandatory | 61 | \$944,394 | 0 | \$0 |
| Economic Development and Diversification | 54.222 | Mandatory | 412 | \$3,553,478 | 6 | \$5,347 |
| Faculty and Dependents | 54.211 | Mandatory | 235 | \$1,584,662 | 36 | \$45,073 |
| Military Personnel and Dependents (Intent to Stay) | 54.241 (d,I,k) | Mandatory | 619 | \$6,670,874 | 181 | \$268,214 |
| Military Personnel and Dependents | 54.241 | Mandatory | 1,702 | \$15,869,428 | 6,880 | \$9,154,895 |
| National Student Exchange Program | 51.93 | Optional | 177 | \$1,364,254 | 0 | \$0 |
| NATO Agreement | 54.232 | Mandatory | * | \$121,700 | 0 | \$0 |
| Nonresident Tuition Rates at Certain Institutions (100 Miles) | 54.0601 | Optional | 1,531 | \$9,706,255 | 0 | \$0 |
| Registered Nurses in Postgraduate Nursing Degree Programs | 54.251 | Optional | * | \$10,860 | 0 | \$0 |
| Resident of Bordering County or Parish | 54.231 (a) | Optional | 1,216 | \$8,662,609 | 222 | \$174,864 |
| Resident of Bordering Nation (Health Programs) | 54.231 (b)(4) | Mandatory | * | \$14,972 | 0 | \$0 |
| Resident of Bordering Nation | 54.231 (b) | Mandatory | 1,977 | \$16,207,964 | 0 | \$0 |
| Resident of Bordering Nations Participating in Student Exchange Program | 54.231(c) | Mandatory | 524 | \$6,472,956 | 0 | \$0 |
| Resident of Bordering States | 54.231(g) | Mandatory | 546 | \$3,648,519 | 1,335 | \$3,023,807 |
| Scholarship Student | 54.213 | Optional | 19,210 | \$173,371,742 | 2,561 | \$2,658,366 |
| Students from Other Nations of the American Hemisphere | 54.331 | Optional | 219 | \$3,761,172 | 111 | \$178,582 |
| Teaching or Research Assistant | 54.212 | Mandatory | 17,944 | \$124,069,498 | 51 | \$202,539 |
| Texas Tomorrow Fund | 54.621(c) | Mandatory | 177 | \$2,344,425 | 31 | \$26,667 |
| The University of Texas System Science and Technology Development, Management, and | F4 221 | Ontional | 27 | | 0 | ¢0 |
| Transfer | 54.221 | Optional | 27 | \$558,834 | 0 | \$0 |
| Subtotal | | | 46,649 | \$379,164,204 | 11,414 | \$15,738,353 |
| Total Waivers | 58,063 | \$394,902,558 | | | | |
| | 202 444 | | | | | |
| Grand Total All Exemptions & Waivers | 283,441 | \$752,223,267 | | | | |

Source: IFRS

Section 1: Types and Sources of Financial Aid

Total enrollment at nonprofit Texas institutions of higher education was 1,453,045 students (<u>http://reports.thecb.state.tx.us/approot/dwprodrpt/enrmenu.htm</u> fall 2014 enrollment), and 855,324 of them (58.9 percent) received some form of financial aid in FY 2015, for a total of \$9.2 billion. Undergraduates represented 88.3 percent of the total enrollment. Historically, the majority of publicly funded gift aid has been available to financially needy undergraduate students, while graduate students have relied more on loans and income from work while they are enrolled.

Unless otherwise noted, the source of the data provided in this section is the Financial Aid Database System (FADS). The primary point of interest is FADS data concerning students who applied for financial aid by completing a Free Application for Federal Student Aid (FAFSA), Texas Application for Student Financial Aid (TASFA), or a comparable form of need analysis. However, aid is also reported in FADS for students who did not complete need analysis, but received some form of financial assistance.

Types of financial aid and sources of funds awarded

Figure 7 is a depiction of financial aid to undergraduate and graduate students who completed need analysis, while Figures 8 and 11 provide a separate view for each of these student groups. These figures illustrate a significant difference between undergraduates and graduate students in terms of the financial aid they receive¹⁰.




Figure 7. Undergraduate and graduate financial aid, by type of aid, FY 2015.

Billions

Federal aid represented 71.2 percent of all financial aid (excluding tuition exemptions and waivers) to Texas students, mostly in the form of loans (\$4.5 billion). The state and federal investment in grant aid to undergraduates was more than \$2.5 billion, compared with \$10.3 million for graduate students.

¹⁰ A total of \$7.1 million was reported as aid to graduate students attending public two-year institutions; this amount represents seven one-hundredths of a percent (.07%) of total aid reported in FADS and therefore did not impact the overall percentages of aid depicted in this report.

Exemptions and waivers totaling \$752.2 million, reported in the Integrated Fiscal Reporting System (IFRS), are not included as grant aid in this chapter of the report. Because the IFRS does not does not capture the characteristics of individual students in the manner that FADS does, data regarding income, ethnicity, and student classification are not currently available for exemptions and waivers awarded. More information on tuition exemptions and waivers is presented in Chapter 2, Section 3.

Work-Study played a very small role in the overall financial aid awarded, and undergraduate students received 93.7 percent of all Work-Study funds disbursed. The amount of grant aid exceeded loan aid to undergraduates by 10.5 percentage points, while the amount of loan aid exceeded grant aid to graduate students by 71.2 percentage points.

Section 2: Financial Aid to Undergraduate Students

In 2015, undergraduate students relied on loans to a great extent, even though the amount of grant aid exceeded the amount of loan assistance. Although Work-study funds represented a very small percentage of overall financial aid to undergraduates, the impact of the work-study programs was not small, assisting more than 33,000 students.




Figure 8. Percentage of aid to undergraduate students, by aid type and source FY 2015.

Table 10. Types and sources of aid to undergraduate students, FY 2015.

| Type of Aid | Federal Sources | State Sources | Institutional Sources | Other Sources | Total Sources |
|------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Grant Aid | \$2,016,985,077 | \$485,816,106 | \$940,473,689 | \$665,212,089 | \$4,108,486,961 |
| Loans | \$3,008,058,733 | \$157,739,759 | - | \$132,970,375 | \$3,298,768,867 |
| Work-Study | \$51,534,015 | \$8,283,426 | \$3,489,777 | - | \$63,307,218 |
| Other HB3015 Aid | - | - | \$78,135 | - | \$78,135 |
| Total Aid | \$5,076,577,825 | \$651,839,291 | \$944,041,601 | \$798,182,464 | \$7,470,641,181 |

Table 11 includes a list of the different types of gift aid, the number of students served, and the total amount disbursed.

Federal Pell grants represented almost half (48.1%) of the \$4.1 billion in grant and scholarship aid to undergraduates in FY 2015. Merit aid represented 18.9 percent of all scholarships and grants to undergraduates.

| Federal Grants | # Recipients | Amount |
|---|--------------|-----------------|
| Federal Pell | 548,014 | \$1,974,762,246 |
| Federal SEOG | 55,305 | \$42,222,831 |
| Total | 603,319 | \$2,016,985,077 |
| State Grants | # Recipients | Amount |
| TEXAS Grant | 74,629 | \$339,475,026 |
| TEG | 24,138 | \$83,196,364 |
| TEOG | 26,624 | \$51,224,873 |
| Top Ten Percent Scholarship | 16,215 | \$11,919,843 |
| Total | 141,606 | \$485,816,106 |
| Institutional Sources | # Recipients | Amount |
| TPEG | 107,041 | \$124,294,569 |
| Student Deposit Scholarships | 1,349 | \$2,063,990 |
| Merit-based Aid - Funded by institutions | 97,820 | \$649,239,764 |
| HB 3015 | 72,332 | \$164,875,366 |
| Total | 278,542 | \$940,473,689 |
| Other/Private Sources | # Recipients | Amount |
| Categorical Aid | 62,723 | \$198,731,003 |
| Merit-based Aid - Funded by donations to institutions | 41,069 | \$126,898,418 |
| Other Grants and Scholarships | 67,591 | \$339,582,668 |
| Total | 171,383 | \$665,212,089 |
| Grand Total | 1,194,850 | \$4,108,486,961 |

| Table 11. Grants and | scholarships to un | dergraduate stu | dents, by program | , FY 2015. |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------|

Table 12 shows how much students attending Texas institutions rely on federal loans to help them pay for higher education. In FY 2015, federal loans comprised 91 percent of undergraduate borrowing.

| Federal Loans | # Recipients | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Perkins Loan | 8,117 | \$23,993,614 |
| Federal Direct Loans | 348,378 | \$1,273,858,138 |
| Federal Direct Unsubsidized Loans | 307,490 | \$1,254,804,560 |
| Federal Direct PLUS Loans | 37,746 | \$450,988,141 |
| Teach Grant (forgiveness loan) | 1357 | \$4,414,280 |
| Total | 703,088 | \$3,008,058,733 |
| State Loans | # Recipients | Amount |
| CAL (College Access Loan) | 7,814 | \$98,756,820 |
| BOT (B-On-Time Loan) | 9,504 | \$58,982,939 |
| Total | 17,318 | \$157,739,759 |
| Other Loans | # Recipients | Amount |
| Alternative Loans - Private Lenders | 12,866 | \$132,970,375 |
| Total | 12,866 | \$132,970,375 |
| Grand Total | 733,272 | \$3,298,768,867 |

Table 12. Loans to undergraduate students, by program, FY 2015.¹¹

Financial Aid to Undergraduate Students, by Income Level

Of the 1,283,375 undergraduates who enrolled at non-profit institutions of higher education in Texas in FY 2015, 52.4 percent received grants and 31.9 percent received loans. Approximately half of the undergraduates who received grants had family incomes below the poverty level for a family of four (\$23,264) and 78.8 percent had incomes below the Texas median (\$52,550).

More than 46 percent of undergraduates whose income was in the poverty range received loans. The percentage of undergraduate loan recipients whose income was in the poverty range, 38.8 percent, exceeded the percentage of undergraduate loan recipients whose income was between the poverty and median levels by 14.6 percentage points, and exceeded that of the undergraduate loan recipients whose income was above the median by 1.8 percentage points. Among the undergraduates who applied for need-based aid and received loans, 63 percent had income below the median.

¹¹ Texas Armed Services Scholarship Program (TASSP) data are not reported in the FADS system, and thus are not included here.




Figure 9. Percentage of undergraduates receiving aid within income ranges, FY 2015.

Institutional View of Undergraduate Financial Student Aid


Figure 10 displays all financial aid awarded to undergraduates by each of the three institutional sectors noted in Chapter 1 (Public Universities and HRIs, Private or Independent Institutions, and Public Two-Year Institutions) and the percentage of total dollars for undergraduates represented by each type of aid. The total amount of financial aid awarded to students attending Texas non-profit institutions of higher education was distributed as follows:

- > Public Universities and HRIs: 53.1 percent of all aid awarded
- > Private or Independent Institutions: 22.8 percent of all aid awarded
- > Public Two-Year Institutions: 24.1 percent of all aid awarded

Total enrollment is distributed among the institutional sectors as follows:

- Public Universities and HRIs: 42.5 percent of all enrollment
- > Private or Independent Institutions: 8.5 percent of all enrollment
- > Public Two-Year Institutions: 49 percent of all enrollment

The distribution of types of aid at public two-year institutions is somewhat similar to that of aid to students attending private or independent institutions. There is a more marked difference in the distribution of types of aid when public universities and HRIs are compared with the other two sectors. Loan aid represented half of all financial aid disbursed to students attending public universities and HRIs, compared with 38 percent and 32 percent of aid awarded to students attending private or independent institutions and public two-year institutions, respectively.



Section 3: Financial Aid to Graduate Students

\$1,704,810,560

\$3,965,744,959

Total

The percentage of federal aid to graduate students exceeded federal aid to undergraduate students by almost 16 percentage points, reflecting graduate students' substantial dependence on federal loans to meet their education costs. Conversely, federal grant aid to undergraduates exceeded federal grant aid to graduates by more than \$2 billion, as Federal Pell grants, the largest federal grant program, are not available to graduate students, with the exception of those who are enrolled in post-baccalaureate teacher certification programs. Figure 11 clearly shows that the majority of financial aid to graduate students is in the form of loans.

\$1,800,085,662

¹² Other Grant Aid includes Federal Pell and SEOG grants, scholarships from organizations outside the institutions, Student Deposit Scholarships, other grants and scholarships, and merit aid.

Figure 11. Percentage of aid to graduate students, by aid type and source, FY 2015.




Table 13 includes a list of the different types of gift aid, the number of students served, and the total amount disbursed, while Tables 14 and 15 provide details regarding grants, scholarships, and loans.

| Type of Aid | Federal Sources | State Sources | Institutional Sources | Other Sources | Total Sources |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------------|---------------|-----------------|
| Grant Aid | \$3,041,805 | \$7,334,190 | \$132,575,525 | \$84,680,960 | \$227,632,480 |
| Loans | \$1,503,106,406 | \$15,353,928 | \$0 | \$23,421,729 | \$1,541,882,063 |
| Work-Study | \$3,339,550 | \$345,134 | \$556,941 | | \$4,241,625 |
| Total Aid | \$1,509,487,761 | \$23,033,252 | \$133,132,466 | \$108,102,689 | \$1,773,756,168 |

| Table 13. | Types and | sources of aid | to graduate | students. | FY 2015. |
|-----------|------------|----------------|---------------|-----------|----------|
| | i ypes unu | Sources of uld | i to graduate | Students, | 11 2013. |

| Federal Grants | # Recipients | Amount |
|---|--------------|---------------|
| Federal Pell | 1,365 | \$2,976,676 |
| Federal SEOG | 96 | \$65,129 |
| Total | 1,461 | \$3,041,805 |
| State Grants | # Recipients | Amount |
| TEG | 3,176 | \$7,331,827 |
| TEOG [†] | 1 | \$2,363 |
| Total | 3,177 | \$7,334,190 |
| Institutional Sources | # Recipients | Amount |
| TPEG | 13,734 | \$22,471,468 |
| Student Deposit Scholarships | 28 | \$30,595 |
| Merit-based Aid - Funded by institutions | 14,782 | \$78,144,827 |
| HB 3015 | 15,063 | \$31,928,635 |
| Total | 43,607 | \$132,575,525 |
| Other/Private Sources | # Recipients | Amount |
| Categorical Aid | 3,949 | \$21,769,043 |
| Merit-based Aid - Funded by donations to institutions | 6,790 | \$30,464,252 |
| Other Grants and Scholarships | 8,159 | \$32,447,665 |
| Total | 18,898 | \$84,680,960 |
| Grand Total | 67,143 | \$227,632,480 |

Table 14. Grants and scholarships to graduate students, by program, FY 2015.

[†]A community college reported a TEOG award recipient as a professional student, in error.

Table 15. Loans to graduate students, by program, FY 2015.


| Federal Loans | # Recipients | Amount |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|
| Perkins Loan | 1,979 | \$8,023,539 |
| Federal Direct Loans | 5,937 | \$22,460,397 |
| Federal Direct Unsubsidized Loans | 83,425 | \$1,293,778,990 |
| Federal Direct PLUS Loans | 10,322 | \$174,330,987 |
| Teach Grant (forgiveness loan) | 1,398 | \$4,213,493 |
| Primary Care Loans | 11 | \$299,000 |
| Total | 103,072 | \$1,503,106,406 |
| State Loans | # Recipients | Amount |
| CAL (College Access Loan) | 920 | \$15,353,928 |
| Total | 920 | \$15,353,928 |
| Other Loans | # Recipients | Amount |
| Alternative Loans - Private Lenders | 2,493 | \$23,421,729 |
| Total | 2,493 | \$23,421,729 |
| Grand Total | 106,485 | \$1,541,882,063 |


Financial Aid to Graduate Students, by Income Level

A total of 169,670 graduate students were enrolled in FY 2015; 28.4 percent received grants and 50.4 percent received loans. Aid to graduate students attending public universities is very similar to that of graduate students attending public or independent institutions, with 87 percent of aid awarded in the form of loans.

In contrast to undergraduates, 58 percent of all graduate students receiving grants had incomes in the poverty range, while 81 percent of all graduate students receiving grants had incomes below the state median.

More than 45 percent of graduate students receiving loans had income in the poverty range, exceeding the undergraduate percentage of loan recipients within that income range by 6.3 percentage points. Seventy-two percent of graduate loan recipients had income below the median.






Institutional View of Graduate Financial Aid

Graduate students represented 11.7 percent of all enrollment at Texas nonprofit institutions of higher education in FY 2015. Graduate students attending public universities and HRIs received 73 percent of all financial aid awarded to graduate students.

Other observations illustrated by Figure 13, include:

- Graduate students attending public universities and HRIs received 72.7 percent of all Work-Study funds awarded to graduate students.
- Graduate students received 8.1 percent of all TEG funds awarded to students attending private or independent institutions.



Section 4: Need-Based Aid and Merit Aid

Figures 14 and 15 demonstrate that even with \$9.2 billion in student financial aid through federal, institutional, private, and state funding in FY 2015, there was still a significant amount of unmet financial need among students attending Texas institutions of higher education. Even taking into account the amount that students and their families are expected to contribute toward education costs, and despite the amount of financial aid awarded in addition to the expected family contribution, there was still need for significantly more aid to cover the gap between those resources and the cost of attendance.

The average amount of unmet need for undergraduates attending private or independent institutions was \$555 greater per student than unmet need for undergraduates attending public universities and HRIs, even though the average cost of attendance at the private or independent institutions exceeded that of public universities and HRIs by \$17,436. This fact is mostly attributable to the higher average EFC (by \$3,494), greater average amount of grant aid (by \$9,939), and greater average amount of loans (by \$3,296) for students attending private or independent institutions, compared with students attending public universities and HRIs.

The average amount of unmet need for undergraduates attending public two-year institutions in FY 2015 exceeded that of students attending public universities and HRIs by \$1,531 per student. Although the cost of attendance at public universities and HRIs exceeded that of public two-year institutions by

\$7,718, the average amount of resources per student in the form of financial aid and EFC for students attending public universities and HRIs exceeded that of students attending public two-year institutions by the following amounts: EFC, \$2,588; grant aid, \$2,163; and loans, \$4,465.




Figure 14. Average amount of unmet need for undergraduate students, FY 2015.

The average cost of attendance for graduate students at private or independent institutions exceeded the cost for graduate students attending public universities and HRIs by \$9,018 in FY 2015. However, the average amount of unmet need for students attending private or independent institutions was \$342 less than that of students attending public universities and HRIs. Compared with graduate students attending public universities and HRIs. Compared with graduate students attending public universities and HRIs. The average amount of the following resources: EFC, \$1,145; loans, \$6,172; and grant aid, \$2,115.






Figure 16 depicts three groups of students, indicating whether or not they received any financial aid in FY 2015. The largest group (Group A), students who demonstrated need and received aid, represented 89 percent of the students reported in FADS.

The students who demonstrated need but did not receive aid (Group B) represented 11 percent of the students reported in FADS. These students may have missed the financial aid application deadline (March 15 for most institutions), may not have been enrolled for a sufficient number of credit hours to qualify for financial aid, may not have met satisfactory academic progress requirements, or may have applied for aid after their institutions had already pledged all available funds to other students who applied for and qualified for need-based aid.

The students who did **not** demonstrate need, but received aid (Group C), may have received meritbased aid from institutional funds, funds donated to the institution, or outside organizations providing aid to students without their being required to apply for financial aid by completing a FAFSA, TAFSA, or comparable form of need analysis. Some of the students in this group received aid that was not needbased after their application for financial aid demonstrated an expected family contribution that met or exceeded their cost of attendance.




Figure 16. Comparison of students as to receipt of aid and demonstration of need, FY 2015.

[†] Either the student (a) did not complete need analysis, or (b) completed need analysis, which determined that there was no financial need.

More than half (57.1 percent) of all students who demonstrated need and received financial aid had a family income that was under \$30,000. The majority of the students in this group were undergraduates (88.8 percent) who were enrolled full time (at least 12 semester credit hours), and were dependents. Students must be enrolled at least half time (six semester credit hours) to qualify for most need-based aid. Only 6.2 percent of students in Group A were not Texas residents.

| Total number of students | 811,325 | | Tot |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|----------|
| Undergraduates | 720,178 | 88.8% | Income (|
| Graduates | 91,147 | 11.2% | Income s |
| TX Residents | 760,405 | 93.7% | Income s |
| Nonresidents | 49,943 | 6.2% | Income s |
| Residency unknown | 841 | 0.1% | Income s |
| Full-time enrolled (min. 12 hrs.) | 556,976 | 68.7% | Income s |
| 3/4 time enrolled (min. 9 hrs.) | 111,976 | 13.8% | Income s |
| 1/2-time enrolled (min. 6 hrs.) | 114,354 | 14.1% | Income > |
| Less than 1/2-time enrolled | 28,019 | 3.5% | |

| Table 16. Students who demonstrated need and received aid (Group A), FY 2 | 2015. |
|---|-------|
|---|-------|

| Total number of students | 811,325 | |
|-----------------------------|---------|-------|
| Income 0 - \$9,999 | 208,638 | 25.7% |
| Income \$10,000 - \$19,999 | 140,385 | 17.3% |
| Income \$20,000 - \$29,999 | 114,593 | 14.1% |
| Income \$30,000 - \$39,999 | 87,093 | 10.7% |
| Income \$40,000 - \$49,999 | 65,441 | 8.1% |
| Income \$50,000 - \$59,999 | 46,690 | 5.8% |
| Income \$60,000 - \$69,999 | 34,037 | 4.2% |
| Income >= \$70,000 | 114,448 | 14.1% |

Approximately half (49 percent) of the students who demonstrated need but did not receive financial aid (Group B) had family incomes under \$30,000. The majority (90.2 percent) of these students were undergraduates. The percentage of students enrolled less than half time in Group B, 29.7 percent, exceeded the percentage of students enrolled less than half time in Group A by 26.2 percentage points.

Table 17. Students who demonstrated need and did not receive aid (Group B), FY 2015.

| Total number of students | 103,789 | | Total number of students | 103,789 | |
|-----------------------------------|---------|-------|----------------------------|---------|-------|
| Undergraduates | 93,619 | 90.2% | Income 0 - \$9,999 | 23,948 | 23.1% |
| Graduates | 10,170 | 9.8% | Income \$10,000 - \$19,999 | 13,491 | 13.0% |
| TX Residents | 97,940 | 94.4% | Income \$20,000 - \$29,999 | 13,492 | 13.0% |
| Nonresidents | 5,462 | 5.3% | Income \$30,000 - \$39,999 | 10,497 | 10.1% |
| Residency unknown | 387 | 0.4% | Income \$40,000 - \$49,999 | 8,584 | 8.3% |
| Full-time enrolled (min. 12 hrs.) | 31,958 | 30.8% | Income \$50,000 - \$59,999 | 7,198 | 6.9% |
| 3/4 time enrolled (min. 9 hrs.) | 15,549 | 15.0% | Income \$60,000 - \$69,999 | 6,384 | 6.2% |
| 1/2-time enrolled (min. 6 hrs.) | 25,484 | 24.6% | Income >= \$70,000 | 20,195 | 19.5% |
| Less than 1/2-time enrolled | 30,798 | 29.7% | | | |

As indicated earlier, there are multiple possible reasons why students who demonstrate may not receive aid. The fact that 29.7 percent of the financially needy students who did not receive aid were enrolled less than half time, compared with 3.5 percent of those who did receive aid, suggests that many of these students did not receive aid because they were not enrolled for a sufficient number of hours to qualify for need-based aid.




Figure 17. Students who demonstrated need, FY 2015.

Table 18 (students who received aid without demonstrating need) does not include income data or dependent status because it includes students who did not apply for financial aid. This group represented only 8.8 percent of all of the students reported in FADS.

| Total number of students | 61,064 | |
|-----------------------------------|--------|-------|
| Undergraduates | 54,871 | 89.9% |
| Graduates | 6,193 | 10.1% |
| TX Residents | 56,992 | 93.3% |
| Nonresidents | 3,965 | 6.5% |
| Residency unknown | 77 | 0.1% |
| Full-time enrolled (min. 12 hrs.) | 49,075 | 80.4% |
| 3/4 time enrolled (min. 9 hrs.) | 5,285 | 8.7% |
| 1/2-time enrolled (min. 6 hrs.) | 5,739 | 9.4% |
| Less than 1/2-time enrolled | 965 | 1.6% |

Table 18. Students who did not demonstrate need and received aid (Group C), FY 2015.

Section 5: State and National Trends

Types and Sources of Financial Aid in Texas

The total amount of combined grant and loan aid awarded to students in Texas was \$370 million (\$0.37 billion) greater in FY 2015 than in FY 2011. The amount of grant aid increased by \$270 million (\$0.27 billion) during the five-year period.

Although the amount of loan aid increased by \$100 million in FY 2015 compared with FY 2011, the amount borrowed during the five-year period has decreased since reaching a high point, including a decrease of \$60 million from FY 2014 to FY 2015.




Figure 18. Loans and grants (in billions) in Texas, FY 2011-2015.

Table 19. Grant and scholorship funding sources, FY 2011-2015.¹³

| Fiscal Year | Federal Sources | State Sources | Institutional | Other | Total |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$2,264,350,637 | \$477,914,780 | \$770,754,230 | \$561,816,172 | \$4,074,835,819 |
| 2012 | \$2,154,152,909 | \$412,452,755 | \$839,121,909 | \$608,887,895 | \$4,014,615,468 |
| 2013 | \$2,047,133,342 | \$426,475,938 | \$948,240,162 | \$638,483,417 | \$4,060,332,859 |
| 2014 | \$2,044,784,127 | \$474,365,818 | \$1,004,304,588 | \$688,090,969 | \$4,211,545,502 |
| 2015 | \$2,020,026,882 | \$493,150,296 | \$1,073,049,214 | \$749,893,049 | \$4,336,119,441 |

Table 20. Loan funding sources, FY 2011-2015.

| Fiscal Year | Federal Sources | State Sources | Private Lenders | Total |
|-------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| riscar rear | | | | rotar |
| 2011 | \$4,473,761,191 | \$138,721,525 | \$126,785,657 | \$4,739,268,373 |
| 2012 | \$4,668,616,787 | \$146,443,225 | \$104,388,151 | \$4,919,448,163 |
| 2013 | \$4,581,215,842 | \$147,846,210 | \$139,978,854 | \$4,869,040,906 |
| 2014 | \$4,605,256,026 | \$141,654,551 | \$148,595,387 | \$4,895,505,964 |
| 2015 | \$4,511,165,139 | \$173,093,687 | \$156,392,104 | \$4,840,650,930 |

¹³ Other includes private donations to institutions for merit aid to students, categorical aid, and other grants and scholarships.

| | Grant Aid | | L | Loans | | Work Study | |
|-------------|-----------|------------|---------|------------|---------|------------|--|
| Fiscal Year | Dollars | % of Total | Dollars | % of Total | Dollars | % of Total | |
| 2011 | \$4.07 | 45.8% | \$4.74 | 53.4% | \$7.00 | 0.8% | |
| 2012 | \$4.01 | 44.6% | \$4.92 | 54.6% | \$0.07 | 0.8% | |
| 2013 | \$4.06 | 45.1% | \$4.87 | 54.1% | \$0.07 | 0.8% | |
| 2014 | \$4.21 | 45.9% | \$4.90 | 53.4% | \$0.06 | 0.7% | |
| 2015 | \$4.34 | 46.9% | \$4.84 | 52.3% | \$0.07 | 0.8% | |

Table 21. All aid (in billions) to students who applied for financial aid, FY 2011-2015.

Signature State Grant Programs – At a Glance

Funding for the TEXAS Grant Program has returned to its FY 2011 funding level, following budget cuts for the FY 2011-2012 biennium. Also, a one-time transfer of funds to the TEOG Program, which serves students attending public two-year institutions, occurred for FY 2015. The transfer was made to assist students who would no longer qualify for TEXAS Grants following legislation limiting initial TEXAS Grant awards to students attending public universities and HRIs. The transfer of funds is evident not only in the total amount awarded in the TEOG Program in FY 2015, compared with FY 2014, but also in the 18,778 increase in the number of students served by TEOG. Accordingly, the TEXAS Grant program served 12,657 fewer students in FY 2015, compared with FY 2014.

Funding for the TEG Program, which serves students attending private or independent institutions, has not returned to the FY 2011 level. The number of students served by this program has not changed significantly throughout the five-year period shown in Table 22.

| Program | FY11 | FY12 | FY13 | FY14 | FY15 |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| TEXAS Grant Funds (in Millions) | \$338.10 | \$286.38 | \$292.55 | \$345.43 | \$339.48 |
| TEXAS Grant Recipients | 71,410 | 75,585 | 76,873 | 87,069 | 74,412 |
| Average Award Amount | \$4,735 | \$3,789 | \$3,806 | \$3,967 | \$4,562 |
| TEG Funds (in Millions) | \$102.11 | \$84.30 | \$84.20 | \$89.42 | \$90.53 |
| TEG Recipients | 27,725 | 25,460 | 24,897 | 27,071 | 27,307 |
| Average Award Amount | \$3,683 | \$3,311 | \$3,382 | \$3,303 | \$3,315 |
| TEOG Funds (in Millions) | \$11.30 | \$9.20 | \$11.55 | \$13.70 | \$51.23 |
| TEOG Recipients | 6,825 | 5,799 | 6,562 | 7,841 | 26,619 |
| Average Award Amount | \$1,655 | \$1,586 | \$1,761 | \$1,747 | \$1,924 |

Table 22. TEXAS Grant, TEG, and TEOG funding summary, FY 2011-2015.

National Trends in Student Aid

Most states do not maintain financial aid and enrollment data that are as robust as data reported to the Coordinating Board by institutions of higher education. The data published in the College Board's *Trends in Student Aid Report 2015* provide a means of comparing data for Texas with like data that are reported as national totals. The *Trends in Student Aid Report* does not provide data by individual states, and

therefore, the data comparisons that follow are based on Texas data reported in FADS, compared with national figures reported to the College Board.

Grant funding. The amount of state grant aid as a percentage of all grant aid to Texas students has consistently exceeded the national percentage during the five-year period. While the amount of federal grant aid as a percentage of all grant aid to Texas students has dropped by 9 percentage points from FY 2011 to FY 2015, Texas has consistently awarded a higher percentage of federal grant aid as a percentage of Texas' total grant aid awarded than has been awarded nationally during this period.

Nationally, institutional grant aid as a percentage of total grant aid has exceeded the percentage awarded by Texas for each year of the FY 2011-2015 period by at least 15.5 percentage points.




Figure 19. Grant aid (in millions) in Texas, FY 2011-2015.

Table 23. Grant aid (in millions) awarded to students attending Texas institutions, FY 2011-2015.¹⁴


| Fiscal Year | Federal Grants | State Grants | Institutional Grants | Private Grants |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 2011 | \$2,264 | \$478 | \$771 | \$562 |
| 2012 | \$2,154 | \$412 | \$839 | \$609 |
| 2013 | \$2,047 | \$426 | \$948 | \$638 |
| 2014 | \$2,045 | \$474 | \$1,004 | \$688 |
| 2015 | \$2,020 | \$493 | \$1,073 | \$750 |

¹⁴ State grants include TEXAS Grants, TEG, TEOG, and Top Ten Percent Scholarship. Institutional grants include TPEG, HB 3015 Set-Asides, Student Deposit Scholarship, and merit aid from institutional funds. Private grants include categorical aid, merit aid from private donors, and other grants and scholarships.

| Fiscal Year | Federal Grants | State Grants | Institutional Grants | Private Grants |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| 2011 | 55.6% | 11.7% | 18.9% | 13.8% |
| 2012 | 53.7% | 10.3% | 20.9% | 15.2% |
| 2013 | 50.4% | 10.5% | 23.4% | 15.7% |
| 2014 | 48.6% | 11.3% | 23.8% | 16.3% |
| 2015 | 46.6% | 11.4% | 24.7% | 17.3% |

Table 24. Percentage of total grant aid (Texas), by type, FY 2011-2015.

Figure 20. Grant aid (in millions) nationally, FY 2011-2015.



Source: College Board, 2015

Table 25. National grant aid (in millions), FY 2011-2015.¹⁵

| Fiscal Year | Federal Grants | State Grants | Institutional Grants | Private/Employer Grants |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2011 | \$50,999 | \$9,722 | \$40,322 | \$13,980 |
| 2012 | \$47,096 | \$9,723 | \$43,095 | \$14,575 |
| 2013 | \$46,559 | \$9,773 | \$45,426 | \$14,857 |
| 2014 | \$45,503 | \$9,957 | \$47,703 | \$16,081 |
| 2015 | \$46,180 | \$10,136 | \$50,660 | \$16,800 |

¹⁵ Adjusted to reflect constant 2015 dollars, and reflect only funds received by students attending nonprofit institutions.

| Fiscal Year | Federal Grants | State Grants | Institutional Grants | Private/Employer Grants |
|----------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| 2011 | 44.3% | 8.5% | 35.1% | 12.2% |
| 2012 | 41.1% | 8.5% | 37.6% | 12.7% |
| 2013 | 39.9% | 8.4% | 39.0% | 12.7% |
| 2014 | 38.2% | 8.4% | 40.0% | 13.5% |
| 2015 | 37.3% | 8.2% | 40.9% | 13.6% |

Table 26. Percentage of total grant aid (nationally), by type, FY 2011-2015.

Loan funding. The federal student loans obtained by students attending Texas institutions of higher education have consistently represented approximately 94 percent of all loan aid to these students, reaching \$4.5 billion in FY 2015, in addition to \$329 million in non-federal loans. Nationally, students borrowed \$88 billion in federal loans and an additional \$10 billion in non-federal loans.

The total amount of loans to students attending Texas institutions increased by \$99 million from FY 2011 to FY 2015; this included a \$63 million increase in non-federal loans. The total amount of loans to students nationally decreased by \$13.4 billion from FY 2011 to FY 2015; this included a \$2.5 billion decrease in non-federal loans.

Students attending Texas institutions relied on federal student loan dollars by a few percentage points more than students nationally in FY 2015, while nationally, students relied on private loan dollars by a few percentage points more than Texas students, to pay for higher education costs. Texas students have access to one of the lowest-cost non-federal loan products in the country, the CAL loans currently carry a 4.5 percent fixed annual interest rate. Unlike most non-federal loans, the interest is never capitalized.






Figure 21. Loan aid in Texas, FY 2011-2015.


| | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Direct Loans (Subsidized) | \$1,844 | \$1,941 | \$1,413 | \$1,347 | \$1,296 |
| Direct Loans (Unsubsidized) | \$2,064 | \$2,101 | \$2,606 | \$2,633 | \$2,549 |
| Direct PLUS Loans | \$540 | \$589 | \$523 | \$583 | \$625 |
| Other Federal Loans | \$27 | \$39 | \$39 | \$42 | \$41 |
| Total Federal Loans | \$4,475 | \$4,670 | \$4,581 | \$4,605 | \$4,511 |
| State Loans | \$139 | \$146 | \$148 | \$142 | \$173 |
| Other Alternative Loans | \$127 | \$104 | \$140 | \$149 | \$156 |
| Total Nonfederal Loans | \$266 | \$250 | \$288 | \$291 | \$329 |
| Grand Total All Loans | \$4,741 | \$4,920 | \$4,869 | \$4,896 | \$4,840 |
| % - Federal Loans | 94.4% | 94.9% | 94.1% | 94.1% | 93.2% |
| % - Nonfederal Loans | 5.6% | 5.1% | 5.9% | 5.9% | 6.8% |

Table 27. Loans (in millions) awarded to students attending Texas institutions, FY 2011-2015.





Source: College Board, 2015



| | FY 2011 | FY 2012 | FY 2013 | FY 2014 | FY 2015 |
|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| Direct Loans (Subsidized) | \$42,620 | \$41,883 | \$28,266 | \$26,440 | \$24,674 |
| Direct Loans (Unsubsidized) | \$49,504 | \$48,461 | \$57,445 | \$55,325 | \$51,737 |
| Direct PLUS Loans | \$11,124 | \$11,444 | \$9,998 | \$10,295 | \$10,564 |
| Other Federal Loans | \$901 | \$981 | \$1,029 | \$1,174 | \$1,215 |
| Total Federal Loans | \$104,149 | \$102,769 | \$96,738 | \$93,234 | \$88,190 |
| Nonfederal Loans | \$7,637 | \$7,820 | \$9,263 | \$9,539 | \$10,120 |
| Grand Total All Loans | \$111,786 | \$110,589 | \$106,001 | \$102,773 | \$98,310 |
| % - Federal Loans | 93.2% | 92.9% | 91.3% | 90.7% | 89.7% |
| % - Nonfederal Loans | 6.8% | 7.1% | 8.7% | 9.3% | 10.3% |
| Source: College Poard 2015 | | | | | |

Source: College Board, 2015

¹⁶ Adjusted to reflect constant 2015 dollars, and reflect only funds received by students attending nonprofit institutions.


The following two pages are excerpts of the College Board's *Trends in Student Aid 2015*, providing the following data for 2013-2014:

- Average state grant (need-based and non-need-based) per full-time equivalent (FTE) undergraduate student nationally
- > Percentage of state grants based on financial need, by state
- > Grant aid per FTE undergraduate student, by state
- > State grant expenditures as a percentage of total state support for higher education

Texas was among 14 states whose state grants were 100 percent need-based. Grant aid provided by Texas was just above the 10 percent mark as a percentage of total state support for higher education. Twenty-one states surpassed this mark. Grants funded by HB 3015 tuition set-asides and TPEG set-asides are included in the data for Texas, but foregone revenue to institutions in the form of tuition exemptions and waivers are not included as grant aid in the College Board's calculations.

State Grants

State grant aid per full-time equivalent (FTE) student has partially recovered from its decline during the recession, rising to \$710 in 2013-14 from \$680 (in 2013 dollars) in 2011-12, but not yet reaching the 2007-08 peak of \$740.



NOTE: Percentages displayed represent percentages of total undergraduate state grant aid for which students' financial circumstances were considered.




FIGURE 28B Need-Based State Grant Aid as a Percentage of Total Undergraduate State Grant Aid by State, 2013-14

NOTES: Need-based aid includes any grants for which financial circumstances contribute to eligibility. Non-need-based aid refers to grants for which financial circumstances have no influence on eligibility. New Hampshire did not award state grant aid to undergraduate students in 2013-14.
SOURCES: National Association of State Student Grant and Aid Programs (NASSGAP) Annual Survey, 1973-74 to 2013-14, Tables 1 and 12.


- In 1981-82 and earlier years, virtually all state grant aid was based on students' financial circumstances. From 2004-05 to 2010-11, only 71% to 73% of state grant aid was need-based. In 2013-14, that percentage was 76%.

ALSO IMPORTANT:

- Total spending on state grant aid increased from \$7.8 billion (in 2013 dollars) in 2003-04 to \$9.0 billion in 2008-09, and to \$9.9 billion in 2013-14. (NASSGAP Annual Survey, 2003-04, 2008-09, and 2013-14)
- In 2013-14, 25 states considered students' financial circumstances in allocating at least 95% of their state grant aid. Fifteen states considered financial circumstances for less than half of their state grant aid.

State Grants

In 2013-14, state grant aid per full-time equivalent (FTE) undergraduate student ranged from under \$200 in 11 states to over \$1,000 in 11 states.



NOTES: Full-time equivalent students include both state residents and out-of-state students who are not eligible for state grants. State grant aid per FTE student is influenced both by the generosity of state grant programs and by the variation across states in the percentage of students who are residents. SOURCE: NASSGAP Annual Survey, 2013-14, Table 12.




FIGURE 29B State Grant Expenditures as a Percentage of Total State Support for Higher Education by State, 2013-14

NOTE: State grant expenditures include funding for both undergraduate and graduate students. SOURCE: NASSGAP Annual Survey, 2013-14, Table 14.

- South Carolina, with the highest grant aid per FTE undergraduate, considered the financial circumstances of recipients for only 17% of state grant funds in 2013-14. Georgia, the second most generous state, allocates its grant funds without regard to students' financial circumstances.
- Of the 11 states awarding over \$1,000 per FTE undergraduate in grant aid, only New Jersey, New York, and Washington allocated more than half of their state grant dollars based on students' financial circumstances. (Figure 28B)
- Overall, state grant expenditures constituted 13% of total state support for higher education in 2013-14, an increase from 10%

in 2003-04 and 11% in 2008-09. (NASSGAP Annual Survey, 2003-04 and 2008-09, Table 14)

ALSO IMPORTANT:

- Six states provided 50% of all state grant dollars in 2013-14, with California contributing 17% and New York 10%.
- Some state-funded grant aid is in the form of "tuition set-aside" programs through which a portion of tuition revenues at public institutions — or of increases in tuition — is dedicated to grant aid. Some of these funds are included in reported state grant aid, but others are not. Tuition remission dollars, not always reported as state grant aid, are sizable in several states.

Chapter 4 – Program Profiles

Table 29 provides a quick view of the student financial aid programs that are funded by state General Revenue appropriations, tuition set asides, and in the case of the College Access Loan Program (CAL), loan repayments and issuance of tax-exempt bonds. Tuition exemptions and waivers are not included, as they represent foregone revenue for institutions; data for these programs are presented in detail in Chapter 2, Section 3.

| Program | Students Served | Avg. EFC | Amount Disbursed |
|--|--------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| Funded by General Revenue (GR) Appropriations | | | |
| TEXAS Grant Program | 74,412 | \$1,109 | \$339,475,026 |
| Tuition Equalization Grant Program (TEG) | 27,307 | \$4,048 | \$90,528,191 |
| Texas Educational Opportunity Grant Program (TEOG) | 26,619 | \$476 | \$51,227,236 |
| Top Ten % Scholarship Program | 16,215 | \$1,931 | \$11,919,843 |
| Texas College Work Study Program (TCWS) | 5,059 | \$1,295 | \$8,628,560 |
| Texas B-On-Time (BOT) Loan Program | 9,484 | \$9,083 | \$58,990,699 |
| Texas Armed Services Scholarship Program (TASSP) | 302 | N/A | \$2,740,152 |
| Total GR Disbursed | 159,398 | | \$563,509,707 |
| Self-Supporting | | | |
| College Access Loan Program (CAL) | 8,858 | \$7,791 | \$116,301,498 |
| Funded by Tuition Set-Asides (Institutional Funds) | | | |
| Texas Public Education Grant Program (TPEG) | 120,496 | \$1,723 | \$146,770,037 |
| HB 3015 Grants & Scholarships | 87,322 | \$2,463 | \$196,804,001 |
| Total Tuition Set-Asides Disbursed | 207,818 | | \$343,574,038 |
| Source' FADS with the excention of TASSP (HELMS loan system) | | | |

| Table 29. State and tuition set-aside financial aid funding, FY 2015 |
|--|
|--|

Source: FADS, with the exception of TASSP (HELMS loan system)

This chapter provides program profiles for each of the programs listed above, as well as merit-based scholarships administered by institutions. Program profiles contain a summary of the following data for FY 2015:

- Total amount awarded \geq
- \succ Number of recipients
- \succ Average award amount
- Average EFC of recipients \succ
- Average income of recipients \succ
- Percentage of funding awarded to students whose EFC was \$0 \geq
- Percentage of funding awarded to students whose EFC was below \$5,158 (the maximum \geq eligibility for Federal Pell grants)
- Percentage of funding awarded to students whose income was below the federal poverty level for a family of four (\$23,624)
- Percentage of funding awarded to students whose income was at or below the median income \succ for Texas (\$52,550)

As mentioned in Chapter 1, the poverty income level and median income level shown in Table 30 for the applicable years is used throughout this report and in the program summary profiles to provide comparative income data on program recipients, as well as comparative context for the EFC levels represented among program recipients. These data are available for students who have received aid after completing the FAFSA, TASFA, or a comparable form of need analysis.

For FY 2015 (September 1, 2014 – August 31, 2015) financial aid, student need was determined on the basis of income reported for the previous tax year. Therefore, a student submitting a FAFSA in February of 2014, to apply for FY 2015 financial aid, reported 2013 tax return data.

| FY | Tax Year | Poverty ¹⁷ | Median | Max. EFC – Pell Grant |
|------|----------|-----------------------|----------|--------------------------|
| 2011 | 2009 | \$21,756 | \$53,250 | \$4,617 |
| 2012 | 2010 | \$22,113 | \$52,789 | \$5,273 |
| 2013 | 2011 | \$22,811 | \$51,993 | \$4,995 |
| 2014 | 2012 | \$22,283 | \$52,319 | \$5,081 |
| 2015 | 2013 | \$23,264 | \$52,550 | \$5,158 |

Table 30. Income data utilized in program profiles.

After the program profile summary, the following additional information is included:

- > A table stating initial year award eligibility and continuation award eligibility
- > Five years of data (amount awarded and number of students served)
- > Five years of data on the income levels of recipients
- > FY 2015 recipient EFC data
- FY 2015 recipient Race/Ethnicity data and comparisons with race/ethnicity of the total enrollment at applicable Texas institutions of higher education¹⁸
- > FY 2015 graduation and persistence rates

Graduation and Persistence Rates

The graduation and persistence rates in each program profile are a snapshot of the FY 2010 cohort of students who attended Texas institutions of higher education who received an award through the applicable program, compared with students who received some form of financial aid (including loans and merit aid), but not an award through the program being profiled.

The four-year graduation rate includes members of this cohort who earned certificates, associate degrees, or baccalaureate degrees. The six-year graduation rate is cumulative, as it includes the students who earned a certificate, associate degree, or baccalaureate degree in four years, five years, and six years.

Persistence rates are based on first-time, full-time certificate or degree-seeking students who enrolled in a minimum of 12 semester credit hours their first fall semester in 2010 and were still enrolled in higher education after six academic years.

The combined graduation and persistence rate is calculated by dividing the sum of the number of students who earned certificates, associate degrees, or baccalaureate degrees within two to six years and the number of students who were still enrolled in higher education after six years, by the total number of students first enrolled in 2010.

¹⁷ The poverty income levels are for a family of two adults and two children.

¹⁸ Throughout the program profiles, the "Other" race/ethnicity category includes American Indian/Alaskan Native, International, Native Hawaiian/Pacifica Islander, Multiracial, and Unknown/Not Reported.

Section 1: Toward EXcellence, Access, and Success (TEXAS) Grant

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code, Section 56.301-56.311

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 22, Subchapter L

Funding Source: General Revenue Appropriations

Background: The Toward EXcellence, Access, and Success (TEXAS) Grant Program was authorized in 1999 by the 76th Texas Legislature. This program has continued to be the foundational state financial aid program for students enrolled at public universities, encouraging needy students to prepare for college by choosing a rigorous high school curriculum, thereby contributing to their participation and success in higher education. The Priority Model requirements, effective with the 2013 Fall Semester, were established in 2013 by the 83rd Texas Legislature to distribute limited funding first to students who have demonstrated the greatest potential for academic success leading to a baccalaureate degree.

Beginning with the 2014 Fall Semester, initial awards were made only to students attending public universities and HRIs, which resulted in a significant drop in TEXAS Grant recipients in FY 2015. A one-time transfer of funds to the TEOG Program assisted qualifying students at public two-year institutions who would have otherwise received initial TEXAS Grant awards.

| Summary Profile - FY 2015 | |
|--|---------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$339,475,026 |
| # of Recipients | 74,412 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$4,562 |
| Avg. EFC | \$1,109 |
| Avg. Income | \$29,185 |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | 50.5% |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 95.2% |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | 43.1% |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52,550) | 86.4% |

| | Basic Eligibility | | Priority Model Eligibility | | Enrollment Pathways |
|------|--|---|--|---|--|
| ► | Texas resident | | addition to meeting Basic | | roll as an undergraduate in a |
| ≻ | Demonstrate financial need | - | ibility requirements, must et at least two of the following | baccalaureate degree program within: | |
| AAAA | Apply for all available financial aid Not have a baccalaureate degree Enroll at least three-quarter time Not convicted of a felony or crime involving a controlled substance | > | r criteria: Earn 12 hours of college credit courses (e.g. dual credit, AP); or graduate under the Distinguished Level of Achievement High School Plan or the International Baccalaureate Program. | AAA | 16 months of high school graduation 12 months of an honorable military discharge (must have enlisted within 12 months of high school graduation) 12 months of receiving an |
| ► | Register with Selective Service | ≻ | Complete a math course | ~ | associate degree or |
| 8 | Achieve one of the enrollment pathways (see box to the right) | ≻ | beyond Algebra II Rank in top third of high | > | "TEOG Pathway" – Enroll after having received a TEOG award at another institution and having |
| > | Priority goes to students with an EFC below 60% of average tuition and fees at 4-year public institutions | > | school graduating class or attain a B average Achieve a college readiness threshold as determined by the Texas Success Initiative | | completed at least 24 credit hours at a Texas institution with at least a 2.5 GPA. |

Continuation Awards

- Demonstrate financial need
- Be enrolled at least ³/₄ time as an undergraduate student who previously received a TEXAS Grant award and not have earned a baccalaureate degree
- > Not have been convicted of a felony or crime involving a controlled substance
- > Meet institutional Satisfactory Academic Progress (SAP) requirements at end of 1st year
- Maintain program SAP requirements by completing at least 24 semester credit hours and achieving a 2.5 or higher GPA for each year following the initial award year

Coverage of Tuition and Fees

The following characteristics of the TEXAS Grant Program set it apart from most state grant programs in the country:

- Coverage of Tuition and Fees institutions must ensure that all recipients of TEXAS Grant funding receive non-loan financial aid to cover their full tuition and fees (up to their demonstrated financial need).
- Need Plus Merit While the program was designed as a need-plus-merit program from the outset, the current Priority Model provides additional merit-based requirements to prioritize the distribution of limited funding to financially needy students who are more academically prepared to succeed in college.

If appropriations are insufficient to allow awards to all qualifying students, priority must be given to students who meet renewal award requirements. In determining which students receive an **initial** TEXAS Grant award from funds remaining after renewal awards, institutions must assign the highest priority to students who meet the Priority Model requirements and whose EFC does not exceed 60 percent of the statewide average amount of tuition and fees. Any remaining funds may be awarded to students who meet the Basic Eligibility requirements and have the greatest amount of financial need.

Figure 23 shows income levels of TEXAS Grant recipients for the five-year operiod, with a a small percentage increase in above-median income recipients in FY 2015.






Figure 23. TEXAS Grant recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.

| FY | Below Poverty | Poverty to Median | Above Median | Total |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------|--------|
| FY 2011 | 32,209 | 33,494 | 5,707 | 71,410 |
| FY 2012 | 35,663 | 33,669 | 6,253 | 75,585 |
| FY 2013 | 37,428 | 32,454 | 6,991 | 76,873 |
| FY 2014 | 39,181 | 38,328 | 9,560 | 87,069 |
| FY 2015 | 33,035 | 31,932 | 9,445 | 74,412 |

EFC of TEXAS Grant Recipients

More than half of all TEXAS Grant recipients were determined to have zero funds to contribute toward their education costs. Approximately 97 percent of the recipients had an EFC of \$0 to \$6,000. Only 1.1 percent of FY 2015 TEXAS Grant recipients had an EFC above \$10,000.





Race/Ethnicity of TEXAS Grant Recipients

Figure 25 reflects the ethnic/racial distribution of TEXAS Grant recipients, while Table 31 also includes the percentage of all TEXAS Grant recipients represented by each group, and a comparison with the total student population at public universities and HRIs. The most noteworthy differences between racial/ethnic distribution of TEXAS Grant recipients and distribution within the student population were for Hispanic and White students. The percentage of Hispanic TEXAS Grant recipients *exceeded* the Hispanic student representation within the total student population by 25 percentage points, while the percentage of White TEXAS Grant recipients was almost 24 percentage points lower than White student representation within the total student population.




Figure 25. TEXAS Grant recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.

Table 31. Race/ethnicity comparison, TEXAS Grant recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % of All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|-----------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Hispanic | 40,842 | \$180,815 | 54.9% | Hispanic | 185,187 | 30.0% |
| White | 12,635 | \$57,730 | 17.0% | White | 251,836 | 40.8% |
| African American | 11,233 | \$53,045 | 15.1% | African American | 74,206 | 12.0% |
| Asian | 6,304 | \$31,912 | 8.5% | Asian | 43,162 | 7.0% |
| Other | 3,398 | \$15,973 | 4.6% | Other | 62,449 | 10.1% |
| Total | 74,412 | 339,475 | 100% | Total | 616,840 | 100.0% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of Texas Grant Recipients

Graduation rates for recipients attending public four-year institutions and HRI's were lower, compared with students who received some form of aid, but did not receive a TEXAS Grant. The lower graduation rates may be attributable to factors related to the low EFC for almost all recipients.

The establishment of the Priority Model has shown promising early results with regard to recipients qualifying for continuation awards. The one-year program retention percentage for students meeting the Priority Model Requirements in FY 2014 is 3.6 percentage points higher than those meeting the Basic Eligibility requirements in FY 2014. The goal for the new requirements is to improve student success leading to graduation.

An in-depth description of the Priority Model, as well as historical data and analysis of the TEXAS Grant Program is provided in the *TEXAS Grant Program Report to the Legislature for Fiscal Years 2013-2015*, published in June, 2016.

| | | Public Universities & HRIs | Public Two-Year Institutions |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 1-year Persistence Rate | | | |
| Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 87.5% | 78.6% |
| | | | |
| A success for dealthing Backs | With TXG | 23.1% | 2.5% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TXG | 32.6% | 2.5% |
| | | | |
| E year Craduation Data | With TXG | 44.3% | 8.1% |
| 5-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TXG | 53.1% | 7.2% |
| | | | |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With TXG | 52.9% | 13.7% |
| o-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TXG | 60.2% | 10.8% |
| | | | |
| 6-year Graduation/Persistence | With TXG | 65.4% | 31.5% |
| Rate | With aid, but no TXG | 70.4% | 23.6% |

 Table 32. Graduation and persistence rates of TEXAS Grant recipients.

Section 2: Tuition Equalization Grant (TEG)

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code Sections 61.221 – 61.230.

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 22, Subchapter B

Funding Source: General Revenue Appropriations

Background: The 63rd Texas Legislature authorized the Tuition Equalization Grant (TEG) Program in 1973. The purpose of the TEG Program is to promote the best use of existing educational resources and facilities within the state, both public and private, by providing need-based grants to Texas residents and certain out-of-state National Merit Scholarship Finalists enrolled in nonprofit Texas private or independent colleges and universities. The TEG Program has remained one of the state's three signature grant programs providing access to higher education for Texas students who have financial need.

| Summary Profile – 2015 | |
|--|--------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$90,528,191 |
| # of Recipients | 27,307 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$3,315 |
| Avg. EFC | \$4,048 |
| Avg. Income | \$44,681 |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | 39.2% |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 72.2% |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | 36.3% |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52,550) | 68.0% |

| | Initial Eligibility | Continuation Awards |
|---|--|---|
| | Texas resident or non-resident National Merit Scholarship finalists receiving at least \$1,000) | Texas resident or National Merit Scholarship finalists receiving at least \$1,000 |
| | Enrolled at least 3/4 time in a degree plan leading to a first degree (associate baccalaureate, master's, or doctoral) not leading to ordination or licensure to preach | Enrolled at least 3/4 time in a degree plan leading to a first degree (associate baccalaureate, master's, or doctoral) not leading to ordination or licensure to preach |
| ≻ | Maintain the institution's Satisfactory Academic | Demonstrate financial need |
| | Progress requirements | Registered with the Selective Service, unless |
| | Demonstrate financial need | exempt |
| ۶ | Registered with the Selective Service, unless exempt | > 75% completion of attempted hours for the year |
| | Not receiving an athletic scholarship concurrently with a TEG award | and completion of 24 hours for the year (18 hours for graduates) |
| | Is required to pay more tuition than is required at a comparable public college or university and is | Not receiving an athletic scholarship concurrently with a TEG award |
| | charged no less than the tuition required of all similarly situated students enrolled at the institution | Is required to pay more tuition than is required at a comparable public college or university and is charged no less than the tuition required of all similarly situated students enrolled at the institution |

Table 33 shows that the number of students served by the TEG program has not changed significantly over the five-year period, although the amount disbursed in FY 2015 was 11.3 percent less than in FY

2011. This is attributable to the reduction in state appropriations for the program from \$105.8 million in FY 2011 to \$90 million in FY 2015.

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| FY 2011 | 27,725 | \$102,106,482 |
| FY 2012 | 25,460 | \$84,301,494 |
| FY 2013 | 24,897 | \$84,197,706 |
| FY 2014 | 27,071 | \$89,422,566 |
| FY 2015 | 27,307 | \$90,528,191 |
| Total | 132,460 | \$450,556,439 |

Table 33. Summary – TEG awards, FY 2011-2015.

Figure 26 reflect the income levels of TEG recipients over the five-year period, according to the three income categories described in the FY 2015 summary profile. A higher percentage of students at or above the median income level was served in the TEG program than in any other need-based state financial aid program, although the majority of TEG recipients had incomes below the median.




Figure 26. TEG recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.

EFC for TEG Recipients

A total of 58.2 percent of the TEG dollars were awarded to students whose EFC was \$0 - \$2,000, and these students represented 52.6 percent of all recipients. Twenty-eight percent of the TEG dollars were

awarded to students whose EFC was in the \$2,001 - \$10,000 range, and 13.7 percent of the dollars were awarded to students whose EFC was \$10,000 or more.




Figure 27. TEG recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of TEG Recipients

Figure 28 reflects the distribution of TEG recipients by race/ethnicity, while Table 34 also includes the distribution of students attending private or independent institutions, by race/ethnicity.

In FY 2015 the percentage of White students receiving TEG awards was almost 13 percentage points lower than the percentage of White students enrolled at private or independent institutions of higher education, while the percentage of Hispanic TEG recipients was 12.3 percentage points higher than the percentage of Hispanic students enrolled at private or independent institutions. African American student and Asian student representation among TEG recipients more closely mirrored the overall student population at these institutions.




Figure 28. TEG recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.

Table 34. Race/ethnicity comparison, TEG recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 9,286 | \$32,170,148 | 34.0% | Hispanic | 26,832 | 21.7% |
| White | 10,073 | \$32,111,094 | 36.9% | White | 61,494 | 49.7% |
| African American | 4,121 | \$13,544,671 | 15.1% | African American | 14,506 | 11.7% |
| Asian | 1,263 | \$4,365,878 | 4.6% | Asian | 5,590 | 4.5% |
| Other | 2,564 | \$8,336,400 | 9.4% | Other / Unknown | 15,305 | 12.4% |
| Total | 27,307 | \$90,528,191 | 100% | Total | 123,727 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of TEG Recipients

Students at private or independent institutions who received some form of financial aid in FY 2015 (including loans or merit aid), but did not receive TPEG awards fared better than the TPEG recipients in each measure, as follows: 4-year graduation rate, 13.6 percentage points higher; 5-year graduation rate, 13.6 percentage points higher; 6-year graduation rate, 11.7 percentage points higher, and 6-year graduation and persistence rate (combined), 7.8 percentage points higher.

| | | Private or Independent Institutions |
|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 1-year Persistence Rate | | |
| Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 86.5% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With TEG | 37.0% |
| | With aid, but no TEG | 50.6% |
| | | |
| 5-year Graduation Rate | With TEG | 52.6% |
| | With aid, but no TEG | 66.2% |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With TEG | 58.1% |
| o-year Graduation Kate | With aid, but no TEG | 69.8% |
| | | |
| 6-year Graduation/Persistence Rate | With TEG | 66.6% |
| | With aid, but no TEG | 74.4% |

Table 35. Graduation and persistence rates of TEG recipients.

Section 3: Texas Educational Opportunity Grant (TEOG) Program

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code, Sections 56.401-56.407

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 22, Subchapter M

Funding Source: General Revenue Appropriations

Background: The TEOG Program was originally authorized in 2001 by the 77th Texas Legislature as the TEXAS Grant II Program, for grants to students attending Texas public two-year institutions, beginning in FY 2002. The program was renamed the TEOG Program by the 79th Texas Legislature in 2005. Enrollment in Texas public two-year institutions (public state colleges, technical institutes, and community colleges) currently represents 53 percent of the state's public higher education enrollment. The TEOG Program has remained one of the state's three signature grant programs providing access to higher education for Texas students who have financial need.

| Summary Pi | ofile - FY 2015 | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------|-------------|---------------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | | | | \$51,227,236 |
| # of Recipients | | | | 26,619 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$6,456 (state) | \$4,088 | (technical) | \$1,827 (community) |
| Avg. EFC | | | | \$476 |
| Avg. Income | | | | \$20,246 |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | | | | 71.5% |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC | (\$5,158) | | | 99.8% |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | | | | 61.5% |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52 | 2,550) | | | 94.1% |

| | Initial Eligibility | | Continuation Awards |
|---|--|---|--|
| > | Texas resident enrolled at least half time at Texas public two-year institution in a degree or certificate program | > | Texas resident enrolled at least half time at Texas public two-year institution in a degree or certificate program |
| ≻ | Entering undergraduate, in first 30 hours of an | ≻ | No associate or bachelor's degree earned |
| | associate degree or certificate (excluding credits for dual enrollment or by examination) | > | Satisfactory Academic Progress (2.5 GPA, 75% completion of attempted hrs.) |
| ≻ | Demonstrated financial need | ≻ | Not convicted of a felony or crime involving a |
| ≻ | Not convicted of a felony or crime involving a | > | controlled substance |
| | controlled substance | | Registered with the Selective Service, unless |
| ≻ | Registered with the Selective Service, unless | | exempt |
| | exempt | ≻ | Not receiving a TEXAS Grant concurrently |
| ≻ | Not receiving a TEXAS Grant concurrently | ≻ | Demonstrated financial need |
| > | Priority goes to students with an EFC below 60% of average tuition and fees at 4-year public institutions | | |

Coverage of Tuition and Fees

Legislation passed in 2013 phased out TEXAS Grant eligibility for students attending public two-year colleges, beginning with the 2014 fall semester (FY 2015). This change is shown in Tables 36 and 37 which reflect the considerable increase in dollars awarded and the number of students receiving TEOG awards. A one-time transfer of TEXAS Grant funds to the TEOG Program was made in FY 2015 to assist students attending these institutions. Also, the \$2,000 EFC limitation for initial year awards was

increased to \$4,800. However, the average EFC of FY 2015 recipients, \$476, indicates that the increased EFC threshold did not result in a significant change in the EFC of recipients.

A total of 26,619 students, 3.7 percent of all students enrolled in Texas public two-year institutions, received a TEOG award in FY 2015. Ninety-seven percent of these awards were made to students attending community colleges. Compared to FY 2011 average tuition and fees, average tuition and fees in FY 2015 increased by 24.6 percent at public state colleges, 31.2 percent at public technical institutes, and 22.6 percent at public community colleges. Total enrollment at public two-year institutions decreased by 4.1 percent in FY 2015, compared with FY 2011.

As with TEXAS Grant Program requirements, if a student's TEOG award does not cover the entire cost of tuition and fees, institutions must award aid to cover the remaining tuition and fees. Such aid may not be a Federal Pell grant or loans. A TEOG award may be used to pay any usual and customary cost of attendance within the amount of the student's calculated need.

Table 36 illustrates the percentages of tuition and fees covered by TEOG awards, during the period FY 2011-2015, to students attending public state colleges (Lamar State College-Orange, Lamar State College-Port Arthur, and Lamar Institute of Technology), and public technical institutes (Texas State Technical College-Harlingen, Marshall, Waco, and West Texas), as well as the public community colleges. Although Lamar Institute of Technology is designated in statute as both a public state college and a public technical institute, for reporting purposes the THECB treats it as a public state college.

| FY | Initial Yr. EFC - May Not Exceed | Avg. Tuition and Fees | Avg. Award Amounts | Tuition & Fee Avg. "Shortfall" Per Student | % of Avg. Tuition & Fees Covered by Avg. Awards | # of Recipients | Total Amount Disbursed |
|---------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--|---|--------------------|------------------------------|
| Public Sta | ate Colleges a | nd Technie | cal Institute | s | | | |
| 2011 ¹⁹ | \$2,000 | \$3,636 | \$3,148 | \$488 | 86.60% | 519 | \$1,633,701 |
| 2012 | \$2,000 | \$4,237 | \$3,515 | \$722 | 83.00% | 341 | \$1,198,521 |
| 2013 | \$2,000 | \$4,356 | \$3,586 | \$770 | 82.30% | 280 | \$1,004,123 |
| 2014 | \$2,000 | \$4,625 | \$3,659 | \$966 | 79.10% | 329 | \$1,203,829 |
| 2015 | \$4,800 | \$4,656 | \$4,716 | (\$59) | 101.30% | 898 | \$4,234,666 |
| Public Co | mmunity Coll | eges | | | | | |
| 2011 | \$2,000 | \$2,163 | \$1,533 | \$631 | 70.80% | 6,307 | \$9,666,389 |
| 2012 | \$2,000 | \$2,416 | \$1,465 | \$951 | 60.70% | 5,460 | \$8,000,010 |
| 2013 | \$2,000 | \$2,553 | \$1,679 | \$874 | 65.80% | 6,283 | \$10,549,907 |
| 2014 | \$2,000 | \$2,574 | \$1,664 | \$911 | 64.60% | 7,513 | \$12,498,082 |
| 2015 ²⁰ | \$4,800 | \$2,653 | \$1,827 | \$826 | 68.90% | 25,727 | \$46,992,570 |

Table 36. TEOG coverage of tuition and fees at public two-year institutions, FY 2011-2015.

Source: Tuition and Fees: IFRS

¹⁹ First priority went to students with a \$0 - \$2,000 EFC. Remaining funds could be awarded to students whose need was more than or equal to one half of the Cost of Attendance after subtracting EFC and gift aid.

²⁰ Students attending public two-year institutions were no longer eligible for initial TEXAS Grants beginning in Fall 2014

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|---------------------|--------------|
| FY 2011 | 6,825 | \$11,300,090 |
| FY 2012 | 2 5,799 \$9,198,531 | |
| FY 2013 | 6,562 | \$11,554,030 |
| FY 2014 | 7,841 | \$13,701,911 |
| FY 2015 | 26,619 | \$51,227,236 |
| Total | 53,646 | \$96,981,798 |

Table 37. Summary – TEOG awards, FY 2011-2015.

Figure 29 illustrates that almost all TEOG funds are awarded to very low-income students.




Figure 29. TEOG recipients, by income level, FY 2015.

EFC of TEOG Recipients

Figure 30 depicts the EFC information provided in the program summary profile. Only 64 students whose EFC was above \$5,000 received TEOG awards in FY 2015.





Figure 30. TEOG recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of TEOG Recipients

Figure 31 reflects the ethnic/racial distribution of TEOG recipients, while Table 38 also includes the percentage of all TEOG recipients represented by each group, and a comparison with the total student population at public two-year institutions. As in the TEXAS Grant program, the most noteworthy differences between racial/ethnic distribution of TEOG recipients and distribution within the student population were for Hispanic and White students. The percentage of Hispanic TEOG recipients *exceeded* the Hispanic student representation within the total student population by 11 percentage points, while the percentage of White TEOG recipients was approximately 16 percentage points lower than White student representation within the total student population.



57

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 13,673 | \$26,584,941 | 51.4% | Hispanic | 283,586 | 39.8% |
| White | 5,332 | \$11,176,947 | 20.0% | White | 255,397 | 35.8% |
| African American | 5,576 | \$9,396,877 | 20.9% | African American | 100,899 | 14.2% |
| Asian | 761 | \$1,613,239 | 2.9% | Asian | 30,729 | 4.3% |
| Other | 1,277 | \$2,455 | 4.8% | Other | 41,867 | 5.9% |
| Total | 26,619 | \$51,227,236 | 100% | Total | 712,478 | 100% |

Table 38. Race/ethnicity comparison, TEOG recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

Graduation and Persistence Rates of TEOG Recipients

TEOG recipients graduated at slightly higher rates than other aid recipients attending community colleges, with the exception of those pursuing baccalaureate degrees. TEOG recipients at public technical institutes and state colleges graduated with certificates or associate degrees at significantly higher rates than those at community colleges.

| | | Community Colleges | Technical Inst. & State Colleges |
|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--|
| 1-year Persistence Rate | | | |
| Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 70.8% | 67.4% |
| | | | |
| 2-year Graduation Pate | With TEOG | 5.6% | 24.2% |
| 2-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TEOG | 4.2% | 11.8% |
| | | | |
| 2 year Craduation Data | With TEOG | 10.4% | 34.4% |
| 3-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TEOG | 8.6% | 19.4% |
| | | | |
| 4 year Craduation Pate | With TEOG | 14.6% | 36.7% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TEOG | 12.8% | 23.5% |
| | | | |
| 6 years Craduation Data | With TEOG | 21.0% | 40.5% |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TEOG | 18.3% | 26.7% |
| | | | |
| Combined Graduation and | With TEOG | 35.4% | 45.6% |
| Persistence Rate | With aid, but no TEOG | 37.0% | 34.9% |

Table 39. Graduation and persistence rates of TEOG recipients.

Section 4: Texas Public Educational Grant (TPEG)

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code, Sections 56.031-56.039

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 22, Subchapter D

Funding Source: State-mandated set-asides from tuition

Background: The 64th Texas Legislature created the Texas Public Educational Grant (TPEG) program in 1975 to provide grant assistance to students with financial need. To fund this program, public institutions of higher education are required to set aside a portion of the statutory tuition they collect from students, as specified below.

Universities, state and technical colleges, and health-related institutions must set aside at least:

- 15 percent of statutory tuition charged to resident students
- 3 percent of statutory tuition charged to nonresident students

Community colleges are required to set aside at least:

- 6 percent of each resident student's hourly tuition charge, excluding out-of-district charges, for residents taking academic and career and technical education courses
- \$1.50 of each non-resident student's hourly charge for academic courses

| Summary Profile - FY 2015 | |
|--|---------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$146,770,037 |
| # of Recipients | 120,496 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$1,218 |
| Avg. EFC | \$1,992 |
| Avg. Income | \$31,337 |
| % of Funding - Students with \$0 EFC | 49.1% |
| % of Funding - Students at or Below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 81.9% |
| % of Funding - Income Below Poverty (\$23,624) | 49.8% |
| % of Funding - Income at or Below Texas Median (\$52,550) | 78.9% |

| | Initial Eligibility | | Continuation Awards |
|---------------|--|---|--|
| ► | Demonstrate financial need | ٨ | Demonstrate financial need |
| > | Texas residents, non-residents, and foreign students (subject to funding specifications) | ٨ | Texas residents, non-residents, and foreign students (subject to funding specifications) |
| \rightarrow | Any requirements determined by institutions | ≻ | Any requirements determined by institutions |

During the five-year period shown in Table 40, there were modest annual increases in the number of students receiving awards and the total amount disbursed. There was a 9.9 percent increase in the amount awarded to students in FY 2015, compared with FY 2011, while the number of students receiving awards in FY 2015 was only 4.9 percent more than the number of recipients in FY 2011.

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| FY 2011 | 114,832 | \$133,541,576 |
| FY 2012 | 117,278 | \$136,006,135 |
| FY 2013 | 112,530 | \$140,332,254 |
| FY 2014 | 121,300 | \$151,478,673 |
| FY 2015 | 120,496 | \$146,770,037 |
| Total | 586,436 | \$708,128,675 |

Table 40. Summary – TPEG awards, FY 2011-2015.

Figure 32 includes five years of data on the three income levels stated in the summary profile for FY 2015. The average income of TPEG recipients in FY 2015, \$25,582, was only \$1,958 above the poverty income level for a family of four (for the income tax year on which need analysis data were based, 2013).




Figure 32. TPEG recipients, by income level FY 2011-2015

EFC of TPEG Recipients

As indicated in the summary profile for FY 2015, the average EFC of TPEG recipients is very low, at \$1,723. Almost half of all TPEG recipients had zero family resources to pay for education costs. Almost 82 percent of all recipients had an EFC at or below the \$5,158 Federal Pell Grant EFC maximum in FY 2015. Only 8.2 percent of the number of TPEG recipients had an EFC in the \$6,001 – 10,000 range, and 3.6 percent had an EFC above \$10,000. The percentages of total TPEG dollars awarded within these ranges closely mirror the percentages of recipients, with almost half of the dollars awarded to students having a \$0 EFC. The total awarded to students in the \$6,001 - \$10,000 EFC range represented 9.5 percent of all TPEG dollars awarded, and 9.5 percent of the total amount was awarded to students having an EFC above \$10,000. Figure 33 includes smaller increments of EFC ranges for TPEG recipients.




Figure 33. TPEG recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of TPEG Recipients

Figure 34 reflects the race/ethnicity of TPEG recipients in FY 2015, while Table 41 includes a comparison with racial/ethnic representation in the total population of students enrolled at public institutions of higher education. The percentage of TPEG recipients within each racial/ethnic group was fairly representative of the total population of students attending public institutions. The maximum variation was 8.9 percentage points lower for white students receiving TPEG awards, compared with the percentage of white students attending public institutions.




Figure 34. TPEG recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.

Table 41. Race/ethnicity comparison, TPEG recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 49,792 | \$58,272,463 | 41.3% | Hispanic | 468,773 | 35.3% |
| White | 35,247 | \$43,493,255 | 29.3% | White | 507,233 | 38.2% |
| African American | 21,054 | \$24,156,735 | 17.5% | African American | 175,105 | 13.2% |
| Asian | 6,386 | \$9,957,053 | 5.3% | Asian | 73,891 | 5.6% |
| Other | 8,017 | \$10,890,531 | 6.7% | Other | 104,316 | 7.8% |
| Total | 120,496 | \$146,770,037 | 100% | Total | 1,329,318 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of TPEG Recipients

When comparing the graduation and persistence rates of TPEG recipients at public universities with students who received some form of aid (including loans and merit aid), but not a TPEG award, those who received a TPEG award lagged behind the comparison group by fewer than 5 percentage points for each measure.

| Table 42. Graduation and | l persistence rates of TI | PEG recipients. |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|

| | | Public Universities and HRIs | Public Two- Year Institutions |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1-year Persistence Rate | | | |
| Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 85.8% | 71.4% |
| | | | |
| A | With TPEG | 25.9% | 2.7% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TPEG | 30.5% | 2.5% |
| | | | |
| E waar Craduatian Data | With TPEG | 46.4% | 8.4% |
| 5-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TPEG | 51.2% | 7.3% |
| | | | |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With TPEG | 54.2% | 12.7% |
| | With aid, but no TPEG | 58.7% | 11.3% |
| | | | |
| 6-year Graduation/Persistence | With TPEG | 65.6% | 26.8% |
| Rate | With aid, but no TPEG | 69.5% | 25.3% |

Section 5: Financial Aid Funded by Designated Tuition Set-Asides (HB 3015)

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code Sections 56.011 and 56.012

Funding Source: State-mandated set-asides from tuition

Background: With the passage of House Bill (HB) 3015, the 78th Texas Legislature amended the Texas Education Code to allow governing boards of public universities to set different designated tuition rates. While deregulating tuition, HB 3015 also required universities to set aside at least 15 percent of the amount of undergraduate and graduate designated tuition charged to resident undergraduates and graduate students in excess of \$46 per semester credit hour. (Currently, no public two-year institutions charge designated tuition at a level that requires set-asides.)

The funds set aside from undergraduate tuition dollars must be used for financial assistance to financially needy resident undergraduate students, and dollars set aside from graduate and professional degree-seeking students must be used for financial assistance to resident graduate students and those seeking professional degrees. The financial assistance may include grants, scholarships, work-study programs, student loans, and student loan repayment assistance. The summary profile provides details on the grants and scholarships funded by HB 3015 tuition set-asides, which represented 99 percent of the total amount awarded from these funds.

| Summary Profile – HB3015 Grants and Scholarships FY 2015 | |
|--|---------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$196,804,001 |
| # of Recipients | 87,322 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$2,272 |
| Avg. EFC | \$3,077 |
| Avg. Income | \$36,384 |
| % of Funding - Students with \$0 EFC | 41.9% |
| % of Funding - Students at or Below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 75.4% |
| % of Funding - Income Below Poverty (\$23,624) | 43.6% |
| % of Funding - Income at or Below Texas Median (\$52,550) | 73.2% |

| Initial Eligibility | Continuation Awards |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Texas resident at a Texas public university | Meet applicable program requirements |
| Undergraduates and Graduate students | Demonstrate financial need |
| > Demonstrate financial need | |
| Institution determines type of aid and additional requirements | |

Table 43 reflects the number of students attending public universities and HRIs who benefitted from all financial aid funded by HB 3015 tuition set-asides, the amount of financial assistance, and the types of financial assistance received each year of the five-year FY 2011-2015 period. Although the amount of funds from HB 3015 tuition set-asides increased by 43.1 percent during the period FY 2011-FY 2015, the number of students assisted by the funds has increased by only 13.2 percent. In FY 2015 less than one percent of the total amount of HB 3015 set-asides, was used for work-study, and no funds have been used for institutional loans since 2011.

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| FY 2011 | 75,997 | \$137,243,102 |
| FY 2012 | 81,937 | \$141,598,695 |
| FY 2013 | 90,112 | \$172,192,218 |
| FY 2014 | 84,854 | \$172,191,586 |
| FY 2015 | 87,322 | \$196,804,001 |
| Total | 420,222 | \$820,029,602 |

Table 43. Summary – HB 3015 Awards, FY 2011-2015.

More than 73 percent of the students receiving financial aid funded by HB 3015 tuition set asides had income below the \$52,550 median level for Texas for the 2013 tax year, including 43.7 percent whose income was below the poverty level for a family of four. The number of students with incomes below the poverty level in FY 2015 was 3.2 percentage points higher than in FY 2011. The percentage of students whose incomes were above the median level in FY 2015 represented an increase by only 1.6 percentage points to 26.9 percent, compared with FY 2011.




Figure 35. HB 3015 recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015

EFC of HB 3015 Recipients

Approximately 75 percent of the students receiving financial aid funded by HB 3015 tuition set-asides had an EFC below \$5,001; 41.5 percent had zero family resources to contribute to their education costs. The same percentages applied to these income groups in terms of funds awarded. The average award amount of \$2,272 suggests that institutions stretched the available funds to serve as many financially needy students as possible.




Figure 36. HB 3015 recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of HB 3015 Recipients

Table 44 compares the representation of race/ethnicity among HB 3015 grant and scholarship recipients with representation of race/ethnicity among all students enrolled at public universities and HRIs. The largest difference in the comparison within a racial/ethnic group was for White students, whose representation among the aid recipients was 8 percentage points fewer than the percentage of White students represented in total enrollment at public universities and HRIs. The second largest difference was for Hispanic students, whose representation among the scholarship and grant recipients exceeded Hispanic student representation among all students enrolled by 7.5 percentage point.

African American and Asian student representation among HB 3015 grant and scholarship recipients was very close to their representation among all enrolled students, varying by fewer than 3 percentage points.






Table 44. Race/ethnicity comparison, HB 3015 recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 32,771 | \$73,252,177 | 37.5% | Hispanic | 185,187 | 30.0% |
| White | 28,703 | \$61,627,186 | 32.9% | White | 251,836 | 40.8% |
| African American | 13,017 | \$29,556,069 | 14.9% | African American | 74,206 | 12.0% |
| Asian | 7,960 | \$21,785,704 | 9.1% | Asian | 43,162 | 7.0% |
| Other | 4,871 | \$10,582,865 | 5.6% | Other | 62,449 | 10.1% |
| Total | 87,322 | \$196,804,001 | 100% | Total | 616,840 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of HB 3015 Recipients

The graduation and persistence rates in Table 45 are a snapshot of the FY 2010 cohort of students who attended Texas public universities who received some form of financial aid including a HB 3015 grant or scholarship. Students attending HRIs are not included in Table 45.

Public university students who received HB 3015 grants or scholarships graduated at slightly higher rates than students who received some form of financial aid in FY 2015 (including loans or merit aid), but did not receive HB 3015 grants or scholarships. The largest variation between the two groups of students occurred for the six-year graduation rate, by five percentage points.

| | | Public Universities |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| 1-year Persistence Rate | | |
| Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 89.7% |
| | | |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With HB3015 | 31.7% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no HB3015 | 29.4% |
| | | |
| E year Craduation Bata | With HB3015 | 54.1% |
| 5-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no HB3015 | 49.8% |
| | | |
| 6 year Craduation Bata | With HB3015 | 62.2% |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no HB3015 | 57.2% |
| | | |
| 6 years Craduation (Develotence Date | With HB3015 | 72.5% |
| 6-year Graduation/Persistence Rate | With aid, but no HB3015 | 68.2% |

Table 45. Graduation and persistence rates of HB 3015 recipients.

Section 6: Merit Scholarships

Funding Source: Private donations to institutions and institutional resources

Background: Scholarships have been reported to the Coordinating Board in the Financial Aid Database System separately as "merit-based aid funded by private donations to the institution" and as "institution-funded merit-based grant aid." The income and EFC of the students within the two groups are very similar, and therefore, the data have been combined in this section.

| Summary Profile - FY 2015 | | | | |
|--|-----------------|--|--|--|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$1,358,486,303 | | | |
| # of Recipients | 224,841 | | | |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$6,042 | | | |
| Avg. EFC | \$9,828 | | | |
| Avg. Income | \$49,983 | | | |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | 15.8% | | | |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 31.0% | | | |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | 39.7% | | | |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52,550) | 52.8% | | | |

Eligibility requirements for endowments and other donated funds are as varied as the interests of the individuals and organizations donating the funds. The Coordinating Board does not collect information regarding the criteria for their merit-based awards from institutional funds. However, variation in the recruitment goals among institutions may be reflected in the criteria qualifying students. For example, one institution may place a high value on recruiting out-of-state students, while another may place a higher value on recruiting students who have earned exceptionally high scores on college entrance exams.

The number of students benefitting from merit scholarships has increased each year during the five-year period shown in Table 46, resulting in a 16 percent increase from FY 2011 to FY 2015. The amount awarded increased by 41 percent from FY 2011 to FY 2015. The greatest increase in dollars awarded during the five-year period were from institutional funds (46.9%).

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|-----------------|
| FY 2011 | 193,477 | \$961,157,854 |
| FY 2012 | 200,390 | \$1,048,808,765 |
| FY 2013 | 204,117 | \$1,156,261,540 |
| FY 2014 | 210,991 | \$1,243,692,944 |
| FY 2015 | 224,841 | \$1,358,486,303 |
| Total | 1,033,816 | \$5,768,407,406 |

Table 46. Summary – merit scholarships, FY 2015.

During the five-year period, the lowest percentage of students receiving merit scholarships were those whose incomes were between the poverty and median income levels.




Figure 38. Merit scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.

EFC of Merit Scholarship Recipients

Just over half (51 percent) of all recipients of merit scholarships in FY 2015 were expected to contribute \$10,000 or more toward their education costs, while 22 percent had an EFC of \$0.




Figure 39. Merit scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of Merit Scholarship Recipients

When comparing the race/ethnicity of the merit scholarship recipients with race/ethnicity distribution among all students, White students received a large share of merit scholarships than the percentage of white students enrolled at Texas institutions of higher education, whereas Hispanic and African American recipients were underrepresented, when compared with their representation in the total student population.




Figure 40. Merit scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.

Table 47. Race/ethnicity comparison, merit scholarship recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 57,461 | \$250,133,593 | 25.6% | Hispanic | 495,605 | 34.1% |
| White | 103,076 | \$685,399,217 | 45.8% | White | 568,727 | 39.1% |
| African American | 21,599 | \$130,139,118 | 9.6% | African American | 189,611 | 13.0% |
| Asian | 11,917 | \$85,163,870 | 5.3% | Asian | 79,481 | 5.5% |
| Other | 30,788 | \$207,650,505 | 13.7% | Other | 119,621 | 8.2% |
| Total | 224,841 | \$1,358,486,303 | 100% | Total | 1,453,045 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of Merit Scholarship Recipients

It is probably not surprising that students receiving merit-based scholarships graduated at higher rates than students who received other forms of aid but did not received merit-based aid.

| | | Public Universities & HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two- Year Institutions |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1-year Persistence Rate | | | | |
| Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 91.5% | 89.2% | 76.6% |
| | | | | |
| A-waar Graduation Pata | With Merit Aid | 42.0% | 50.3% | 7.4% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no Merit | 28.0% | 41.3% | 2.2% |
| | | | | |
| E-year Graduation Pate | With Merit Aid | 63.5% | 65.7% | 17.0% |
| 5-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no Merit | 48.6% | 57.0% | 6.8% |
| | | | | |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With Merit Aid | 70.0% | 69.9% | 23.7% |
| o-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no Merit | 56.3% | 61.5% | 10.7% |
| | | | | |
| 6-year Graduation/Persistence | With Merit Aid | 78.3% | 75.6% | 38.2% |
| Rate | With aid, but no Merit | 67.6% | 68.0% | 24.0% |

 Table 48. Graduation and persistence rates of merit scholarship recipients.

Section 7: Top Ten Percent Scholarship

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code, Section 56.481

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 22, Subchapter K

Funding Source: General Revenue Appropriations

Background: The 80th Texas Legislature created the Top Ten Percent Scholarship to encourage students who graduate in the top 10 percent of their high school class to attend a Texas public institution of higher education. The General Appropriations Act passed by the 84th Texas Legislature states that only renewal scholarship awards may be made to students, beginning with the 2015-2016 academic year.

| Summary Profile - FY 2015 | | | | |
|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$11,921,843 | | | |
| # of Recipients | 16,217 | | | |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$735 | | | |
| Avg. EFC | \$5,199 | | | |
| Avg. Income | \$53,645 | | | |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | 30.5% | | | |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 63.9% | | | |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | 25.8% | | | |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52,550) | 56.8% | | | |

| | Initial Eligibility | | Continuation Awards |
|---|--|---|--|
| > | Graduated from an accredited public or private high school in Texas while ranked in the top 10 percent of his or her graduating class (based on | > | Completed a FAFSA or TASFA by March 15 of the upcoming academic year, demonstrating financial need |
| | the student's ranking at the end of seventh semester unless an institution of higher education uses a different semester in determining eligibility | > | Completed 30 semester credit hours the previous year |
| | for admissions) | ≻ | Maintain 3.25 cumulative GPA |
| > | Completed the Recommended or Distinguished Achievement High School Program or its equivalent in an accredited high school | > | Complete at least 75% of hours attempted |
| ≻ | Demonstrated financial need | | |
| > | Enrolled full time in a public institution of higher education in Texas as of the census date of the fall semester immediately following high school graduation | | |
| ≻ | Classified as a Texas resident | | |
| ≻ | Registered with Selective Service unless exempt | | |

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| FY 2011 | 12,698 | \$25,446,714 |
| FY 2012 | 15,918 | \$32,575,124 |
| FY 2013 | 18,239 | \$38,176,497 |
| FY 2014 | 15,634 | \$25,816,117 |
| FY 2015 | 16,217 | \$11,921,843 |
| Total | 78,706 | \$133,936,295 |

Table 49. Summary – Top Ten Percent Scholarship awards, FY 2011-2015.

Although the largest percentage of the students benefitting from Top Ten Percent Scholarships have incomes above the median level, a significant percentage of low-income students also received awards. The average income was just above the median income level.




Figure 41. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.

EFC of Top Ten Percent Scholarship Recipients

Although the average EFC for recipients was just below the threshold for Federal Pell grant eligibility, approximately 12 percent of the scholarship recipients had an EFC between \$6,001 and \$10,000, while approximately 22 percent had an EFC above \$10,000.




Figure 42. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of Top Ten Percent Scholarship Recipients

The race/ethnicity comparisons for the Top Ten Percent Scholarship program demonstrate similar characteristics to the other state financial aid program (Hispanics recipients are represented at greater percentages than they are across all enrollment, while White recipients are represented at a lower percentage). One noteworthy variation in racial/ethnic representation among scholarship recipients in FY 2015, compared with the total student population at Texas institutions, was for Asian students, whose representation among scholarship recipients exceeded the percentage of all Asian students by 9 percentage points.




Figure 43. Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.

Table 50. Race/ethnicity comparison, Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 7,430 | \$5,373,249 | 45.8% | Hispanic | 507,233 | 38.2% |
| White | 4,643 | \$3,461,301 | 28.6% | White | 468,773 | 35.3% |
| African American | 1,079 | \$754,353 | 6.7% | African American | 175,105 | 13.2% |
| Asian | 2,373 | \$1,825,800 | 14.6% | Asian | 73,891 | 5.6% |
| Other | 692 | \$507,140 | 4.3% | Other | 104,316 | 7.8% |
| Total | 16,217 | \$11,921,843 | 100% | Total | 1,329,318 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of Top Ten Percent Scholarship Recipients

It is not surprising that the graduation and persistence rates for students who graduated in the top ten percent of their high school class had much higher graduation rates in college than students who received financial aid other than the Top Ten Percent Scholarship.

| Table 51. Gradu | Table 51. Graduation and persistence rates of Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients. | | | | |
|---|--|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Public Universities & HRIs | Public Two-Year Institutions | | |
| 1-year Persistence Rate Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 95.50% | 92.40% | | |
| | With Top 10 | 45.80% | 15.00% | | |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no Top 10 | 26.40% | 2.30% | | |
| E waar Craduation Date | With Top 10 | 69.90% | 31.20% | | |
| 5-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no Top 10 | 46.50% | 7.10% | | |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With Top 10 | 76.70% | 42.00% | | |
| | With aid, but no Top 10 | 54.10% | 11.10% | | |
| 6-year Graduation/ | With Top 10 | 84.30% | 61.30% | | |
| Persistence Rate | With aid, but no Top 10 | 65.70% | 25.10% | | |

Table 51. Graduation and persistence rates of Top Ten Percent Scholarship recipients.

Section 8: Texas College Work-Study (TX WS)

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code Sections 56.071- 56.078

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 21, Subchapter M

Funding Source: General Revenue appropriations plus employer match.

Background: The Texas Legislature created the Texas College Work-Study Program (TX WS) in 1989 to provide financially needy students with part-time jobs, funded in part by the state and the remaining wages by the employer, to enable students to attend public or private institutions.

| Summary Profile - FY 2015 | |
|--|-------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$8,628,560 |
| # of Recipients | 5,059 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$1,706 |
| Avg. EFC | \$1,893 |
| Avg. Income | \$30,398 |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | 55.5% |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 88.6% |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | 54.0% |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52,550) | 83.0% |

| | Initial Eligibility | | Continuation Awards |
|---|---|---|---|
| ≻ | Texas resident | ٨ | Texas resident |
| ≻ | Demonstrate financial need | ٨ | Demonstrate financial need |
| ≻ | Registered for the Selective Service, unless exempt | ٨ | Registered for the Selective Service, unless exempt |
| ≻ | Enrolled at least half time | ٨ | Enrolled at least half time |
| > | Not receiving an athletic scholarship concurrently with TCWS award | ٨ | Not receiving an athletic scholarship concurrently with TCWS award |
| ≻ | Not enrolled in a seminary or other program leading to ordination or licensure to preach | > | Not enrolled in a seminary or other program leading to ordination or licensure to preach |

The figures reported in this section are for students who completed a FAFSA, TASFA, or comparable form of need analysis. In addition, \$2.5 million was awarded to 875 students serving in the Work-Study Student Mentorship Program at 41 participating institutions. That program's goal is to assist in creating a college-going culture among high school students and to provide financial support needed to be successful in higher education. Work-study funds are used to provide wages to college students employed on a part-time basis as part of a Work-Study Student Mentorship Program. Eligible college students work at participating institutions to mentor high school students. College students may also provide mentoring and/or tutoring services to other college students on their college campus.

The Texas College Work-Study Program has played a small role in providing financial assistance to Texas students during the past five years. The total amount awarded in FY 2015 was 7.7 percent lower than the amount awarded in FY 2011. Although the number of students receiving awards in FY 2015 was 10 percent lower than in FY 2011, the average award amount has increased by a small amount (\$43).

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|--------------|
| FY 2011 | 5,621 | \$9,348,999 |
| FY 2012 | 4,644 | \$7,096,453 |
| FY 2013 | 3,969 | \$5,926,922 |
| FY 2014 | 4,763 | \$7,975,527 |
| FY 2015 | 5,059 | \$8,628,560 |
| Total | 24,056 | \$38,976,461 |

Table 52. Summary – TX WS awards, FY 2011-2015.

The percentage of students receiving TX WS awards whose income was at or below the poverty level increased by 4.7 percent from FY 2011 to FY 2015, while the percentage of those whose family incomes were between the poverty and median income levels decreased by 22 percent. The percentage of TX WS participants whose family incomes were above the median level decreased by 21 percent in FY 2015, compared with FY 2011.





Figure 44. TX WS recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.

EFC of TX WS Recipients

Almost 54 percent of all TX WS funds was awarded to students whose EFC was zero and a total of 88.3 percent was awarded to students whose EFC was below \$5,001. Only 4.5 percent of students receiving TX WS awards in FY 2015 had an EFC above \$10,000.





Race/Ethnicity of TX WS Recipients

The correlation between the ethnic/racial distributions among students receiving TX WS awards, compared with the ethnic/racial distribution of all students enrolled at Texas institutions of higher education, is very similar to that of the other state need-based financial aid programs.




Figure 46. TX WS recipients, by race/ethnicity, FY 2015.

Table 53. Race/ethnicity comparison, TX WS recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients |
|------------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| Hispanic | 2,162 | \$3,843,754 | 42.7% |
| White | 1,246 | \$1,909,753 | 24.6% |
| African American | 1,032 | \$1,845,710 | 20.4% |
| Asian | 302 | \$471,117 | 6.0% |
| Other | 317 | \$558,226 | 6.3% |
| Total | 5,059 | \$8,628,560 | 100% |

| Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 495,605 | 34.1% |
| White | 568,727 | 39.1% |
| African American | 189,611 | 13.0% |
| Asian | 79,481 | 5.5% |
| Other | 119,621 | 8.2% |
| Total | 1,453,045 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of TX WS Recipients

Across all institutional sectors, students who received TX WS awards graduated and persisted at higher rates than did other students who received some form of financial aid, but did not participate in the TX WS Program. The most significant difference was a four-year graduation rate for students attending public universities and HRIs that was 6.5 percentage points more than the rate for students attending those institutions who received some form of financial aid that did not include a TCWS award.

| Graduation and Persistence Rates | | Public Universities & HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two- Year Institutions |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1-year Persistence Rate | | | | |
| Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 94.8% | 83.7% | 76.6% |
| | | | | |
| A year Craduation Data | With TX WS | 36.2% | 46.7% | 2.6% |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no TX WS | 29.7% | 45.1% | 2.5% |
| | | | | |
| E waar Graduatian Data | With TX WS | 54.3% | 63.5% | 10.6% |
| 5-year Graduation. Rate | With aid, but no TX WS | 50.5% | 60.6% | 7.4% |
| | | | | |
| 6 year Graduation Data | With TX WS | 62.1% | 67.5% | 14.5% |
| 6-year Graduation. Rate | With aid, but no TX WS | 58.0% | 65.0% | 11.5% |
| | | | | |
| 6-year Graduation/ | With TX WS | 71.7% | 74.6% | 26.4% |
| Persistence Rate | With aid, but no TX WS | 68.9% | 71.1% | 25.5% |

Table 54. Graduation and persistence rates of TX WS recipients.

Section 9: College Access Loan (CAL) Program

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code Sections 52.31-52.40

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 21, Subchapter C

Funding Source: Proceeds from the sale of General Obligation Bonds and repayments from student loan borrowers.

Background: The College Access Loan (CAL) portfolio is part of the Hinson-Hazlewood College Student Loan Program (HHCSLP), which was authorized by the Texas Legislature in 1965. Since its implementation in 1988, the CAL Program has continued to provide a valuable option for the students of Texas, especially during periods of significant changes in the student loan marketplace.

Although the bonds used to fund the CAL program are backed by the full faith and credit of the state of Texas, General Revenue funds have never been required to make bond payments. The tax-exempt status of the bonds allows the Coordinating Board to pass along savings to students, as evidenced by the current 4.5 percent interest rate, one of the lowest rates in the country for "alternative" non-federal student loans. CAL loans may be used to cover the amount of the student's cost of attendance that is not covered by other resources.


| Summary Profile - FY 2015 | |
|--|---------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$116,301,498 |
| # of Recipients | 8,858 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$13,130 |
| Avg. EFC | \$16,036 |
| Avg. Income | \$87,628 |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | 15.0% |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 29.2% |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | 17.8% |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52,550) | 30.4% |


| | Initial Eligibility | | Continuation Awards |
|---|---|---------|---|
| ► | Texas resident attending an eligible institution of | ٨ | Texas resident attending an eligible institution of |
| | higher education or approved educator | | higher education or approved educator certification |
| | certification program | | program |
| | | \succ | Enrolled at least half time and meeting the |
| ≻ | Enrolled at least half time | | Satisfactory Academic Progress requirements of |
| | | | the institution |
| ≻ | Received a favorable evaluation of his/her credit | \succ | Received a favorable evaluation of his/her credit |
| | report or that of a cosigner | | report or that of a cosigner |

Table 55 shows that there has been a year-to-year increase in the amount borrowed through the CAL program during the five-year period. There was a 24 percent increase in the total amount borrowed from FY 2011 to FY 2015, while the number of student borrowers increased by 8.4 percent.

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| FY 2011 | 8,175 | \$83,942,865 |
| FY 2012 | 8,531 | \$93,662,577 |
| FY 2013 | 7,970 | \$97,025,045 |
| FY 2014 | 7,366 | \$95,867,723 |
| FY 2015 | 8,858 | \$116,301,498 |
| Total | 40,900 | \$486,799,708 |

The majority of CAL borrowers had family incomes above the Texas median level throughout the fiveyear period. Even so, the number of students whose income was below the poverty level increased by 34 percent in FY 2015, compared with FY 2011. During the FY 2011-2015 period, the number of student borrowers whose incomes were below the median income level have consistently represented 30-35 percent of all CAL borrowers.





EFC of CAL Recipients

In FY 2015 18 percent of all CAL recipients had zero funds available to contribute toward their higher education costs. As indicated in the program profile, almost 30 percent of all FY 2015 CAL funds were awarded to students having an EFC below the \$5,158 cutoff for Federal Pell grant eligibility, while 50 percent of the recipients were expected to contribute \$10,000 or more toward their education costs.





Figure 48. CAL recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of CAL Recipients

In FY 2015, White students represented the largest percentage of CAL borrowers among the racial/ethnic groups reported. The percentage of Hispanic students receiving CAL loans was 5.5 percentage points lower than Hispanic student representation among all students enrolled, while the percentage of African American and Asian students receiving CAL loans more closely mirrored their representation in the total student population.



| Figure | 49. | CAL | reci | pients | , bv | race/ | ethnicity | /, FY | 2015 |
|--------|-----|------|------|---------|------|-------|-----------|-------|------|
| | | 0, 1 | | 0.0.100 | . ~, | 1466 | centroley | | -010 |

Table 56. Race/ethnicity comparison, CAL recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | |
|------------------|------------|---------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Hispanic | 2,561 | \$30,651,032 | 28.9% | |
| White | 4,400 | \$60,958,085 | 49.7% | |
| African American | 923 | \$11,292,760 | 10.4% | |
| Asian | 340 | \$4,913,817 | 3.8% | |
| Other | 634 | \$8,485,804 | 7.2% | |
| Total | 8,858 | \$116,301,498 | 100% | |

| Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 495,605 | 34.1% |
| White | 568,727 | 39.1% |
| African American | 189,611 | 13.0% |
| Asian | 79,481 | 5.5% |
| Other | 119,621 | 8.2% |
| Total | 1,453,045 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of CAL Recipients

CAL recipients attending public universities and HRIs had higher graduation and persistence rates than those who received some form of financial aid (including loans and merit aid) but did not receive a CAL. This was also true for recipients attending private or independent institutions, with the exception of the four-year graduation rate, which was effectively the same for CAL recipients and aid recipients who did not receive a CAL award. CAL recipients attending public two-year institutions also graduated and persisted at higher rates than students who received some form of aid, but did not receive CAL awards.

| | | Public Universities & HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two- Year Institutions |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| 1-year Persistence Rate Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 91.9% | N/A | 85.0% |
| | | | | |
| A waar Grad Data | With CAL | 36.4% | 45.0% | 5.6% |
| 4-year Grad. Rate | With aid, but no CAL | 29.7% | 45.1% | 2.5% |
| | | | | |
| E waar Grad Data | With CAL | 60.6% | 63.4% | 5.6% |
| 5-year Grad. Rate | With aid, but no CAL | 50.3% | 60.5% | 7.4% |
| | | | | |
| Current Curred Date | With CAL | 69.8% | 69.0% | 16.7% |
| 6-year Grad. Rate | With aid, but no CAL | 57.8% | 64.8% | 11.5% |
| | | | | |
| 6-year Graduation/ | With CAL | 79.6% | 77.0% | 33.3% |
| Persistence Rate | With aid, but no CAL | 68.7% | 70.9% | 25.5% |

Table 57. Graduation and persistence rates of CAL recipients.

Section 10: B-On-Time (BOT) Loan Program

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code Sections 56.0092

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 21, Subchapter E

Funding Source: General Revenue Appropriations

Background: The Texas Legislature authorized the BOT Loan Program in 2003 to provide eligible undergraduate students an incentive to graduate college on time with at least a B average. BOT loans are forgiven if the student graduates on time with a 3.0 or higher GPA. The loan carries a zero percent interest rate if the student is not eligible for the forgiveness provision.

Legislation passed by the 84th Texas Legislature phased out the program by ending the collection of dedicated tuition set-asides, ending initial awards to students beginning with the 2015 Fall Semester, and allowing renewal loans to prior borrowers for semesters occurring before the 2020 Fall Semester.

| Summary Profile - FY 2015 | |
|--|--------------|
| Total Amount Awarded | \$58,990,699 |
| # of Recipients | \$9,484 |
| Avg. Award Amt. | \$6,220 |
| Avg. EFC | \$11,533 |
| Avg. Income | \$78,382 |
| % of Funding – Students with \$0 EFC | 13.7% |
| % of Funding – Students at or below Federal Pell EFC (\$5,158) | 36.7% |
| % of Funding – Income below poverty (\$23,624) | 12.9% |
| % of Funding – Income at or below Texas median (\$52,550) | 30.0% |

Continuation Awards Only

- > Texas Resident or entitled to pay resident rates
- > Previous BOT recipient who has not earned a BA
- > Enrolled full time in an undergraduate degree program at an eligible institution
- > Completed a FAFSA or equivalent and eligible for federal financial aid
- > Meet institutional Satisfactory Academic Progress requirements
- Complete at least 75% of the semester credit hours attempted in the most recent academic year and have a cumulative GPA of at least 2.5

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|---------------|
| FY 2011 | 10,155 | \$56,458,091 |
| FY 2012 | 9,256 | \$54,620,622 |
| FY 2013 | 8,114 | \$52,747,968 |
| FY 2014 | 7,637 | \$47,724,215 |
| FY 2015 | 9,484 | \$58,990,699 |
| Total | 44,646 | \$270,541,595 |

Table 58. Summary – BOT awards, FY 2011-2015.

The percentage of BOT recipients whose income was above the median increased by 12.9 percentage points from FY 2011 to FY 2015. Although this program primarily serves middle-income students, Figure 50 shows that it also served low-income students during the five-year period.




Figure 50. BOT Recipients, by income level, FY 2011-2015.

EFC of BOT Loan Recipients

Almost 40 percent of all BOT recipients were expected to contribute \$10,000 or more toward their education costs in FY 2015. As indicated in the program profile, more than 36 percent of the BOT loan recipients had an EFC that was at or below the cutoff for Federal Pell grant eligibility, \$5,158.





Figure 51. BOT recipients, by EFC, FY 2015.

Race/Ethnicity of BOT Loan Recipients

The ethnic/racial distribution among students receiving BOT loans is very similar to the ethnic/racial distribution of all students enrolled at Texas institutions of higher education.






Table 59. Race/ethnicity comparison, BOT loan recipients vs. all students at eligible institutions, FY 2015.

| Race/Ethnicity | Recipients | Awards | % of Total Recipients | Race/Ethnicity | All Enrolled | % All Enrolled |
|------------------|------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Hispanic | 3,130 | \$19,249,163 | 33.0% | Hispanic | 495,605 | 34.1% |
| White | 3,628 | \$23,048,787 | 38.3% | White | 568,727 | 39.1% |
| African American | 1,604 | \$9,483,470 | 16.9% | African American | 189,611 | 13.0% |
| Asian | 579 | \$3,803,563 | 6.1% | Asian | 79,481 | 5.5% |
| Other | 543 | \$3,405,716 | 5.7% | Other | 119,621 | 8.2% |
| Total | 9,484 | \$58,990,699 | 100% | Total | 1,453,045 | 100% |

Graduation and Persistence Rates of BOT Loan Recipients

BOT loan recipients graduated and persisted at higher rates in every institutional sector than did other students who received some form of aid but did not receive BOT loans. The most striking differences are noted below:

- The six-year graduation/persistence rate (combined) for students attending public two-year institutions was 18.7 percentage points higher than the rate for students who attended those institutions and received some form of financial aid other than a BOT loan
- The four-year graduation rate for students attending public universities and HRIs was 10.2 percentage points higher than the rate for students who attended those institutions and received financial aid other than a BOT loan

The five-year and six-year graduation rates for students attending public universities and HRIs were 7.4 and 5.6 percentage points, respectively, higher than the rates for students who attended those institutions and received financial aid other than a BOT loan

These data suggest that the prospect of loan forgiveness may have been a strong enough incentive to influence behavior leading to more timely graduation.

| | | Public Universities & HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two- Year Institutions | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|
| 1-year Persistence Rate Fall 2014 to Fall 2015 | | 95.3% | 93.4% | N/A | |
| | | | | | |
| A ware Creduction Data | With BOT | 39.5% | 48.5% | 5.9% | |
| 4-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no BOT | 29.3% | 44.9% | 2.5% | |
| | | | | | |
| | With BOT | 57.6% | 61.6% | 10.3% | |
| 5-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no BOT | 50.2% | 60.6% | 7.4% | |
| | | | | | |
| Course Construction Bate | With BOT | 63.4% | 65.5% | 22.1% | |
| 6-year Graduation Rate | With aid, but no BOT | 57.8% | 65.0% | 11.5% | |
| | | | | | |
| 6-year Graduation/ | With BOT | 72.9% | 73.7% | 44.1% | |
| Persistence Rate | With aid, but no BOT | 68.7% | 71.0% | 25.4% | |

Table 60. Graduation and persistence rates of BOT loan recipients.

Section 11: Texas Armed Services Scholarship Program (TASSP)

Statutory Authority: Texas Education Code Sections 61.9771-61.9776

Administrative Rules: Texas Administrative Code, Chapter 21, Subchapter RR

Funding Source: General Revenue Appropriations

Background: The TASSP was authorized in 2009 by the 81st Texas Legislature to encourage undergraduate students to become members of the Texas Army National Guard, the Texas Air National Guard, the Texas State Guard, the United States Coast Guard, or the United States Merchant Marine, or commissioned officers in any branch of the armed services of the United States. Annually the governor and the lieutenant governor may each nominate two students, and each state senator and state representative may nominate one student to receive an initial conditional scholarship. The award is actually a loan with forgiveness provisions. A promissory note must be signed, stating that loan forgiveness is contingent upon fulfillment of a four-year service commitment. If the commitment is not fulfilled, the loan must be repaid according to the terms of the promissory note.

TASSP data are not currently reported in FADS and therefore, income, EFC, ethnicity, and graduation/persistence data are not available for student recipients.


| Initial Eligibility | Continuation Awards |
|---|---|
| Appointed by the governor, lieutenant governor, state senator, or state representative | Dependent on available funding |
| Enrolled in a Texas public or private accredited institution of higher education | Maintain SAP requirements of the institution |
| In good standing as member of an ROTC program while enrolled at the institution | Continue to be an ROTC member |
| Enter into written agreement to complete 4 years of ROTC training, graduate within 6 years , and enter into 4 year service commitment after graduation | Not have earned a BA or completed more than 150 credit hours, including transfer hours |
| Meet the prescreening requirements of the applicable branch of the armed services | |
| Meet the Satisfactory Academic Progress requirements of the institution | |

| FY | # of Recipients | Amount |
|---------|-----------------|-------------|
| FY 2011 | 82 | \$795,166 |
| FY 2012 | 138 | \$1,283,895 |
| FY 2013 | 212 | \$1,918,404 |
| FY 2014 | 299 | \$2,608,380 |
| FY 2015 | 302 | \$2,740,152 |

Table 61. Summary – TASSP awards, FY2011-2015.

Appendix A: Financial Aid by Student's Home Region

Of the \$9.2 billion in assistance awarded to students in Texas who applied for financial aid, \$8.5 billion was awarded to 786,467 students classified as Texas residents. The map below shows the geographic regions detailed in the corresponding table.



| Home Region | Students | Dollars Awarded | Total Population | % of Students from Home Region | % of Students from Texas |
|------------------|----------|-----------------|------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| High Plains | 24,956 | \$297,395,683 | 839,586 | 3.0% | 0.10% |
| Northwest | 18,150 | \$211,486,570 | 550,250 | 3.3% | 0.07% |
| Metroplex | 199,518 | \$2,291,547,976 | 6,733,179 | 3.0% | 0.79% |
| Upper East Texas | 32,186 | \$299,256,280 | 1,111,696 | 2.9% | 0.13% |
| Southeast Texas | 19,279 | \$190,419,674 | 767,222 | 2.5% | 0.08% |
| Gulf Coast | 205,486 | \$2,088,386,361 | 6,087,133 | 3.4% | 0.82% |
| Central | 68,387 | \$981,451,527 | 2,948,364 | 2.3% | 0.27% |
| South Texas | 170,150 | \$1,671,758,943 | 4,710,347 | 3.6% | 0.68% |
| West Texas | 10,184 | \$95,651,620 | 571,871 | 1.8% | 0.04% |
| Upper Rio Grande | 38,171 | \$330,299,657 | 825,913 | 4.6% | 0.15% |
| | 786,467 | \$8,457,654,291 | 25,145,561 | | |

Source: Total population figures form 2010 census data https://www.tsl.texas.gov/ref/abouttx/popcnty12010.html

Appendix B: Institutions Included in the Financial Aid Database

Public Universities

Angelo State University Lamar University Midwestern State University Prairie View A&M University Sam Houston State University Stephen F. Austin State University Sul Ross State University Tarleton State University Texas A&M University **Texas A&M University-Commerce** Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi Texas A&M University at Galveston Texas A&M International University Texas A&M University-Kingsville Texas A&M University-San Antonio Texas A&M University-Texarkana **Texas Southern University Texas State University** Texas Tech University Texas Woman's University The University of Texas at Arlington The University of Texas at Austin The University of Texas at Brownsville The University of Texas at Dallas The University of Texas at El Paso The University of Texas of the Basin The University of Texas-Pan American The University of Texas at San Antonio The University of Texas at Tyler University of Houston University of Houston-Clear Lake University of Houston-Downtown University of Houston-Victoria University of North Texas West Texas A&M University

Private or Independent Universities

Abilene Christian University Austin College Baylor University Concordia University Texas Dallas Baptist University East Texas Baptist University Hardin-Simmons University Houston Baptist University Howard Payne University Huston-Tillotson University Jarvis Christian College Letourneau University

Lubbock Christian University McMurry University Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio Paul Quinn College **Rice University** Schreiner University South Texas College of Law Southern Methodist University Southwestern Adventist University Southwestern Assemblies of God University Southwestern Christian College Southwestern University St. Edward's University St. Mary's University **Texas Christian University Texas** College **Texas Lutheran University Texas Wesleyan University Trinity University** University of Dallas University of Mary Hardin-Baylor University of St. Thomas University of the Incarnate Word Wayland Baptist University Wiley College

Public Community Colleges

Alamo Community College-Northeast Lakeview College Alamo Community College-Northwest Vista College Alamo Community College-Palo Alto College Alamo Community College-San Antonio College Alamo Community College-St. Philip's College Alvin Community College Amarillo College Angelina College Austin Community College Blinn College **Brazosport** College Central Texas College Cisco College Clarendon College Coastal Bend College

Public Community Colleges (cont.)

College of the Mainland Community College District Collin County Community College District Dallas County Community College District Del Mar College El Paso Community College District Frank Phillips College Galveston College Grayson County College Hill College Houston Community College Howard County Junior College District Kilgore College Laredo Community College Lee College Lone Star College System McLennan Community College Midland College Navarro College North Central College Northeast Texas Community College Odessa College Panola College Paris Junior College Ranger College San Jacinto Community College District South Plains College South Texas College Southwest Texas Junior College Tarrant County College District **Temple College** Texarkana College **Texas Southmost College** Trinity Valley Community College Tyler Junior College Vernon College Victoria College Weatherford College Western Texas College Wharton County Junior College

Private Junior Colleges

Jacksonville College

Public Health-Related Institutions

- Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center
- Texas A&M University System Health Science Center
- The University of Texas Health Science Center of Houston
- The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio
- The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center
- The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston
- The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center
- University of North Texas Health Science Center

Private Health-Related Institutions

Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School Parker University Texas Chiropractic College

Public State Colleges

Lamar Institute of Technology Lamar State College-Orange Lamar State College-Port Arthur

Public Technical Institutes

Texas State Technical College-Harlingen Texas State Technical College-Marshall Texas State Technical College-Waco Texas State Technical College-West Texas

Appendix C: Cost of Attendance Budgets for Texas Colleges and Universities

| Public Universities | Resident Tuition and Fees | Non- Resident Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Room and Board Off- Campus | Transportation Off-Campus | Personal Expenses Off- Campus | Total Resident Costs | Total Non Resident Costs |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Average | \$7,986 | \$19,083 | \$1,194 | \$8,661 | \$2,067 | \$2,069 | \$21,978 | \$33,074 |
| Angelo State University | \$7,642 | \$18,502 | \$1,200 | \$7,602 | \$1,900 | \$1,580 | \$19,924 | \$30,784 |
| Lamar University | \$9,340 | \$20,200 | \$1,200 | \$7,550 | \$2,400 | \$1,800 | \$22,290 | \$33,150 |
| Midwestern State University | \$8,088 | \$10,038 | \$1,200 | \$7,374 | \$1,947 | \$1,783 | \$20,392 | \$22,342 |
| Prairie View A&M University | \$8,637 | \$20,103 | \$1,300 | \$7,829 | \$1,500 | \$2,500 | \$21,766 | \$33,232 |
| Sam Houston State University | \$8,932 | \$19,792 | \$1,124 | \$8,708 | \$2,000 | \$1,860 | \$22,624 | \$33,484 |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | \$8,772 | \$19,632 | \$1,192 | \$8,868 | \$3,000 | \$1,854 | \$23,686 | \$34,546 |
| Sul Ross State University | \$6,900 | \$17,760 | \$1,366 | \$10,476 | \$3,076 | \$1,552 | \$23,370 | \$34,230 |
| Tarleton State University | \$8,108 | \$18,968 | \$1,207 | \$8,772 | \$1,111 | \$1,800 | \$20,998 | \$31,858 |
| Texas A&M International University | \$7,558 | \$18,652 | \$1,780 | \$6,845 | \$1,864 | \$1,602 | \$19,649 | \$30,743 |
| Texas A&M University | \$9,242 | \$26,356 | \$1,000 | \$9,522 | \$606 | \$2,100 | \$22,470 | \$39,584 |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | \$9,630 | \$20,544 | \$1,000 | \$10,000 | \$606 | \$2,098 | \$23,334 | \$34,248 |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | \$5,592 | \$14,570 | \$1,350 | \$9,135 | \$1,440 | \$1,800 | \$19,317 | \$28,295 |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | \$6,753 | \$17,504 | \$1,400 | \$8,106 | \$2,070 | \$1,867 | \$20,196 | \$30,947 |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | \$8,287 | \$19,113 | \$1,055 | \$9,114 | \$1,714 | \$1,527 | \$21,697 | \$32,523 |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | \$7,434 | \$18,635 | \$1,300 | \$7,335 | \$2,493 | \$2,859 | \$21,421 | \$32,622 |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | \$7,313 | \$17,869 | \$1,300 | \$7,664 | \$2,493 | \$2,859 | \$21,629 | \$32,185 |
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | \$6,622 | \$17,906 | \$1,400 | \$9,310 | \$500 | \$1,000 | \$18,832 | \$30,116 |
| Texas Southern University | \$7,875 | \$17,454 | \$1,522 | \$13,431 | \$2,310 | \$2,458 | \$27,596 | \$37,175 |
| Texas State University | \$9,500 | \$20,360 | \$810 | \$7,110 | \$2,100 | \$1,740 | \$21,260 | \$32,120 |
| Texas Tech University | \$9,608 | \$20,468 | \$1,200 | \$9,384 | \$2,300 | \$2,120 | \$24,612 | \$35,472 |
| Texas Woman's University | \$7,560 | \$18,420 | \$1,050 | \$6,624 | \$1,224 | \$2,349 | \$18,807 | \$29,667 |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | \$9,380 | \$18,068 | \$1,206 | \$8,156 | \$2,776 | \$1,624 | \$23,142 | \$31,830 |
| The University of Texas at Austin | \$9,798 | \$33,842 | \$750 | \$11,456 | \$1,490 | \$2,820 | \$26,314 | \$50,358 |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | \$5,928 | \$16,844 | \$1,196 | \$6,250 | \$1,401 | \$1,917 | \$16,692 | \$27,608 |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | \$11,806 | \$30,378 | | \$9,548 | \$2,140 | \$2,040 | \$26,734 | \$45,306 |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | \$7,018 | \$17,639 | \$1,452 | \$11,970 | \$2,302 | \$1,782 | \$24,524 | \$35,145 |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | \$9,082 | \$19,800 | \$1,000 | \$9,423 | \$2,760 | \$1,836 | \$24,101 | \$34,819 |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | \$7,312 | \$18,172 | \$1,580 | \$11,552 | \$2,034 | \$1,460 | \$23,938 | \$34,798 |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | \$6,776 | \$17,308 | \$915 | \$4,918 | \$1,639 | \$1,924 | \$16,172 | \$26,704 |
| The University of Texas-Pan American | \$6,134 | \$17,132 | \$1,150 | \$6,818 | \$3,204 | \$2,014 | \$19,320 | \$30,318 |
| University of Houston | \$10,331 | \$24,378 | \$1,200 | \$10,800 | \$2,800 | \$2,700 | \$27,831 | \$41,878 |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | \$7,131 | \$20,091 | \$1,050 | \$9,682 | \$2,992 | \$3,558 | \$24,413 | \$37,373 |
| University of Houston-Downtown | \$6,614 | \$17,474 | \$1,167 | \$8,532 | \$2,844 | \$3,926 | \$23,083 | \$33,943 |
| University of Houston-Victoria | \$6,748 | \$17,608 | \$1,200 | \$7,996 | \$2,102 | \$2,076 | \$20,122 | \$30,982 |
| University of North Texas | \$10,066 | \$20,926 | \$1,000 | \$7,376 | \$2,336 | \$2,062 | \$22,840 | \$33,700 |
| West Texas A&M University | \$7,361 | \$8,312 | | \$7,196 | \$2,392 | \$2,150 | \$20,099 | \$21,050 |

| Independent Universities | Resident Tuition and Fees | Non- Resident Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Room and Board Off- Campus | Transportation Off-Campus | Personal Expenses Off- Campus | Total Resident Costs | Total Non Resident Costs |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Average | \$25,437 | \$25,437 | \$1,083 | \$7,804 | \$1,428 | \$1,684 | \$37,436 | \$37,436 |
| Abilene Christian University | \$29,450 | \$29,450 | \$1,250 | \$9,000 | \$1,450 | \$1,950 | \$43,100 | \$43,100 |
| Amberton University | \$7,260 | \$7,260 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,260 | \$7,260 |
| Austin College | \$34,840 | \$34,840 | \$1,250 | \$3,830 | \$525 | \$850 | \$41,295 | \$41,295 |
| Baylor University | \$38,320 | \$38,320 | \$1,250 | \$10,560 | \$1,150 | \$2,880 | \$54,160 | \$54,160 |
| Concordia University Texas | \$26,960 | \$26,960 | \$1,520 | \$6,040 | \$860 | \$1,460 | \$36,840 | \$36,840 |
| Dallas Baptist University | \$23,774 | \$23,774 | \$2,280 | \$10,188 | \$2,943 | \$1,962 | \$41,147 | \$41,147 |
| East Texas Baptist University | \$23,280 | \$23,280 | \$938 | \$4,864 | \$896 | \$1,512 | \$31,490 | \$31,490 |
| Hardin-Simmons University | \$22,350 | \$22,350 | \$1,000 | \$6,962 | \$1,598 | \$1,976 | \$33,886 | \$33,886 |
| Houston Baptist University | \$28,800 | \$28,800 | \$0 | \$8,300 | \$2,738 | \$2,228 | \$42,066 | \$42,066 |
| Howard Payne University | \$24,600 | \$24,600 | \$1,200 | \$4,880 | \$1,177 | \$1,732 | \$33,589 | \$33,589 |
| Huston-Tillotson University | \$13,544 | \$13,544 | \$1,040 | \$7,514 | \$2,650 | \$2,782 | \$27,530 | \$27,530 |
| Jarvis Christian College | \$12,179 | \$12,179 | \$0 | \$6,400 | \$2,000 | \$1,500 | \$22,079 | \$22,079 |
| Letourneau University | \$27,560 | \$27,560 | \$1,520 | \$10,128 | \$1,328 | \$1,746 | \$42,282 | \$42,282 |
| Lubbock Christian University | \$19,400 | \$19,400 | \$1,100 | \$6,908 | \$2,092 | \$2,340 | \$31,840 | \$31,840 |
| McMurry University | \$25,019 | \$25,019 | \$1,200 | \$5,452 | \$2,027 | \$2,028 | \$35,726 | \$35,726 |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | \$24,596 | \$24,596 | \$1,200 | \$7,436 | \$1,450 | \$1,850 | \$36,532 | \$36,532 |
| Rice University | \$40,566 | \$40,566 | \$800 | \$13,400 | \$600 | \$1,550 | \$56,916 | \$56,916 |
| Schreiner University | \$24,359 | \$24,359 | \$100 | \$9,830 | \$1,000 | \$1,000 | \$36,289 | \$36,289 |
| Southern Methodist University | \$45,940 | \$45,940 | \$800 | \$8,500 | \$1,000 | \$1,876 | \$58,116 | \$58,116 |
| Southwestern Adventist University | \$19,460 | \$19,460 | \$1,368 | \$6,902 | \$1,416 | \$1,346 | \$30,492 | \$30,492 |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | \$18,580 | \$18,580 | \$1,298 | \$13,694 | \$2,022 | \$2,742 | \$38,336 | \$38,336 |
| Southwestern Christian College | \$7,963 | \$7,963 | \$1,016 | \$4,195 | \$800 | \$600 | \$14,574 | \$14,574 |
| Southwestern University | \$36,120 | \$36,120 | \$1,200 | \$6,000 | \$450 | \$950 | \$44,720 | \$44,720 |
| St. Edward's University | \$36,550 | \$36,550 | \$1,000 | \$10,392 | \$900 | \$1,758 | \$50,600 | \$50,600 |
| St. Mary's University | \$26,186 | \$26,186 | \$1,300 | \$4,000 | \$1,000 | \$2,000 | \$34,486 | \$34,486 |
| Texas Christian University | \$38,600 | \$38,600 | \$1,050 | \$11,380 | \$1,200 | \$1,500 | \$53,730 | \$53,730 |
| Texas College | \$10,008 | \$10,008 | \$1,200 | \$7,140 | \$2,800 | \$1,772 | \$22,920 | \$22,920 |
| Texas Lutheran University | \$26,800 | \$26,800 | \$950 | \$8,200 | \$1,025 | \$1,100 | \$38,075 | \$38,075 |
| Texas Wesleyan University | \$23,144 | \$23,144 | \$1,200 | \$8,216 | \$1,850 | \$2,700 | \$37,110 | \$37,110 |
| Trinity University | \$36,214 | \$36,214 | \$1,000 | \$9,514 | \$500 | \$900 | \$48,128 | \$48,128 |
| University of Dallas | \$34,430 | \$34,430 | \$1,200 | \$8,000 | \$1,600 | \$1,500 | \$46,730 | \$46,730 |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | \$25,650 | \$25,650 | \$1,300 | \$7,020 | | \$1,282 | \$37,000 | \$37,000 |
| University of St. Thomas | \$29,440 | \$29,440 | \$1,094 | \$10,090 | \$2,694 | \$2,068 | \$45,386 | \$45,386 |
| University of the Incarnate Word | \$26,490 | \$26,490 | \$1,200 | \$9,510 | \$1,294 | \$1,752 | \$40,246 | \$40,246 |
| Wayland Baptist University | \$15,930 | \$15,930 | \$1,650 | \$9,784 | \$1,834 | \$2,232 | \$31,430 | \$31,430 |
| Wiley College | \$11,382 | \$11,382 | \$1,500 | \$6,716 | \$800 | \$1,200 | \$21,598 | \$21,598 |

| Community Colleges | Non-Resident Tuition and Fees | In-District Tuition and Fees | Out-of- District Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Room and Board Off- Campus | Transport ation Off- Campus | Personal Expenses Off- Campus | Total Non Resident Costs | Total In District Costs | Total Out of District Costs |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|-----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Average | \$5,447 | \$2,361 | \$3,680 | \$1,529 | \$6,903 | \$2,224 | \$2,104 | \$18,208 | \$15,121 | \$16,440 |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | \$10,660 | \$2,008 | \$5,470 | \$2,034 | \$8,190 | \$2,316 | \$2,997 | \$26,197 | \$17,545 | \$21,007 |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | \$10,660 | \$2,008 | \$5,470 | \$2,034 | \$8,190 | \$2,316 | \$2,997 | \$26,197 | \$17,545 | \$21,007 |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College | \$10,660 | \$2,008 | \$5,470 | \$2,034 | \$8,190 | \$2,316 | \$2,997 | \$26,197 | \$17,545 | \$21,007 |
| Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | \$10,660 | \$2,008 | \$5,470 | \$2,034 | \$8,190 | \$2,316 | \$2,997 | \$26,197 | \$17,545 | \$21,007 |
| Alvin Community College | \$4,491 | \$1,791 | \$3,111 | \$1,743 | \$2,738 | \$2,507 | \$1,865 | \$13,344 | \$10,644 | \$11,964 |
| Amarillo College | \$5,453 | \$2,392 | \$3,623 | \$811 | \$6,307 | \$2,358 | \$1,481 | \$16,410 | \$13,349 | \$14,580 |
| Angelina College | \$4,650 | | \$3,330 | \$1,440 | \$6,560 | \$2,870 | \$2,140 | \$17,660 | \$15,140 | \$16,340 |
| Austin Community College | \$9,870 | \$2,490 | \$7,860 | \$1,200 | \$9,280 | \$1,600 | \$2,208 | \$24,158 | \$16,778 | \$22,148 |
| Blinn College | \$6,330 | | \$4,082 | \$1,372 | \$8,652 | \$2,912 | \$2,184 | \$21,450 | \$17,794 | \$19,202 |
| Brazosport College | \$4,725 | | \$3,315 | \$1,500 | \$3,667 | \$2,737 | \$2,865 | \$15,494 | \$13,064 | \$14,084 |
| Central Texas College | \$6,000 | | | \$1,707 | \$5,306 | \$947 | \$2,350 | | \$12,350 | |
| Cisco College | \$5,340 | | \$4,260 | \$1,600 | \$4,264 | \$1,905 | \$3,645 | | \$14,774 | |
| Clarendon College | \$4,349 | | \$3,523 | \$800 | \$7,393 | \$1,583 | \$1,857 | \$15,982 | \$14,445 | |
| Coastal Bend College | \$4,956 | | \$4,506 | \$2,000 | \$6,515 | \$721 | \$1,400 | \$15,592 | \$13,282 | |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | \$3,873 | | \$2,973 | \$1,600 | \$5,665 | \$1,340 | \$1,132 | | \$11,510 | |
| Collin County Community College District | \$4,190 | | \$2,390 | \$1,500 | \$8,730 | \$2,613 | \$1,891 | \$18,924 | \$15,954 | |
| Dallas County Community College District | \$4,695 | | | \$2,000 | \$8,253 | \$972 | \$1,170 | | \$14,060 | |
| Del Mar College | \$5,524 | | \$4,414 | \$1,215 | \$6,000 | \$2,500 | \$1,600 | \$16,839 | \$14,229 | |
| El Paso Community College District | \$4,530 | | | \$1,282 | \$8,096 | \$2,266 | \$1,841 | \$18,015 | \$16,065 | |
| Frank Phillips College | \$3,415 | | \$3,205 | \$1,048 | \$6,753 | \$1,916 | \$1,393 | \$14,525 | \$13,910 | |
| Galveston College | \$4,150 | | | \$892 | \$5,844 | \$1,741 | \$2,066 | | \$12,443 | |
| Grayson College | \$5,131 | | \$3,541 | \$1,400 | \$8,805 | \$2,202 | \$2,295 | | \$17,103 | |
| Hill College | \$3,240 | | \$2,840 | \$2,800 | \$7,924 | \$3,650 | \$1,825 | | \$18,349 | |
| Houston Community College | \$3,792 | | \$3,384 | \$2,250 | \$7,400 | \$1,700 | \$2,360 | | \$15,390 | |
| Howard College | \$5,022 | | \$3,392 | \$1,192 | \$5,622 | \$1,084 | \$3,493 | | \$13,613 | |
| Kilgore College | \$5,280 | | \$3,810 | \$2,050 | \$5,265 | \$2,205 | \$2,550 | | \$13,840 | |
| Laredo Community College | \$7,140 | | | \$1,800 | \$5,395 | \$2,471 | \$2,730 | | \$16,476 | |
| Lee College | \$4,366 | | \$2,836 | \$1,500 | \$7,328 | \$3,008 | \$1,984 | \$18,186 | \$15,756 | |
| Lone Star College System District | \$3,964 | | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$9,058 | \$1,178 | \$1,814 | | \$14,914 | |
| McLennan Community College | \$5,700 | | \$3,990 | \$1,242 | \$6,930 | \$2,457 | \$1,854 | | \$15,933 | |
| Midland College | \$4,830 | | | \$1,349 | \$13,066 | \$1,679 | \$1,965 | | \$20,309 | |
| Navarro College | \$4,828 | | \$3,388 | \$775 | \$6,479 | \$4,252 | \$2,507 | \$18,841 | \$16,081 | \$17,401 |
| North Central Texas College | \$4,770 | | \$3,060 | \$1,410 | \$5,645 | \$2,232 | \$1,799 | | \$12,886 | |
| Northeast Texas Community College | \$5,476 | | \$4,156 | \$1,248 | \$5,925 | \$3,557 | \$2,346 | | \$15,522 | |
| Odessa College | \$5,160 | | | \$1,240 | \$11,647 | \$1,384 | \$1,343 | \$20,818 | \$18,238 | |
| Panola College | \$4,470 | | \$3,540 | \$1,961 | \$5,443 | \$3,180 | \$3,432 | | \$16,116 | |
| Paris Junior College | \$4,155 | | | \$2,438 | \$7,612 | \$1,725 | \$3,026 | | \$16,616 | |
| Ranger College | \$4,970 | | \$3,860 | \$1,395 | \$6,800 | \$1,725 | \$1,405 | | \$10,010 | |
| San Jacinto Community College District | | | | | | | | | | |
| South Plains College | \$4,600 | | \$3,010 | \$1,518 | \$7,651 | \$1,894 | \$2,542 | | \$15,355 | |
| South Texas College | \$3,184 | | \$2,800 | \$1,650 | \$5,862 | \$4,188 | \$1,273 | | \$15,245 | |
| | \$4,440 | | | \$1,200 | \$5,412 | \$1,231 | \$1,200 | | \$12,343 | |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | \$5,108 | | \$4,208 | \$1,780 | \$6,000 | \$2,840 | \$2,572 | | \$15,810 | |
| Tarrant County College District | \$6,150 | | \$0 | \$1,698 | \$6,654 | \$2,442 | \$1,975 | | \$14,539 | |
| Temple College | \$7,020 | | | \$1,100 | \$7,950 | \$1,514 | \$1,147 | \$18,731 | \$14,351 | |
| Texarkana College | \$5,030 | | \$3,680 | \$1,384 | \$6,215 | \$4,301 | \$2,072 | | \$16,302 | |
| Texas Southmost College | \$6,908 | | | \$950 | \$6,250 | \$1,401 | \$1,917 | | \$14,426 | |
| Trinity Valley Community College | \$4,500 | | | \$1,660 | \$6,320 | \$2,845 | \$1,695 | | \$14,740 | |
| Tyler Junior College | \$4,362 | | | \$1,800 | \$7,156 | \$2,358 | \$2,124 | | \$15,790 | |
| Vernon College | \$6,300 | | | \$1,600 | \$5,866 | \$1,918 | \$1,444 | | \$13,768 | |
| Victoria College | \$4,722 | | | \$1,820 | \$7,820 | \$1,620 | \$2,474 | | \$16,446 | |
| Weatherford College | \$5,320 | | | \$1,200 | \$5,832 | \$2,721 | \$1,666 | | \$13,859 | |
| Western Texas College | \$4,350 | | | \$1,501 | \$5,788 | \$1,607 | \$1,746 | | \$13,012 | |
| Wharton County Junior College | \$5,240 | \$2,750 | \$4,280 | \$1,220 | \$5,950 | \$2,395 | \$1,885 | \$16,690 | \$14,200 | \$15,730 |

| Independent Junior Colleges | Resident Tuition and Fees | Non- Resident Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Room and Board Off- Campus | Transportation Off-Campus | Personal Expenses Off- Campus | Total Resident Costs | Total Non Resident Costs |
|--|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Average | \$7,730 | \$7,730 | \$1,000 | \$4,767 | \$1,025 | \$1,503 | \$16,025 | \$16,025 |
| Jacksonville College | \$7,730 | \$7,730 | | \$4,767 | \$1,025 | \$1,503 | \$16,025 | \$16,025 |
| Public Health-Related Institutions | Resident Tuition and Fees | Non- Resident Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Room and Board Off- Campus | Transportation Off-Campus | Personal Expenses Off- Campus | Total Resident Costs | Total Non Resident Costs |
| Average | \$7,293 | \$16,833 | | \$10,795 | | \$4,311 | \$25,631 | \$35,171 |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | \$6,000 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | \$6,000 | \$0 |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | \$8,828 | \$19,448 | | \$9,964 | \$3,626 | \$6,714 | \$31,202 | \$41,822 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston | \$7,997 | \$26,657 | \$1,348 | \$14,319 | | \$2,295 | \$27,813 | \$46,473 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | \$7,887 | \$20,697 | | \$15,783 | | | \$30,768 | \$43,578 |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | \$4,557 | \$15,417 | | \$14,319 | | | \$23,775 | \$34,635 |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | \$7,219 | \$16,518 | | \$10,818 | | | \$28,162 | \$37,461 |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | \$8,562 | \$19,092 | \$771 | \$10,365 | \$2,706 | \$9,291 | \$31,695 | \$42,225 |
| Independent Health-Related Institutions | Resident Tuition and Fees | Non- Resident Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Room and Board Off- Campus | Transportation Off-Campus | Personal Expenses Off- Campus | Total Resident Costs | Total Non Resident Costs |
| Average | \$8,750 | \$8,750 | \$1,291 | \$10,300 | \$4,304 | | \$27,079 | \$27,079 |
| Parker University | \$8,750 | \$8,750 | | \$10,300 | | \$2,434 | \$27,079 | \$27,079 |
| | Resident | Non- | | Room and | | Personal | Total | Total Non |
| State Colleges | Tuition and Fees | Resident Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Board Off- Campus | Transportation Off-Campus | Expenses Off- Campus | Resident Costs | Resident Costs |
| Average | \$4,978 | \$19,328 | \$881 | \$4,237 | \$2,912 | \$2,274 | \$15,283 | \$29,632 |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | \$5,040 | \$16,828 | \$844 | \$4,612 | \$2,470 | \$2,316 | \$15,282 | \$27,070 |
| Lamar State College-Orange | \$4,600 | \$25,000 | \$800 | \$3,500 | \$3,380 | \$2,050 | \$14,330 | \$34,730 |
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | \$5,295 | \$16,155 | \$1,000 | \$4,600 | \$2,885 | \$2,457 | \$16,237 | \$27,097 |
| Technical College System | Resident Tuition and Fees | Non- Resident Tuition and Fees | Books and Supplies | Room and Board Off- Campus | Transportation Off-Campus | Personal Expenses Off- Campus | Total Resident Costs | Total Non Resident Costs |
| Average | \$4,178 | \$8,936 | | \$7,331 | | | \$16,337 | \$21,095 |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | \$4,066 | \$9,000 | | \$7,807 | | | \$17,486 | \$22,420 |
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | \$4,200 | \$9,000 | | \$7,295 | | \$1,721 | \$16,545 | \$21,345 |
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | \$4,155 | \$8,745 | | \$7,510 | | \$1,764 | \$16,324 | \$20,914 |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | \$4,290 | \$9,000 | \$1,192 | \$6,710 | \$2,116 | \$684 | \$14,992 | \$19,702 |

Appendix D: All Students Who Completed Need Analysis and Received Aid

| | Public U | niversities and HRIs | | or Independent stitutions | | c Two-Year stitutions | Statev | vide Totals |
|----------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------|------------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------------|
| | <u>Awards</u> | <u>Dollars</u> | Awards | <u>Dollars</u> | Awards | <u>Dollars</u> | Awards | <u>Dollars</u> |
| Categorical Aid | 35,633 | \$131,926,896 | 10,664 | \$56,757,171 | 20,375 | \$31,815,979 | 66,672 | \$220,500,046 |
| Federal Pell Grant | 208,921 | \$836,834,329 | 33,253 | \$132,234,839 | 307,205 | \$1,008,669,754 | 549,379 | \$1,977,738,922 |
| Federal SEOG | 18,512 | \$17,258,791 | 8,173 | \$9,471,668 | 28,716 | \$15,557,501 | 55,401 | \$42,287,960 |
| TPEG | 63,727 | \$103,031,713 | 0 | \$0 | 57,048 | \$43,734,324 | 120,775 | \$146,766,037 |
| TEG | 0 | \$0 | 27,314 | \$90,528,191 | 0 | \$0 | 27,314 | \$90,528,191 |
| HB3015 Grant & Scholarship | 87,395 | \$196,804,001 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 87,395 | \$196,804,001 |
| Merit Aid to Inst | 24,840 | \$67,211,250 | 13,205 | \$81,047,590 | 9,814 | \$9,103,830 | 47,859 | \$157,362,670 |
| Merit Aid from Institutions | 56,138 | \$196,562,334 | 47,204 | \$514,640,376 | 9,260 | \$16,181,881 | 112,602 | \$727,384,591 |
| Student Deposit Scholarship | 1,291 | \$2,022,968 | 0 | \$0 | 86 | \$71,617 | 1,377 | \$2,094,585 |
| Other Grant & Scholarship | 37,418 | \$113,184,136 | 27,260 | \$243,952,714 | 11,072 | \$14,893,483 | 75,750 | \$372,030,333 |
| TEXAS Grant | 66,903 | \$329,445,645 | 0 | \$0 | 7,726 | \$10,029,381 | 74,629 | \$339,475,026 |
| TEOG | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 26,625 | \$51,227,236 | 26,625 | \$51,227,236 |
| Top Ten Percent Scholarship | 15,731 | \$11,611,043 | 0 | \$0 | 484 | \$308,800 | 16,215 | \$11,919,843 |
| Federal Work-Study | 10,090 | \$23,239,700 | 10,252 | \$15,806,253 | 7,045 | \$14,467,653 | 27,387 | \$53,513,606 |
| Texas College Work-Study | 2,239 | \$4,210,454 | 850 | \$1,028,416 | 1,973 | \$3,389,690 | 5,062 | \$8,628,560 |
| Institutional Work-Study | 923 | \$2,119,341 | 151 | \$231,663 | 118 | \$180,578 | 1,192 | \$2,531,582 |
| AmeriCorps | 338 | \$888,798 | 46 | \$157,244 | 175 | \$313,917 | 559 | \$1,359,959 |
| HB3015 Work-Study | 717 | \$1,515,136 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 717 | \$1,515,136 |
| Federal Perkins Loan | 6,427 | \$18,955,946 | 3,669 | \$13,061,207 | 0 | \$0 | 10,096 | \$32,017,153 |
| College Access Loan | 4,358 | \$43,113,340 | 4,112 | \$69,365,553 | 264 | \$1,631,855 | 8,734 | \$114,110,748 |
| Primary Care Loan | 11 | \$299,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 11 | \$299,000 |
| Federal Direct PLUS Loan | 35,840 | \$398,778,261 | 11,584 | \$221,589,651 | 644 | \$4,951,216 | 48,068 | \$625,319,128 |
| Federal Direct Subsidized Loan | 205,428 | \$813,198,655 | 43,906 | \$176,576,227 | 104,981 | \$306,543,653 | 354,315 | \$1,296,318,535 |
| Federal Direct Unsubsidized Loan | 251,483 | \$1,791,407,853 | 62,142 | \$486,499,246 | 77,290 | \$270,676,451 | 390,915 | \$2,548,583,550 |
| Other Long-Term Loan | 10,320 | \$91,319,763 | 4,554 | \$61,902,286 | 485 | \$3,170,055 | 15,359 | \$156,392,104 |
| B-On-Time Loan | 6,783 | \$43,257,903 | 2,711 | \$15,703,299 | 10 | \$21,737 | 9,504 | \$58,982,939 |
| Federal TEACH Grant | 2,109 | \$6,688,693 | 637 | \$1,909,973 | 9 | \$29,107 | 2,755 | \$8,627,773 |
| HB3015 Other | 52 | \$78,136 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 52 | \$78,136 |
| Total | 1,153,627 | \$5,244,964,085 | 311,687 | \$2,192,463,567 | 671,405 | \$1,806,969,698 | 2,136,719 | \$9,244,397,350 |

| | | | Grant | | Grant | Grant | | |
|--|-------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| | | Categorical | | | Federal | Federal | | |
| | | | Aid | P | ell Grant | | SEOG | |
| Institution | Aid Recipients | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| STATEWIDE TOTALS | | 66,672 | \$220,500,046 | 549,379 | \$1,977,738,922 | 55,401 | \$42,287,960 | |
| PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES | 401,823 | 34,706 | \$123,674,527 | 207,370 | \$830,737,721 | 18,000 | \$16,932,946 | |
| Angelo State University | 5,120 | | \$3,142,049 | 2,342 | \$9,201,957 | 152 | \$158,675 | |
| Lamar University | 10,628 | 1,010 | \$2,660,645 | 4,449 | \$16,880,582 | 175 | \$543,839 | |
| Midwestern State University | 4,226 | 515 | \$1,839,818 | 2,221 | \$8,557,324 | 169 | \$162,007 | |
| Prairie View A&M University | 7,924 | 818 | \$3,185,183 | 4,875 | \$21,330,118 | 846 | \$1,404,213 | |
| Sam Houston State University | 13,778 | 1,085 | \$2,648,817 | 7,243 | \$29,703,436 | 411 | \$532,781 | |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 9,761 | 1,148 | \$3,777,827 | 4,941 | \$19,961,319 | 318 | \$391,549 | |
| Sul Ross State University | 2,247 | 132 | \$275,412 | 1,391 | \$5,346,134 | 57 | \$68,394 | |
| Tarleton State University | 8,443 | 293 | \$1,531,536 | 4,435 | \$17,247,202 | 602 | \$286,268 | |
| Texas A&M International University | 5,745 | 229 | \$302,852 | 4,176 | \$17,598,634 | 350 | \$190,029 | |
| Texas A&M University | 29,465 | 6,799 | \$26,146,986 | 10,081 | \$42,640,810 | 2,015 | \$998,636 | |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 1,414 | 162 | \$448,476 | 560 | \$2,301,296 | 84 | \$40,250 | |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | 2,083 | 723 | \$2,840,990 | 1,284 | \$4,525,159 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 8,893 | 643 | \$1,687,999 | 4,186 | \$16,728,689 | 229 | \$213,983 | |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 7,969 | 981 | \$4,198,716 | 4,108 | \$16,671,552 | 183 | \$225,904 | |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | 5,023 | | \$883,240 | 3,084 | \$13,285,971 | 319 | \$423,283 | |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 3,679 | 0 | | 2,090 | \$7,011,492 | 0 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 1,404 | 91 | \$222,082 | 809 | \$3,015,947 | 72 | | |
| Texas Southern University | 8,177 | 443 | \$1,360,055 | 4,935 | \$20,772,490 | 747 | \$496,381 | |
| Texas State University | 24,992 | 2,635 | \$10,747,825 | 12,025 | \$49,275,676 | 527 | \$986,380 | |
| Texas Tech University | 20,748 | , | \$510,435 | 8,578 | \$34,697,784 | 408 | \$681,371 | |
| Texas Woman's University | 11,138 | | \$0 | 4,931 | \$19,845,875 | 754 | \$547,684 | |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 26,597 | 1,719 | \$6,634,243 | 12,869 | \$46,570,565 | 1,832 | \$1,311,181 | |
| The University of Texas at Austin | 24,941 | 4,275 | | 10,275 | \$43,776,396 | 332 | \$893,347 | |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 6,211 | 211 | \$538,536 | 4,686 | \$18,941,056 | 1,013 | \$362,007 | |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | 10,859 | 575 | \$1,511,800 | 5,071 | \$20,133,508 | 749 | \$358,999 | |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 17,766 | | \$1,731,874 | 12,668 | \$51,454,529 | 1,172 | \$770,374 | |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 20,020 | 2,467 | \$6,672,682 | 11,345 | \$45,851,064 | 335 | \$686,601 | |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 5,145 | 587 | \$2,123,596 | 2,404 | \$9,324,517 | 83 | | |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 3,024 | | \$595,787 | 1,663 | \$6,062,107 | 72 | . , | |
| The University of Texas Pan-American | 18,099 | 502 | \$1,081,340 | 12,798 | \$53,909,242 | 736 | \$690,175 | |
| University of Houston | 25,166 | | \$8,445,155 | 13,782 | \$54,859,669 | 840 | \$1,130,012 | |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | 4,961 | 133 | \$646,003 | 2,441 | \$8,609,250 | 120 | \$138,500 | |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 10,653 | 0 | \$0+0,005 | 7,378 | \$26,991,200 | 682 | \$448,201 | |
| University of Houston-Victoria | 3,209 | | \$322,111 | 1,592 | \$5,938,846 | 174 | | |
| University of North Texas | 25,926 | | \$3,620,534 | 12,576 | \$49,953,023 | 1,291 | \$1,198,992 | |
| West Texas A&M University | | 697 | | | | 1,291 | | |
| West Texas Adm University | 6,389 | 097 | \$1,607,983 | 3,078 | \$11,763,302 | 151 | \$196,599 | |
| PRIVATE OR INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITIES | 85,886 | 10,540 | \$56,093,166 | 32,851 | \$130,723,863 | 8,083 | \$9,413,162 | |
| Abilene Christian University | 3,354 | | \$79,260 | 985 | \$4,090,688 | 143 | \$174,889 | |
| Austin College | 1,019 | 137 | \$451,711 | 355 | \$1,501,259 | 252 | \$249,527 | |
| Baylor University | 10,655 | 2,061 | \$15,308,926 | 2,855 | \$11,886,552 | 909 | \$821,652 | |
| Concordia University Texas | 2,351 | 55 | \$65,247 | 788 | \$3,004,169 | 139 | \$183,375 | |
| Dallas Baptist University | 4,110 | 888 | \$2,828,664 | 1,175 | \$3,886,700 | 127 | \$174,394 | |

Appendix E: Number of Awards and Total Funds Awarded Per Institution

| | | | Grant tegorical | E | Grant ederal | Grant Federal | | |
|---|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--|
| | | Ca | Aid | | ederai ell Grant | | ederal SEOG | |
| Institution | Aid Recipients | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| East Texas Baptist University | 1,161 | 303 | \$566,572 | 593 | \$2,430,291 | 40 | \$63,121 | |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 1,549 | 274 | \$533,232 | 578 | \$2,225,289 | 94 | \$168,308 | |
| Houston Baptist University | 2,477 | 238 | \$579,189 | 1,049 | \$4,399,807 | 147 | \$134,117 | |
| Howard Payne University | 978 | 177 | \$440,199 | 494 | \$1,936,657 | 200 | \$259,767 | |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 1,020 | 18 | \$36,588 | 792 | \$3,341,230 | 523 | \$99,599 | |
| Jarvis Christian College | 775 | 28 | \$59,371 | 679 | \$3,162,836 | 264 | \$228,176 | |
| Letourneau University | 2,453 | 304 | \$2,189,258 | 1,023 | \$3,618,073 | 218 | \$207,836 | |
| Lubbock Christian University | 1,739 | 161 | \$373,759 | 610 | \$2,370,357 | 154 | \$119,854 | |
| McMurry University | 896 | 172 | \$435,214 | 509 | \$2,082,602 | 159 | \$133,735 | |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 3,211 | 247 | \$495,787 | 990 | \$4,087,180 | 305 | \$293,750 | |
| Paul Quinn College | 403 | 0 | \$0 | 350 | \$1,352,891 | 262 | \$275,422 | |
| Rice University | 2,298 | 530 | \$2,429,791 | 620 | \$2,571,956 | 117 | \$348,750 | |
| Schreiner University | 1,124 | 243 | \$1,620,347 | 501 | \$2,059,916 | 41 | \$55,952 | |
| South Texas College of Law | 923 | 18 | \$53,262 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C | |
| Southern Methodist University | 4,661 | 567 | \$4,311,481 | 969 | \$4,073,363 | 371 | \$671,456 | |
| Southwestern Adventist University | 707 | 40 | \$67,059 | 464 | \$1,944,713 | 300 | \$153,188 | |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | 1,857 | 246 | \$653,731 | 1,007 | \$3,879,345 | 134 | \$109,594 | |
| Southwestern Christian College | 138 | 10 | \$23,000 | 117 | \$527,115 | 63 | \$104,677 | |
| Southwestern University | 1,125 | 221 | \$1,067,520 | 418 | \$1,817,819 | 185 | \$138,945 | |
| St. Edward's University | 3,527 | 353 | \$2,613,028 | 1,508 | \$6,055,027 | 79 | \$277,969 | |
| St. Mary's University | 2,697 | 243 | \$2,139,682 | 1,185 | \$5,322,302 | 190 | \$685,130 | |
| Texas Christian University | 5,063 | 920 | \$11,057,462 | 1,172 | \$4,766,507 | 110 | \$364,715 | |
| Texas College | 924 | 8 | \$11,800 | 799 | \$3,422,343 | 170 | \$359,940 | |
| Texas Lutheran University | 1,152 | 180 | \$524,920 | 502 | \$2,138,720 | 321 | \$144,997 | |
| Texas Wesleyan University | 1,746 | 0 | \$0 | 1,059 | \$3,150,472 | 314 | \$184,687 | |
| Trinity University | 1,351 | 281 | \$1,707,602 | 377 | \$1,574,295 | 293 | \$573,000 | |
| University of Dallas | 1,474 | 112 | \$231,319 | 293 | \$1,187,468 | 77 | \$88,850 | |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 3,045 | 650 | \$776,416 | 1,398 | \$5,418,023 | 144 | \$248,580 | |
| University of St. Thomas | 1,960 | 146 | \$431,446 | 582 | \$2,413,346 | 162 | \$206,157 | |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 6,878 | 371 | \$1,035,143 | 3,068 | \$11,878,529 | 295 | \$517,500 | |
| Wayland Baptist University | 3,748 | 270 | \$837,697 | 1,890 | \$6,234,576 | 410 | \$295,358 | |
| Wiley College | 1,337 | 44 | \$57,483 | 1,097 | \$4,911,447 | 371 | \$296,195 | |
| PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGES | 354,697 | 19,599 | \$29,184,580 | 296,582 | \$973,128,068 | 27,666 | \$14,981,472 | |
| Alamo Community College - Northeast Lakeview College | , 11 | . 0 | \$0 | , 0 | \$0 | , 0 | \$0 | |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | 7,168 | 0 | \$0 | 5,963 | \$21,151,035 | 400 | \$246,466 | |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | 4,195 | 0 | \$0 | 3,714 | \$13,617,627 | 268 | \$163,343 | |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | 10,957 4,524 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 9,242 3,939 | \$32,866,196 \$14,065,295 | 781 304 | \$474,351 \$184,679 | |

| | | | irant | | irant | Grant Federal | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|------------------|-------------|--|
| | | | gorical | | deral | | | |
| | | Aid # of | | | Grant | SEOG # of | | |
| Institution | Aid Recipients | - | Amoun | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| Alvin Community College | 1,114 | | + | 932 | \$2,870,246 | 184 | \$118,450 | |
| Amarillo College | 5,700 | 682 | \$699,509 | 4,981 | \$15,192,735 | 461 | \$295,325 | |
| Angelina College | 3,160 | 287 | \$323,917 | 2,672 | \$8,600,955 | 258 | \$267,348 | |
| Austin Community College | 14,131 | | \$620,600 | 11,430 | \$31,566,501 | 1,774 | \$975,319 | |
| Blinn College | 8,524 | | \$1,867,022 | 5,536 | \$19,491,912 | 590 | \$326,706 | |
| Brazosport College | 1,634 | | \$239,088 | 955 | \$2,851,797 | 105 | \$112,100 | |
| Central Texas College | 10,047 | | \$222,47 | 9,559 | \$26,439,729 | 243 | \$86,481 | |
| Cisco College | 2,176 | | \$0 | 1,745 | \$6,113,434 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Clarendon College | 806 | | \$197,65 | 602 | \$2,182,827 | 91 | \$34,029 | |
| Coastal Bend College | 2,027 | 65 | \$98,79 | 1,746 | \$6,343,458 | 76 | \$71,577 | |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 1,559 | | \$0 | 1,325 | \$4,151,169 | 88 | \$99,354 | |
| Collin County Community College District | 9,363 | | \$0 | 7,267 | \$23,084,055 | 626 | \$580,145 | |
| Dallas County Community College District | 31,175 | 1,693 | \$2,101,17 | 25,504 | \$83,844,148 | 1,655 | \$1,093,010 | |
| Del Mar College | 5,231 | 1,027 | \$1,569,78 | 4,384 | \$14,079,387 | 401 | \$251,060 | |
| El Paso Community College District | 16,858 | 1,114 | \$1,689,40 | 15,759 | \$54,890,326 | 966 | \$1,207,759 | |
| Frank Phillips College | 623 | 5 | \$9,17 | 499 | \$1,862,172 | 17 | \$15,694 | |
| Galveston College | 931 | 0 | \$0 | 849 | \$2,735,897 | 151 | \$53,775 | |
| Grayson County College | 3,034 | 416 | \$592,62 | 2,459 | \$8,279,356 | 288 | \$70,000 | |
| Hill College | 2,473 | | \$473,30 | 1,967 | \$6,534,707 | 151 | \$71,620 | |
| Houston Community College | 34,925 | 0 | \$0 | 29,903 | \$94,076,220 | 1,309 | \$848,210 | |
| Howard College | 1,634 | 206 | \$463,64 | 1,317 | \$4,288,280 | 159 | \$78,017 | |
| Kilgore College | 3,074 | 302 | \$533,26 | 2,764 | \$10,066,673 | 281 | \$173,435 | |
| Laredo Community College | 5,840 | 109 | \$70,17 | 5,444 | \$18,744,002 | 1,117 | \$626,091 | |
| Lee College | 4,519 | 2,192 | \$1,586,55 | 2,047 | \$6,124,072 | 268 | \$131,700 | |
| Lone Star College System | 32,911 | 886 | \$1,496,03 | 27,912 | \$88,885,207 | 5,016 | \$1,460,663 | |
| McLennan Community College | 6,093 | 725 | \$1,109,12 | 4,780 | \$17,035,324 | 339 | \$223,037 | |
| Midland College | 1,761 | 10 | \$13,94 | 1,338 | \$4,193,420 | 23 | \$19,500 | |
| Navarro College | 6,303 | 248 | \$317,11 | 5,198 | \$18,781,714 | 236 | \$210,678 | |
| North Central College | 5,249 | 458 | \$608,77 | 3,788 | \$11,918,252 | 632 | \$200,485 | |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 2,384 | 417 | \$628,28 | 1,883 | \$6,933,208 | 25 | \$24,779 | |
| Odessa College | 1,908 | 150 | \$230,45 | 1,541 | \$4,958,475 | 134 | \$118,918 | |
| Panola College | 1,694 | | \$460,78 | 1,452 | \$5,195,703 | 17 | \$32,126 | |
| Paris Junior College | 3,248 | 569 | \$962,71 | 2,550 | \$9,143,143 | 167 | \$99,638 | |
| Ranger College | 1,083 | 0 | \$0 | 857 | \$3,170,409 | 91 | \$47,900 | |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 11,412 | 837 | \$1,587,62 | 9,709 | \$30,318,555 | 1,284 | \$857,625 | |
| South Plains College | 5,764 | | \$1,396,39 | 4,635 | \$16,204,095 | 435 | \$187,540 | |
| South Texas College | 16,040 | 482 | \$960,80 | 15,259 | \$53,663,871 | 1,209 | \$612,906 | |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | 3,408 | 216 | \$280,83 | 3,148 | \$11,230,099 | 180 | \$105,884 | |
| Tarrant County College District | 27,343 | | \$112,03 | 23,074 | \$72,192,045 | 3,077 | \$975,826 | |

| | | | Grant | | Grant | Grant | | | |
|---|-------------------|-----|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|-----------|--|--|
| | | Cat | egorical | - | ederal | Federal | | | |
| | | | Aid | - | ll Grant | | EOG | | |
| Institution | Aid Recipients | - | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | | |
| Temple College | 4,172 | 226 | \$526,317 | 3,448 | \$11,036,101 | 265 | \$202,837 | | |
| Texarkana College | 2,699 | 175 | \$312,643 | 2,465 | \$7,907,313 | 118 | \$92,434 | | |
| Texas Southmost College | 3,054 | 171 | \$503,445 | 2,796 | \$9,167,403 | 310 | \$114,990 | | |
| Trinity Valley Community College | 3,824 | | \$657,863 | 3,291 | \$10,654,120 | 242 | \$108,158 | | |
| Tyler Junior College | 6,646 | | \$1,499,511 | 5,182 | \$18,950,767 | 280 | \$229,54 | | |
| Vernon College | 2,173 | 314 | \$799,275 | 1,674 | \$5,427,679 | 112 | \$76,750 | | |
| Victoria College | 2,248 | 135 | \$219,413 | 1,712 | \$5,093,104 | 179 | \$107,000 | | |
| Weatherford College | 2,741 | 333 | \$598,799 | 2,102 | \$7,020,016 | 111 | \$87,92 | | |
| Western Texas College | 542 | 124 | \$270,003 | 375 | \$1,402,700 | 31 | \$19,624 | | |
| Wharton County Junior College | 2,584 | 179 | \$274,238 | 1,909 | \$6,531,134 | 141 | \$108,664 | | |
| | 0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| PRIVATE JUNIOR COLLEGES | 287 | 59 | \$126,397 | 204 | \$830,168 | 15 | \$10,111 | | |
| Jacksonville College | 287 | 59 | \$126,397 | 204 | \$830,168 | 15 | \$10,111 | | |
| PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 14,983 | 927 | \$8,252,369 | 1,551 | \$6,096,608 | 512 | \$325,845 | | |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 1,733 | 98 | \$697,420 | 55 | \$206,854 | 17 | \$37,900 | | |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 3,892 | 253 | \$1,058,448 | 521 | \$2,013,886 | 68 | \$45,373 | | |
| The University of Texas Dental School, Houston | 2,119 | 71 | \$379,958 | 273 | \$1,046,927 | 19 | \$26,863 | | |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | 185 | | \$0 | 118 | \$552,712 | 0 | \$(| | |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | 2,091 | 78 | \$413,207 | 254 | \$971,641 | 199 | \$101,209 | | |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | 2,535 | 367 | \$5,251,738 | 330 | \$1,304,588 | 209 | \$114,500 | | |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | 873 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | 1,555 | 60 | \$451,598 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| PRIVATE HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 1,660 | 65 | \$537,608 | 198 | \$680,808 | 75 | \$48,39 | | |
| Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School | 599 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| Parker University | 790 | 18 | \$376,958 | 198 | \$680,808 | 75 | \$48,39 | | |
| Texas Chiropractic College | 271 | 47 | \$160,650 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| PUBLIC STATE COLLEGES | 3,870 | 78 | \$102,493 | 2,984 | \$10,078,658 | 312 | \$238,650 | | |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 1,360 | | \$102,493 | 1,028 | \$3,371,102 | 90 | \$136,076 | | |
| Lamar State College-Orange | 1,337 | | \$0 | 1,078 | \$3,700,611 | 170 | \$55,94 | | |
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 1,173 | | \$0 | 878 | \$3,006,945 | 52 | \$46,629 | | |
| PUBLIC TECHNICAL INSTITUTES | 9,183 | 698 | \$2,528,906 | 7,639 | \$25,463,028 | 738 | \$337,379 | | |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 4,422 | | \$510,774 | 4,006 | \$14,367,883 | 510 | \$104,391 | | |
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 538 | | \$144,088 | 440 | \$1,297,117 | 35 | \$42,880 | | |
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 3,260 | | \$1,870,930 | 2,480 | \$7,848,787 | 126 | \$151,100 | | |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 963 | | \$3,114 | 713 | \$1,949,241 | 67 | \$39,002 | | |

| | | Grant | | Grant | | Grant | Grant Merit Aid | | |
|--|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|--------------------|------------------------|--|
| | Тех | as Public | | luition | HB3 | 015 Grants | | | |
| | | tional Grant | | zation Grant | | Scholarships | | nstitutions | |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| STATEWIDE TOTALS | 120,775 | \$146,766,037 | 27,314 | \$90,528,191 | 87,395 | \$196,804,001 | 47,859 | \$157,362,670 | |
| PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES | 59,479 | \$95,119,627 | 0 | \$0 | 84,529 | \$191,177,158 | 23,438 | \$63,614,014 | |
| Angelo State University | 1,103 | \$1,107,573 | 0 | \$0 | 1,038 | \$1,105,541 | 562 | \$638,418 | |
| Lamar University | 250 | \$639,392 | 0 | \$0 | 1,768 | \$4,734,655 | 140 | \$628,584 | |
| Midwestern State University | 560 | \$1,129,659 | 0 | \$0 | 578 | \$1,432,578 | 20 | \$20,046 | |
| Prairie View A&M University | 1,187 | \$2,102,318 | 0 | \$0 | 1,897 | \$4,056,459 | 751 | \$1,582,300 | |
| Sam Houston State University | 2,551 | \$4,983,749 | 0 | \$0 | 3,466 | \$7,455,957 | 929 | \$2,639,132 | |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 965 | \$1,737,540 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,573 | \$3,024,516 | |
| Sul Ross State University | 285 | \$379,518 | 0 | \$0 | 97 | \$106,500 | 0 | \$(| |
| Tarleton State University | 1,419 | \$1,773,342 | 0 | \$0 | 2,015 | \$1,071,097 | 0 | \$(| |
| Texas A&M International University | 929 | \$876,113 | 0 | \$0 | 1,142 | \$1,140,844 | 255 | \$883,969 | |
| Texas A&M University | 6,141 | \$9,434,638 | 0 | \$0 | 6,309 | \$21,014,539 | 84 | \$108,954 | |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 345 | \$560,743 | 0 | \$0 | 281 | \$605,915 | 5 | \$11,000 | |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | 447 | \$385,607 | 0 | \$0 | 449 | \$229,029 | 238 | \$309,565 | |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 929 | \$1,036,602 | 0 | \$0 | 50 | | 489 | \$753,690 | |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 1,286 | \$1,778,633 | 0 | \$0 | 1,986 | \$3,042,059 | 727 | \$1,562,279 | |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | 576 | \$933,323 | 0 | \$0 | 389 | \$1,003,563 | 421 | \$586,338 | |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 0 | | 0 | | 118 | \$133,083 | |
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 26 | \$49,266 | 0 | | 59 | | 671 | \$1,058,410 | |
| Texas Southern University | 2,106 | \$2,567,156 | 0 | 1.5 | 1,329 | 1 1 | 0 | \$(| |
| Texas State University | 3,529 | \$6,587,003 | 0 | | 8,766 | | 1,244 | \$5,039,195 | |
| Texas Tech University | 3,272 | \$5,678,484 | 0 | | 3,595 | . , , | 6,390 | \$23,192,300 | |
| Texas Woman's University | 2,060 | \$2,352,758 | 0 | 1.5 | 2,663 | | 611 | \$1,608,475 | |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 2,407 | \$5,291,185 | 0 | 1.5 | 5,105 | . , , | 1,252 | \$3,511,270 | |
| The University of Texas at Austin | 4,366 | \$10,361,368 | 0 | | 9,382 | . , , | 1,252 | \$3,511,27 | |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 2,041 | \$1,754,813 | 0 | 7.5 | 114 | \$96,260 | 582 | \$976,245 | |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | 1,954 | \$3,801,091 | 0 | 7.5 | 4,485 | | 52 | \$89,300 | |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 2,752 | \$3,297,923 | 0 | 1.5 | 1,673 | \$4,550,925 | 1,137 | \$3,228,530 | |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 4,297 | \$5,311,525 | 0 | 7.5 | 3,232 | | 651 | \$1,683,528 | |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 670 | \$1,120,553 | 0 | 1.5 | | \$1,468,893 | 551 | \$1,225,261 | |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 796 | \$1,039,924 | 0 | | 17 | | 450 | \$932,477 | |
| The University of Texas Pan-American | 3,248 | \$3,801,709 | 0 | | 4,563 | \$6,402,173 | 1,414 | \$1,779,807 | |
| University of Houston | 1,255 | \$4,427,536 | 0 | τ | 4,609 | \$16,378,995 | 845 | \$4,439,428 | |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | 762 | \$1,121,107 | 0 | 1.5 | 1,542 | \$2,524,283 | 233 | | |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | 762 | \$1,121,107 | 0 | | 1,542 | | 233 | \$334,789 \$853,888 | |
| University of Houston-Victoria | 912 | \$1,062,086 | 0 | τ | 784 | | 324 | \$855,886 | |
| University of North Texas | 2,863 | \$757,582 | 0 | | 8,660 | 1/ | 324 | \$311,303 | |
| | 415 | | 0 | 7.5 | 925 | | 237 | | |
| West Texas A&M University | 415 | \$640,211 | 0 | \$0 | 925 | \$1,170,894 | 237 | \$393,934 | |
| PRIVATE OR INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITIES | 0 | \$0 | 26,858 | \$89,326,698 | 0 | \$0 | 13,042 | \$79,646,756 | |
| Abilene Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 876 | \$3,286,834 | 0 | \$0 | 452 | \$1,189,527 | |
| Austin College | 0 | \$0 | 428 | \$1,451,768 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Baylor University | 0 | \$0 | 2,883 | \$10,364,374 | 0 | \$0 | 2,978 | \$19,372,568 | |
| Concordia University Texas | 0 | \$0 | 848 | \$2,847,490 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Dallas Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 1,087 | \$3,655,839 | 0 | | 1,070 | \$2,301,304 | |

| | | Grant | | Grant | | ant | Grant Merit Aid to Institutions | | |
|--|----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|---------------------------------------|-------------|--|
| | | as Public | | uition | | 5 Grants | | | |
| | | tional Grant | | ation Grant | | olarships | | stitutions | |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| East Texas Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 525 | \$1,587,714 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 0 | \$0 | 633 | \$1,921,581 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| |
| Houston Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 831 | \$2,169,984 | 0 | \$0 | 243 | \$1,334,988 | |
| Howard Payne University | 0 | \$0 | 404 | \$1,254,167 | 0 | \$0 | 85 | \$370,098 | |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 0 | \$0 | 406 | \$1,513,292 | 0 | \$0 | 66 | \$122,630 | |
| Jarvis Christian College | 0 | \$0 | 232 | \$696,115 | 0 | \$0 | 58 | \$195,23 | |
| Letourneau University | 0 | \$0 | 607 | \$1,939,571 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | |
| Lubbock Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 537 | \$1,846,112 | 0 | \$0 | 356 | \$580,74 | |
| McMurry University | 0 | \$0 | 407 | \$1,298,559 | 0 | \$0 | 52 | \$187,99 | |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 713 | \$2,778,329 | 0 | \$0 | 247 | \$408,45 | |
| Paul Quinn College | 0 | \$0 | 115 | \$289,193 | 0 | \$0 | 133 | \$334,37 | |
| Rice University | 0 | \$0 | 626 | \$2,193,324 | 0 | \$0 | 530 | \$10,472,60 | |
| Schreiner University | 0 | \$0 | 368 | \$1,399,224 | 0 | \$0 | 257 | \$891,57 | |
| South Texas College of Law | 0 | \$0 | 722 | \$987,653 | 0 | \$0 | 70 | \$87,97 | |
| Southern Methodist University | 0 | \$0 | 1,414 | \$4,953,812 | 0 | \$0 | 1,029 | \$10,929,15 | |
| Southwestern Adventist University | 0 | \$0 | 264 | \$659,895 | 0 | \$0 | , 179 | \$790,05 | |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | 0 | \$0 | 472 | \$1,437,378 | 0 | \$0 | 306 | \$621,33 | |
| Southwestern Christian College | 0 | \$0 | 24 | \$73,383 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | |
| Southwestern University | 0 | \$0 | 421 | \$1,477,727 | 0 | \$0 | 92 | \$524,47 | |
| St. Edward's University | 0 | \$0 | 1,195 | \$4,537,769 | 0 | \$0 | 47 | \$122,79 | |
| St. Mary's University | 0 | \$0 | | \$4,478,455 | 0 | \$0 | 609 | \$2,916,23 | |
| Texas Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 1,137 | \$4,242,409 | 0 | \$0 | 1,352 | \$16,084,81 | |
| Texas College | 0 | \$0 | | \$1,033,914 | 0 | \$0 | , 0 | \$ | |
| Texas Lutheran University | 0 | \$0 | 474 | \$1,452,898 | 0 | \$0 | 983 | \$3,719,37 | |
| Texas Wesleyan University | 0 | \$0 | 798 | \$2,507,397 | 0 | \$0 | 130 | \$732,43 | |
| Trinity University | 0 | \$0 | | \$1,538,958 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | |
| University of Dallas | 0 | \$0 | 366 | \$1,301,771 | 0 | \$0 | 84 | \$388,76 | |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 0 | \$0 | | \$3,951,960 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | |
| University of St. Thomas | 0 | \$0 | 1,014 | \$2,869,346 | 0 | \$0 | 400 | \$2,279,02 | |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 0 | \$0 | , | \$6,712,910 | 0 | \$0 | 389 | \$558,79 | |
| Wayland Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | | \$1,459,531 | 0 | \$0 | 746 | \$1,795,262 | |
| Wiley College | 0 | \$0 | 387 | \$1,156,062 | 0 | \$0 | 99 | \$334,158 | |
| PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGES | 53,098 | \$39,235,402 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 9,558 | \$8,873,304 | |
| Alamo Community College - Northeast Lakeview College | * | \$875 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$3,800 | |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | 1,361 | \$1,500,092 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$544,827 | |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | 744 | \$847,649 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$417,755 | |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College | 1,911 | \$2,106,361 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,172 | \$760,076 | |
| Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | 845 | \$897,671 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 470 | \$255,564 | |

| | G | rant | Gi | rant | Gr | ant | Grant Merit Aid | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|------------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| | | 5 Public | | ition | | 5 Grants | | | |
| | | onal Grant | | tion Grant | | olarships | | titutions | |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| Alvin Community College | 215 | \$167,331 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Amarillo College | 1,097 | \$685,875 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Angelina College | 480 | \$378,546 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Austin Community College | 4,115 | \$2,630,708 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Blinn College | 1,758 | \$1,016,428 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 219 | \$386,358 | |
| Brazosport College | 376 | \$313,507 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 365 | \$313,096 | |
| Central Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 ¢0 | 0 | \$0 | 81 | \$61,818 | |
| Cisco College Clarendon College | 296 124 | \$228,139 \$82,784 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 199 | \$0 \$416,309 | |
| Coastal Bend College | 363 | \$265,100 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 199 | | |
| 5 | | . , | - | | - | | - | \$0 | |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 253 | \$218,182 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 92 | \$93,849 | |
| Collin County Community College District | 983 | \$876,638 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Dallas County Community College District | 6,924 | \$4,965,809 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 432 | \$239,972 | |
| Del Mar College | 678 | \$572,991 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| El Paso Community College District | 1,060 | \$1,254,244 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 302 | \$387,317 | |
| Frank Phillips College | * | \$6,533 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 14 | \$6,517 | |
| Galveston College | 180 | \$73,068 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Grayson County College | 1,137 | \$385,658 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Hill College | 418 | \$261,051 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 27 | \$15,134 | |
| Houston Community College | 1,481 | \$1,997,282 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Howard College | 236 | \$121,723 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Kilgore College | 204 | \$141,113 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Laredo Community College | 665 | \$628,357 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 70 | \$61,021 | |
| Lee College | 626 | \$359,120 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Lone Star College System | 8,317 | \$3,788,819 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,886 | \$2,068,629 | |
| McLennan Community College | 703 | \$1,275,343 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Midland College | 106 | \$102,466 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Navarro College | 458 | \$431,765 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 52 | \$82,095 | |
| North Central College | 457 | \$575,524 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 300 | \$139,574 | |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 433 | \$175,244 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Odessa College | 545 | \$522,247 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 198 | \$255,885 | |
| Panola College | 44 | \$34,565 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Paris Junior College | 491 | \$597,322 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Ranger College | 151 | \$149,653 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 2,535 | \$1,769,520 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| South Plains College | 318 | \$268,857 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| South Texas College | 1,057 | \$602,540 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 368 | \$272,108 | |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | 444 | \$239,564 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Tarrant County College District | 5,145 | \$3,003,595 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 989 | \$1,125,758 | |

| | (| Grant | | irant | (| Grant | Grant Merit Aid | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|--------------------|-------------|--|
| | | s Public | | lition | | 15 Grants | | | |
| | | onal Grant | | ation Grant | | holarships | | stitutions | |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| Temple College | 483 | \$489,427 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$500 | |
| Texarkana College | 115 | \$142,234 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 42 | \$48,930 | |
| Texas Southmost College | 607 | \$236,522 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 13 | \$20,175 | |
| Trinity Valley Community College | 167 | \$108,663 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 87 | \$59,248 | |
| Tyler Junior College | 353 | \$282,340 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$2,630 | |
| Vernon College | 507 | \$343,293 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 132 | \$105,119 | |
| Victoria College | 177 | \$133,695 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 461 | \$428,424 | |
| Weatherford College | 464 | \$563,625 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 156 | \$284,384 | |
| Western Texas College | 94 | \$116,906 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C | |
| Wharton County Junior College | 389 | \$298,838 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 20 | \$16,432 | |
| | 2 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | |
| PRIVATE JUNIOR COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 135 | \$394,267 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Jacksonville College | 0 | \$0 | 135 | \$394,267 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 4,248 | \$7,912,086 | 0 | \$0 | 2,866 | \$5,626,843 | 1,402 | \$3,597,236 | |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 640 | \$934,016 | 0 | \$0 | 268 | \$386,997 | * | \$225 | |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 1,488 | \$1,497,870 | 0 | \$0 | 1,077 | \$1,931,809 | 969 | \$2,185,153 | |
| The University of Texas Dental School, Houston | 352 | \$1,668,647 | 0 | \$0 | 88 | \$400,000 | 0 | \$0 | |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | * | \$78,500 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | * | \$23,164 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | 934 | \$1,596,322 | 0 | \$0 | 1,101 | \$2,284,542 | 374 | \$1,099,240 | |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | 240 | \$1,088,565 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | 563 | \$1,025,002 | 0 | \$0 | 332 | \$623,495 | * | \$312,618 | |
| PRIVATE HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 0 | \$0 | 321 | \$807,226 | 0 | \$0 | 163 | \$1,400,834 | |
| Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 163 | \$1,400,834 | |
| Parker University | 0 | \$0 | 181 | \$585,251 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C | |
| Texas Chiropractic College | 0 | \$0 | 140 | \$221,975 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| PUBLIC STATE COLLEGES | 766 | \$1,020,973 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 121 | \$118,048 | |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 340 | \$548,890 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 121 | \$118,048 | |
| Lamar State College-Orange | 235 | \$290,953 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 191 | \$181,130 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C | |
| PUBLIC TECHNICAL INSTITUTES | 3,184 | \$3,477,949 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 135 | \$112,478 | |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 1,249 | \$1,465,656 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$70,742 | |
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 272 | \$181,751 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | |
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 1,489 | \$1,623,391 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 174 | \$207,151 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$41,736 | |

| | | Grant Ierit Aid | | Grant nt Deposit | 04 | Grant her Grants | Grant TEXAS | | |
|--|----------------|--------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------|---------------------|----------------|---------------|--|
| | | Institutions | | nt Deposit olarship | | Scholarships | Grant | | |
| Institution | # of Awards | | # of | | # of | | # of Awards | | |
| STATEWIDE TOTALS | | \$727,384,591 | | \$2,094,585 | | \$372,030,333 | | \$339,475,026 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES | | \$190,095,681 | 1,291 | | | \$107,092,995 | | \$329,250,000 | |
| Angelo State University | 2,294 | 1 / - / - | * | \$2,770 | 328 | 1 | 831 | \$3,629,889 | |
| Lamar University | 1,349 | \$4,045,313 | 0 | τ | | T - | | \$4,890,000 | |
| Midwestern State University | 1,478 | \$3,414,281 | 18 | 1 7 | 77 | | | 1-7 -7 | |
| Prairie View A&M University | 1,612 | \$8,712,526 | 0 | | 230 | | 1,924 | | |
| Sam Houston State University | 2,384 | | 0 | \$0 | 9 | \$29,812 | 2,275 | \$10,792,500 | |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 1,606 | \$6,477,430 | * | \$8,100 | 2,206 | \$4,460,776 | 1,642 | \$7,757,62 | |
| Sul Ross State University | 10 | \$9,500 | 31 | \$28,500 | 377 | \$567,977 | 255 | \$1,126,958 | |
| Tarleton State University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 77 | \$74,224 | 943 | \$5,186,956 | |
| Texas A&M International University | 1,178 | \$2,783,212 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$47,815 | 1,931 | \$7,908,333 | |
| Texas A&M University | 8,739 | \$31,050,645 | 140 | \$171,985 | 9,875 | \$25,444,355 | 5,902 | \$26,644,824 | |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 150 | \$344,662 | 0 | \$0 | 298 | \$1,070,633 | 136 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 40 | \$35,955 | 32 | \$151,993 | |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 2,745 | \$9,255,901 | 79 | \$61,947 | 58 | \$301,521 | 922 | \$4,767,95 | |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 849 | \$2,665,704 | 350 | | 163 | | 1,019 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | 553 | \$1,275,679 | 0 | | | | | | |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 384 | | 0 | 1.5 | | 1 7 | | | |
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 79 | \$111,773 | 0 | | 17 | \$13,711 | | | |
| Texas Southern University | 945 | \$5,401,911 | 0 | | | | 1,402 | . , | |
| Texas State University | 2,004 | | 101 | \$153,450 | 86 | 1 7 | 4,493 | | |
| Texas Tech University | 1,934 | \$9,227,911 | 0 | | 977 | \$3,078,436 | | | |
| Texas Woman's University | 2,589 | \$9,643,108 | 0 | 7.5 | | | | | |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 3,983 | \$13,627,235 | 39 | 1.5 | 159 | | | . , , | |
| The University of Texas at Autin | 33 | \$159,500 | 117 | \$560,885 | 5,423 | | 5,696 | | |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 501 | \$1,401,028 | 0 | | | | | | |
| 1 | 3,607 | | 0 | | | | , | | |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | , | \$25,449,446 | | 1.5 | | \$2,792,238 | | \$7,526,667 | |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 1,078 | | 189 | . , | 3,787 | | , | | |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 1,357 | \$6,259,169 | | \$500 | 1,028 | | 4,442 | | |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 506 | \$1,169,141 | 0 | 1.5 | | \$10,000 | | \$1,925,000 | |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 872 | \$2,231,851 | 0 | | 711 | \$1,027,126 | 261 | | |
| The University of Texas Pan-American | 1,088 | \$2,938,921 | 191 | \$193,994 | 942 | 1-7 -7 - | | | |
| University of Houston | 4,311 | \$9,395,130 | 0 | 1.5 | | | | | |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | 1,915 | \$2,802,838 | 24 | | 123 | | 239 | | |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 446 | \$1,081,185 | 0 | 1.5 | | 1.1 | | | |
| University of Houston-Victoria | 641 | \$795,419 | 0 | 1.1 | | | 278 | | |
| University of North Texas | 0 | 7- | 0 | τ | | | | | |
| West Texas A&M University | 1,224 | \$2,729,480 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$12,000 | 799 | \$3,750,884 | |
| PRIVATE OR INDEPENDENT UNIVERSITIES | | \$511,648,165 | 0 | 1.5 | | \$242,879,314 | 0 | т. | |
| Abilene Christian University | 2,744 | | 0 | | | \$7,469,051 | 0 | | |
| Austin College | 1,003 | \$20,705,708 | 0 | \$0 | | 1.1 | 0 | | |
| Baylor University | 8,863 | \$118,562,776 | 0 | \$0 | 5,996 | \$44,576,672 | 0 | \$(| |
| Concordia University Texas | 689 | \$7,188,952 | 0 | \$0 | | | 0 | | |
| Dallas Baptist University | 2,411 | \$16,020,319 | 0 | | | \$22,647 | 0 | | |

| | | Grant | | ant | | Grant | Grant | | |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|--------------------|--|
| | | erit Aid Institutions | | t Deposit arship | | er Grants cholarships | TEXAS Grant | | |
| | # of | | # of | | # of | | # of | | |
| Institution | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | |
| East Texas Baptist University | 1,011 | \$7,333,215 | 0 | \$0 | 683 | \$2,012,545 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 1,278 | \$10,827,109 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Houston Baptist University | 1,619 | \$19,476,813 | 0 | \$0 | 680 | \$2,007,668 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Howard Payne University | 742 | \$6,887,826 | 0 | \$0 | 496 | \$2,578,708 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 43 | \$565,431 | 0 | \$0 | 150 | \$699,367 | 0 | \$C | |
| Jarvis Christian College | 297 | \$1,633,027 | 0 | \$0 | 16 | \$41,834 | 0 | \$C | |
| Letourneau University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,031 | \$12,836,101 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Lubbock Christian University | 922 | \$5,758,704 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| McMurry University | 751 | \$6,629,146 | 0 | \$0 | 472 | \$1,875,344 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 1,257 | \$10,318,254 | 0 | \$0 | 628 | \$1,788,465 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Paul Quinn College | 310 | \$1,647,701 | 0 | \$0 | 38 | \$176,310 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Rice University | 174 | \$4,848,497 | 0 | \$0 | 1,499 | \$44,505,297 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Schreiner University | 745 | \$5,562,241 | 0 | \$0 | , 734 | \$3,200,526 | 0 | \$C | |
| South Texas College of Law | 205 | \$1,068,144 | 0 | \$0 | 232 | \$719,905 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Southern Methodist University | 2,445 | \$42,883,601 | 0 | \$0 | 1,539 | \$27,190,826 | 0 | \$C | |
| Southwestern Adventist University | , 510 | \$1,922,631 | 0 | \$0 | 393 | \$685,944 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | 1,045 | \$4,881,634 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Southwestern Christian College | , 62 | \$326,805 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Southwestern University | 1,070 | \$17,867,078 | 0 | \$0 | 687 | \$4,154,251 | 0 | \$0 | |
| St. Edward's University | , 1,729 | \$18,161,566 | 0 | \$0 | 2,254 | \$26,720,912 | 0 | \$C | |
| St. Mary's University | 1,204 | \$11,450,034 | 0 | \$0 | 1,231 | \$6,938,903 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Texas Christian University | 2,442 | \$40,961,382 | 0 | \$0 | 2,396 | \$25,005,915 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Texas College | , 44 | \$110,201 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Texas Lutheran University | 985 | \$11,536,222 | 0 | \$0 | 9 | \$21,071 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Texas Wesleyan University | 1,107 | \$7,412,677 | 0 | \$0 | 33 | \$61,055 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Trinity University | 976 | \$13,624,602 | 0 | \$0 | 844 | \$10,360,096 | 0 | \$C | |
| University of Dallas | 1,049 | \$9,874,959 | 0 | \$0 | 560 | \$3,190,033 | 0 | \$0 | |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 1,517 | \$8,871,795 | 0 | \$0 | 1,983 | \$11,462,118 | 0 | \$0 | |
| University of St. Thomas | 839 | \$7,133,052 | 0 | \$0 | 741 | \$2,505,220 | 0 | \$0 | |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 3,488 | \$32,094,896 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | |
| Wayland Baptist University | 716 | \$4,225,370 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Wiley College | 424 | \$2,990,119 | 0 | \$0 | 10 | \$72,530 | 0 | \$0 | |
| PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGES | 8,735 | \$15,162,715 | 86 | \$71,617 | 10 214 | \$14,028,071 | 7,491 | \$9,199,099 | |
| Alamo Community College - Northeast Lakeview College | 8,735 9 | \$15,162,715 | 06 | \$71,617 \$0 | 10,314 | \$ 14,028,071 \$0 | 0 | \$0,199,099 \$0 | |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | 391 | \$311,016 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 166 | \$281,150 | |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | 365 | \$399,073 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$350 | | \$196,742 | |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College | 817 | \$923,044 | * | \$4,500 | 0 | \$0 | 217 | \$253,721 | |
| Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | 553 | \$549,841 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 119 | \$157,444 | |

| | | rant | | ant Dama a it | | Grant . | Grant TEXAS Grant | | |
|---|----------------|------------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | rit Aid | | t Deposit | | r Grants | | | |
| | # of | stitutions | # of | arship | # of | holarships | # of | ant | |
| Institution | # or Awards | Amount | # or Awards | Amount | # or Awards | Amount | # or Awards | Amount | |
| Alvin Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 28 | \$31,161 | |
| Amarillo College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 31 | \$87,714 | 197 | \$214,969 | |
| Angelina College | 40 | \$46,750 | 0 | \$0 | 229 | \$358,518 | 79 | \$106,650 | |
| Austin Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$741,475 | 337 | \$373,575 | |
| Blinn College | 519 | \$1,678,142 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 175 | \$216,486 | |
| Brazosport College | 188 | \$272,733 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 28 | \$34,425 | |
| Central Texas College | 5 | \$4,294 | 0 | \$0 | | \$1,097,934 | 48 | \$48,374 | |
| Cisco College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$64,613 | 34 | \$38,420 | |
| Clarendon College | 58 | \$50,684 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 15 | \$18,186 | |
| Coastal Bend College | 49 | \$46,800 | 0 | \$0 | | \$258,275 | 76 | \$90,768 | |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$21,703 | 19 | \$17,980 | |
| Collin County Community College District | 364 | \$452,928 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 68 | \$77,562 | |
| Dallas County Community College District | 110 | \$56,646 | 0 | \$0 | 234 | \$88,080 | 852 | \$829,783 | |
| Del Mar College | 26 | \$8,965 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 175 | \$182,344 | |
| El Paso Community College District | 244 | \$399,142 | * | \$31,425 | 115 | \$307,079 | 828 | \$917,576 | |
| Frank Phillips College | 112 | \$259,614 | 0 | \$0 | 76 | \$99,315 | 24 | \$29,835 | |
| Galveston College | 112 | \$227,841 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 13 | \$31,050 | |
| Grayson County College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$589,417 | 18 | \$20,540 | |
| Hill College | 213 | \$226,350 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$401,535 | 64 | \$77,517 | |
| Houston Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$1,453,023 | 162 | \$364,456 | |
| Howard College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$756,309 | 13 | \$20,149 | |
| Kilgore College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$991,120 | 132 | \$141,587 | |
| Laredo Community College | 176 | \$130,119 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 | 355 | \$409,542 | |
| | 0 | | 0 | | | \$0 \$0 | * | | |
| Lee College | | \$0 | - | \$0 | - | | | \$12,150 | |
| Lone Star College System | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$58,371 | 90 | \$143,706 | |
| McLennan Community College | 186 | \$407,612 | 0 | \$0 | | \$22,200 | 169 | \$204,300 | |
| Midland College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$1,878,388 | 7 | \$11,198 | |
| Navarro College | 489 | \$1,063,815 | 0 | \$0 | 27 | \$17,331 | 158 | \$178,897 | |
| North Central College | 155 | \$254,045 | 0 | \$0 | 21 | \$27,556 | 103 | \$111,905 | |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 0 | \$0 | 24 | \$14,692 | 317 | \$522,632 | 15 | \$29,675 | |
| Odessa College | 194 | \$643,022 | 40 | \$21,000 | * | \$6,300 | 17 | \$31,050 | |
| Panola College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 299 | \$863,621 | * | \$2,812 | |
| Paris Junior College | 483 | \$662,051 | 0 | \$0 | 20 | \$19,212 | 33 | \$71,550 | |
| Ranger College | 209 | \$531,734 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 13 | \$16,563 | |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$1,370,501 | 345 | \$433,958 | |
| South Plains College | 219 | \$357,648 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$1,570,501 \$0 | 116 | \$138,128 | |
| South Texas College | 0 | ۵+ 0, ۲۰۵۶ \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$609,380 | 647 | \$735,463 | |
| South Texas College Southwest Texas Junior College | 55 | | 0 | | , | | 124 | \$140,462 | |
| 5 | | \$54,400 | | \$0 | | \$46,000 | | | |
| Tarrant County College District | 1,001 | \$1,029,418 | 0 | \$0 | 15 | \$15,855 | 634 | \$964,345 | |

| | | Grant | | ant | | Grant | Grant TEXAS | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|--|
| | | rit Aid | | Deposit | | er Grants | | | |
| | | nstitutions | | arship | | cholarships | - | rant | |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | |
| Temple College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 60 | \$54,195 | 34 | \$54,664 | |
| Texarkana College | 15 | \$25,500 | 0 | \$0 | 44 | \$28,295 | 6 | \$8,626 | |
| Texas Southmost College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$1,550 | 199 | \$245,069 | |
| Trinity Valley Community College | 381 | \$1,127,521 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$314 | 76 | \$88,650 | |
| Tyler Junior College | 744 | \$2,184,928 | 0 | \$0 | | \$360,311 | 149 | \$181,536 | |
| Vernon College | 70 | \$255,604 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 56 | \$75,600 | |
| Victoria College | 37 | \$27,693 | 0 | \$0 | 116 | \$67,994 | 34 | \$38,426 | |
| Weatherford College | 146 | \$484,373 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 30 | \$35,772 | |
| Western Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 197 | \$547,966 | * | \$5,949 | |
| Wharton County Junior College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 86 | \$193,639 | 52 | \$56,653 | |
| , , | 0 | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | 4 | \$0 | 3 | \$(| |
| PRIVATE JUNIOR COLLEGES | 111 | \$533,756 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Jacksonville College | 111 | \$533,756 | 0 | ; \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| |
| PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 1,694 | \$6,466,653 | 0 | \$0 | 1,436 | \$6,091,141 | 46 | \$195,645 | |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 201 | \$625,488 | 0 | \$0 | 348 | \$1,050,195 | 0 | \$(| |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 287 | \$1,329,410 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 10 | \$47,50 | |
| The University of Texas Dental School, Houston | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 370 | \$1,154,709 | * | \$19,645 | |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 57 | \$57,000 | * | \$20,000 | |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | 713 | \$2,496,307 | 0 | \$0 | 259 | \$2,572,523 | 11 | \$42,500 | |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | 306 | \$900,757 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 13 | \$66,000 | |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | * | \$1,113,691 | 0 | \$0 | 154 | \$378,809 | 0 | \$ | |
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | * | \$1,000 | 0 | \$0 | 248 | \$877,905 | 0 | \$1 | |
| PRIVATE HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 377 | \$2,458,455 | 0 | \$0 | 166 | \$1,073,400 | 0 | \$0 | |
| Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School | 135 | \$1,780,479 | 0 | \$0 | 119 | \$912,750 | 0 | \$(| |
| Parker University | 242 | \$677,976 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| |
| Texas Chiropractic College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 47 | \$160,650 | 0 | \$(| |
| PUBLIC STATE COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 276 | \$348,723 | 87 | \$340,999 | |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 5 | \$20,000 | |
| Lamar State College-Orange | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 67 | \$58,930 | 37 | \$119,749 | |
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 209 | \$289,793 | 45 | \$201,250 | |
| PUBLIC TECHNICAL INSTITUTES | 525 | \$1,019,166 | 0 | \$0 | | \$516,689 | 148 | \$489,283 | |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 94 | \$235,000 | 0 | \$0 | | \$246,657 | 56 | \$203,033 | |
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 25 | \$52,861 | 0 | \$0 | | \$41,593 | 5 | \$12,500 | |
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 252 | \$529,958 | 0 | \$0 | 125 | \$146,691 | 82 | \$265,000 | |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 154 | \$201,347 | 0 | \$0 | 66 | \$81,748 | 5 | \$8,750 | |

| | Техэс | Grant Educational | Ton | Grant Ten Percent | | ork-Study Federal | | rk-Study s College | | rk-Study itutional |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------|
| | | tunity Grant | - | holarship | | ork-Study | | rk-Study | | k-Study |
| Institution | # of Awards | | # of | | # of | | # of | | # of | Amount |
| STATEWIDE TOTALS | 26,625 | \$51,227,236 | 16,215 | \$11,919,843 | 27,387 | \$53,513,606 | 5,062 | \$8,628,560 | 1,192 | \$2,531,582 |
| PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES | 0 | \$0 | 15,714 | \$11,597,243 | 9,672 | \$22,615,084 | 2,172 | \$4,153,182 | 923 | \$2,119,341 |
| Angelo State University | 0 | \$0 | 86 | \$66,000 | 74 | \$163,139 | 38 | \$79,265 | 0 | \$(|
| Lamar University | 0 | \$0 | 33 | \$20,600 | 142 | \$274,419 | 52 | \$107,808 | 0 | \$(|
| Midwestern State University | 0 | \$0 | 65 | \$47,400 | 78 | \$137,580 | 28 | \$41,500 | 0 | \$ |
| Prairie View A&M University | 0 | \$0 | 48 | \$34,000 | 539 | \$1,340,251 | 32 | \$75,489 | 0 | \$1 |
| Sam Houston State University | 0 | \$0 | 261 | \$184,765 | 346 | \$656,543 | 124 | \$145,005 | 0 | \$(|
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 0 | \$0 | 294 | | | \$685,894 | 33 | \$82,180 | 0 | \$(|
| Sul Ross State University | 0 | \$0 | 18 | \$12,800 | 121 | \$217,339 | 17 | \$28,108 | 94 | \$148,770 |
| Tarleton State University | 0 | \$0 | 112 | | | | 7 | \$18,163 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas A&M International University | 0 | \$0 | 258 | | | \$271,975 | 27 | \$62,079 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas A&M University | 0 | | 3,836 | \$2,697,862 | 896 | \$1,622,152 | 140 | \$267,322 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 0 | \$0 | 54 | \$39,200 | 29 | \$37,385 | 11 | \$9,857 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$6,750 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 0 | \$0 | 92 | \$66,674 | 132 | \$343,001 | 33 | \$91,074 | 0 | \$ |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 0 | \$0 | 125 | | | \$342,245 | 48 | \$82,861 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | 0 | \$0 | 102 | \$80,000 | 157 | \$379,551 | 55 | \$79,606 | 0 | \$ |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 0 | \$0 | 10 | \$6,800 | 14 | \$18,115 | * | \$10,125 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas Southern University | 0 | \$0 | 11 | \$6,600 | 308 | \$917,814 | 43 | \$93,743 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas State University | 0 | | 449 | | | \$1,459,509 | 170 | | 0 | \$(|
| Texas Tech University | 0 | | 489 | | | | 27 | | 63 | \$449,868 |
| Texas Woman's University | 0 | 1.1 | 96 | | | | 70 | | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 0 | | 369 | | | | 96 | | 0 | \$ |
| The University of Texas at Austin | 0 | | 3,918 | | | | 326 | | 31 | \$57,38 |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 0 | | 133 | | | | 30 | | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas at Dallas | 0 | τ | 577 | | | 1 / | 30 | | 0 | \$ |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 0 | | 572 | | | 1 | 83 | | 0 | \$ |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 0 | 7. | 629 | | | 1 1 - 1 | 80 | 1 / | 656 | \$1,325,883 |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 0 | | 49 | | | 1 1 | 13 | 1 , | 0 | \$ |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 0 | - T | 16 | 1 | | | 13 | | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas Pan-American | 0 | 7. | 987 | 1 | | 1 | 135 | 1 | 0 | \$ |
| University of Houston | 0 | 7. | 1,016 | | | 1 / / | 94 | | 41 | \$67,10 |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | 0 | τ | 1,010 | | | +-// | 63 | 40.1000 | 0 | \$07,10 |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 0 | | 26 | | | . , | 40 | | 0 | \$ |
| University of Houston-Victoria | 0 | | 17 | | | | 12 | | 0 | \$ |
| University of North Texas | 0 | | 844 | 1 | | | 131 | | 38 | \$70,329 |
| West Texas A&M University | 0 | 1.1 | 108 | | | \$285,225 | 61 | | 0 | \$(|
| PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 9,985 | \$15,373,982 | 820 | \$1,014,807 | 151 | \$231,663 |
| Abilene Christian University | 0 | | 0 | т- | | | 12 | | 0 | \$(|
| Austin College | 0 | | 0 | 7. | | | 12 | | 0 | \$(|
| Baylor University | 0 | 7. | 0 | ÷۴ | | 1 | 38 | 1 - 7 - | 0 | \$(|
| Concordia University Texas | 0 | | 0 | | | | 15 | | 0 | \$(|
| , | | 7- | - | T - | | . , | | 1 7 | - | |
| Dallas Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 158 | \$383,868 | 25 | \$32,815 | 0 | \$ |

| | Tavaa | Grant Educational | | irant en Percent | | ork-Study ederal | | rk-Study s College | Work-Study Institutional | | |
|---|----------------|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|--|
| | | Educational tunity Grant | | olarship | | ederai rk-Study | | s College rk-Study | Work-Study | | |
| Institution | # of Awards | | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | | # of Awards | Amoun | |
| East Texas Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 121 | \$129,976 | 14 | \$13,576 | 0 | \$ | |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 182 | \$182,667 | 80 | \$17,413 | 0 | \$ | |
| Houston Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 217 | \$203,938 | 79 | \$22,355 | 0 | \$ | |
| Howard Payne University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 98 | \$133,581 | 7 | \$7,767 | 0 | \$ | |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 63 | \$125,503 | * | \$7,853 | 63 | \$131,90 | |
| Jarvis Christian College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 255 | \$292,626 | 10 | \$4,994 | 0 | \$ | |
| Letourneau University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 96 | \$108,032 | 14 | \$22,970 | 0 | \$ | |
| Lubbock Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 240 | \$263,722 | 70 | \$32,973 | 0 | \$ | |
| McMurry University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 167 | \$240,382 | 12 | \$14,397 | 0 | \$ | |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 289 | \$449,365 | 15 | | 0 | \$ | |
| Paul Quinn College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 197 | \$166,050 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | |
| Rice University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 437 | \$560,259 | 11 | \$11,916 | 0 | \$ | |
| Schreiner University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 114 | \$73,252 | 13 | \$12,611 | 0 | \$ | |
| South Texas College of Law | 0 | | 0 | \$0 | 70 | \$109,028 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | |
| Southern Methodist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 642 | \$1,202,704 | 43 | \$54,547 | 0 | \$ | |
| Southwestern Adventist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 105 | \$135,529 | 9 | \$8,569 | 0 | \$ | |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 163 | \$203,266 | 40 | \$25,271 | 0 | \$ | |
| Southwestern Christian College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 108 | \$90,595 | * | \$934 | 0 | \$ | |
| Southwestern University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 159 | \$145,191 | 6 | \$14,842 | 0 | \$ | |
| St. Edward's University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 264 | \$401,538 | 31 | \$37,673 | 0 | \$ | |
| St. Mary's University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 612 | \$1,380,324 | 17 | \$37,967 | 0 | \$ | |
| Texas Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 565 | \$768,119 | 16 | \$27,249 | 0 | \$ | |
| Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 116 | \$173,493 | 18 | \$14,415 | 0 | \$ | |
| Texas Lutheran University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 154 | \$136,547 | 11 | \$8,778 | 0 | \$ | |
| Texas Wesleyan University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 114 | \$279,483 | 9 | \$17,142 | 0 | \$ | |
| Trinity University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 303 | \$424,857 | 7 | \$8,152 | 0 | \$ | |
| University of Dallas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 214 | \$221,067 | 32 | \$41,745 | 54 | \$63,53 | |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 305 | \$659,928 | 90 | \$196,824 | 0 | \$ | |
| University of St. Thomas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 85 | \$189,911 | 8 | \$19,559 | 0 | \$ | |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 373 | \$609,714 | 41 | \$80,089 | 0 | \$ | |
| Wayland Baptist University | 0 | ΨŬ | 0 | \$0 | 145 | \$192,358 | 0 | | 0 | \$ | |
| Wiley College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 189 | \$207,947 | 8 | \$10,133 | 34 | \$36,22 | |
| PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGES | 25,727 | \$46,992,570 | 467 | \$297,800 | 6,724 | \$13,784,582 | 1,837 | \$3,243,757 | 102 | \$160,547 | |
| Alamo Community College - Northeast Lakeview College | 0 | | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | 556 | \$1,250,016 | 13 | \$7,800 | 144 | \$359,987 | 27 | \$34,460 | 0 | \$ | |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | 326 | \$745,683 | 9 | \$5,800 | 118 | \$325,086 | 21 | 1 | 0 | \$ | |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | 681 360 | \$1,486,125 \$780,688 | 9 | \$5,400 \$4,200 | 291 116 | \$714,418 \$314,163 | 50 21 | 1 / . | 0 | \$ | |

| | | Grant Gucational | | rant In Percent | | k-Study deral | | -Study College | | -Study |
|---|--------------|--------------------------|----------|---------------------|--------|------------------------|----------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| | | unity Grant | - | larship | | k-Study | | -Study | Institutional Work-Study | |
| | # of | | # of | • | # of | | # of | | # of | |
| Institution | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount |
| Alvin Community College | 123 | \$239,771 | 0 | \$0 | | \$62,502 | * | \$10,259 | 0 | \$(|
| Amarillo College | 488 | \$963,110 | 34 | \$20,800 | | \$218,166 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Angelina College | 221 | \$451,368 | * | \$1,200 | | \$92,038 | 15 | \$30,266 | 0 | \$ |
| Austin Community College | 911 | \$1,631,564 | 8 | \$5,200 | | \$747,509 | 55 | \$158,155 | 0 | \$1 |
| Blinn College | 330 | \$747,934 | * | \$2,000 | | \$204,533 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ |
| Brazosport College | 139 | \$280,800 | 0 | \$0 | | \$105,701 | 8 | \$12,832 | 0 | \$ |
| Central Texas College | 156 | \$317,111 | 7 | \$4,200 | | \$56,500 | 19 | \$36,248 | 0 | \$ |
| Cisco College Clarendon College | 116 46 | \$269,672 \$122,792 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$72,019 \$40,258 | 33 8 | \$23,081 \$6,777 | 0 | \$ \$ |
| | | | 0 | | | | | | 0 | |
| Coastal Bend College | 113 | \$244,861 | - | \$0 | | \$87,922 | 12 | \$17,918 | - | \$ |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 90 | \$176,445 | 0 | \$0 | | \$40,885 | 16 | \$19,588 | 51 | \$73,283 |
| Collin County Community College District | 499 | \$1,083,438 | 0 | \$0 | | \$300,496 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ |
| Dallas County Community College District | 2,511 | \$4,088,017 | 18 | \$11,200 | | \$1,215,851 | 122 | \$249,195 | 38 | \$84,04 |
| Del Mar College | 802 | \$1,254,601 | 12 | \$7,200 | 139 | \$185,726 | 39 | \$52,349 | 0 | \$ |
| El Paso Community College District | 1,903 | \$3,308,438 | 89 | \$60,600 | 367 | \$636,520 | 72 | \$170,374 | 0 | \$ |
| Frank Phillips College | 118 | \$191,369 | 0 | \$0 | 10 | \$16,889 | * | \$8,509 | 0 | \$ |
| Galveston College | 65 | \$143,146 | 0 | \$0 | 31 | \$59,554 | 12 | \$14,595 | 0 | \$ |
| Grayson County College | 229 | \$338,223 | * | \$1,200 | | \$146,019 | 21 | \$29,460 | 0 | \$ |
| Hill College | 141 | \$336,834 | 0 | \$0 | | \$18,998 | 26 | \$33,646 | 0 | \$ |
| Houston Community College | 4,374 | \$6,181,815 | 0 | \$0 | | \$2,173,271 | 252 | \$504,200 | 0 | \$ |
| Howard College | 58 | \$137,704 | 0 | \$0 | | \$50,560 | 22 | \$19,171 | 0 | \$ |
| Kilgore College | 256 | \$512,359 | 0 | \$0 | | \$127,943 | 17 | \$29,952 | 0 | \$ |
| Laredo Community College | 573 | \$1,086,925 | 40 | \$26,000 | | \$598,393 | 168 | \$94,159 | 0 | \$ |
| Lee College | 117 | \$218,344 | * | \$600 | | \$105,200 | 13 | \$21,897 | 0 | \$ |
| Lone Star College System | 1,033 | \$1,879,323 | 9 | \$5,800 | | \$667,281 | 123 | \$230,091 | 0 | \$ |
| McLennan Community College | 325 | \$780,546 | 13 | \$8,600 | | \$206,836 | 34 | \$79,619 | 0 | \$ |
| Midland College | 50 | \$96,200 | 0 | \$0 | | \$108,085 | 10 | \$21,258 | 0 | \$ |
| Navarro College | 293 | \$612,241 | 11 | \$6,600 | | \$163,675 | 72 | \$61,664 | 0 | \$ |
| North Central College | 339 | \$690,921 | 0 | \$0,000 | | \$141,190 | 32 | \$62,122 | 0 | \$ |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 329 | \$583,573 | 0 | \$0 | | \$78,140 | 17 | \$22,226 | 0 | \$ |
| Odessa College | 49 | \$107,288 | 5 | \$3,000 | | \$86,068 | 9 | \$18,421 | 0 | \$ |
| Panola College | 104 | \$184,459 | 0 | \$0 | | \$35,985 | 14 | \$13,772 | 0 | \$ |
| Paris Junior College | 283 | \$634,237 | 6 | \$3,600 | | \$106,587 | 19 | \$36,571 | 0 | \$ |
| Ranger College | 77 | \$128,572 | 0 | \$0 | | \$89,174 | 10 | \$13,005 | 0 | \$ |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 692 | \$1,493,436 | 22 | \$13,600 | | \$383,711 | 49 | \$123,988 | 0 | \$ |
| South Plains College | 500 | \$1,054,856 | 20 | \$12,800 | | \$174,559 | 24 | \$39,281 | 13 | \$3,21 |
| South Texas College | 986 | \$2,227,022 | 20 | \$16,000 | | \$560,560 | 58 | \$154,010 | 0 | \$3,21 |
| | | | | | | | | | | ې \$ |
| | _ | | | | | | | | - | ې \$ |
| Southwest Texas Junior College Tarrant County College District | 429 1,603 | \$539,572 \$3,267,073 | 17 14 | \$10,600 \$8,400 | | \$142,086 \$784,687 | 20 87 | \$39,613 \$335,440 | 0 | |

| | | Grant | | rant | | k-Study | | -Study | | -Study |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|-----------|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | | Educational | - | n Percent | | deral | | College | | utional |
| | | unity Grant | | larship | | k-Study | | -Study | | -Study |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount |
| Temple College | 272 | \$436,417 | 0 | \$0 | 107 | \$196,181 | 23 | \$42,361 | 0 | \$(|
| Texarkana College | 237 | \$263,047 | 0 | \$0 | 33 | \$59,022 | 12 | \$17,874 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas Southmost College | 556 | \$914,071 | 5 | \$3,000 | 76 | \$198,708 | 17 | \$41,453 | 0 | \$(|
| Trinity Valley Community College | 362 | \$605,486 | 6 | \$3,600 | | \$105,849 | 56 | \$37,011 | 0 | \$(|
| Tyler Junior College | 252 | \$628,454 | 45 | \$28,200 | | \$63,529 | 27 | \$62,028 | 0 | \$ |
| Vernon College | 137 | \$284,647 | * | \$1,800 | | \$76,944 | 7 | \$17,892 | 0 | \$ |
| Victoria College | 149 | \$313,902 | 5 | \$3,000 | | \$87,003 | | \$22,513 | 0 | \$(|
| Weatherford College | 112 | \$198,750 | 0 | \$0 | 29 | \$68,732 | 20 | \$26,711 | 0 | \$(|
| Western Texas College | 18 | \$41,500 | 0 | \$0 | | \$27,186 | | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Wharton County Junior College | 238 | \$437,824 | 7 | \$5,800 | | \$95,697 | 15 | \$25,884 | 0 | \$(|
| | 0 | \$0 | 5 | \$0 | | \$0 | 2 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| PRIVATE JUNIOR COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 28 | \$23,189 | 12 | \$3,193 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jacksonville College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 28 | \$23,189 | 12 | \$3,193 | 0 | \$(|
| PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 0 | \$0 | 17 | \$13,800 | 418 | \$624,616 | 67 | \$57,272 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 0 | \$0 | * | \$10,800 | * | \$7,550 | * | \$14,082 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 0 | \$0 | * | \$3,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas Dental School, Houston | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 87 | \$147,894 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 191 | \$249,195 | 32 | \$22,024 | 0 | \$(|
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 74 | \$103,455 | 23 | \$11,909 | 0 | \$(|
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$116,522 | * | \$9,257 | 0 | \$(|
| PRIVATE HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 239 | \$409,082 | 18 | \$10,416 | 0 | \$0 |
| Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 80 | \$227,375 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Parker University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 91 | \$79,420 | 18 | \$10,416 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas Chiropractic College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 68 | \$102,287 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| PUBLIC STATE COLLEGES | 238 | \$1,536,532 | * | \$2,400 | 55 | \$171,893 | 29 | \$47,711 | 16 | \$20,031 |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 83 | \$474,133 | 0 | \$0 | 13 | \$50,928 | * | \$4,129 | 0 | \$(|
| Lamar State College-Orange | 61 | \$405,399 | 0 | \$0 | 23 | \$74,903 | * | \$15,157 | 0 | \$(|
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 94 | \$657,000 | * | \$2,400 | 19 | \$46,062 | 15 | \$28,425 | 16 | \$20,03 |
| PUBLIC TECHNICAL INSTITUTES | 660 | \$2,698,134 | 13 | \$8,600 | 266 | \$511,178 | 107 | \$98,222 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 326 | \$1,327,001 | 8 | \$5,600 | 111 | \$231,965 | 54 | \$43,829 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 20 | \$82,839 | 0 | \$0 | | \$69,985 | 5 | \$10,116 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 290 | \$1,184,894 | 5 | \$3,000 | 104 | \$184,739 | 33 | \$35,934 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 24 | \$103,400 | 0 | \$0 | 25 | \$24,489 | 15 | \$8,343 | 0 | \$(|

| | | k-Study | | rk-Study | | Loans | | Loans |
|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|--------|---------------|
| | | deral | | B3015 | Fede | ral Perkins | | College |
| | | eriCorps | | rk-Study | | Loan | - | cess Loan |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount |
| STATEWIDE TOTALS | 559 | \$1,359,959 | 717 | \$1,515,136 | 10,096 | \$32,017,153 | 8,734 | \$114,110,748 |
| PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES | 322 | \$823,458 | 717 | \$1,515,136 | 5,289 | \$15,339,955 | 4,030 | \$38,619,731 |
| Angelo State University | * | \$6,113 | 0 | \$0 | 16 | \$71,526 | 55 | \$369,503 |
| Lamar University | 7 | \$13,502 | 0 | \$0 | 32 | \$112,302 | 12 | \$96,824 |
| Midwestern State University | * | \$5,855 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 49 | \$344,171 |
| Prairie View A&M University | 13 | \$19,402 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 26 | \$218,580 |
| Sam Houston State University | 12 | \$21,682 | 0 | \$0 | 28 | \$96,204 | 132 | \$1,252,670 |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 7 | \$9,268 | 0 | \$0 | | \$141,361 | 102 | \$910,813 |
| Sul Ross State University | 0 | \$0 | 46 | \$80,977 | * | \$4,000 | 11 | \$105,828 |
| Tarleton State University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 143 | \$1,102,888 |
| Texas A&M International University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 7 | \$53,22 |
| Texas A&M University | 21 | \$49,265 | 0 | \$0 | 1,496 | \$2,523,992 | 484 | \$5,197,20 |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 36 | \$61,600 | 18 | \$220,418 |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$31,683 |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 15 | \$53,475 | 39 | \$360,265 |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 5 | \$5,731 | 292 | \$536,035 | 15 | \$49,424 | 85 | \$662,797 |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | * | \$2,906 | 0 | | * | \$3,000 | | |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | | | \$(|
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | |
| Texas Southern University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | | | | | \$339,425 |
| Texas State University | 25 | \$46,201 | 0 | | | 1.5 | | \$2,047,492 |
| Texas Tech University | 0 | \$0 | | | | 1.5 | | \$12,385,511 |
| Texas Woman's University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | 1.1 | | \$376,329 | | \$750,572 |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 27 | \$53,538 | 0 | 1.1 | | \$493,440 | | \$1,639,003 |
| The University of Texas at Austin | 69 | \$266,088 | 0 | | | . , | 276 | \$3,128,917 |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 0 | \$0 | | T | | | | |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | 12 | \$12,621 | 0 | T | | \$257,712 | - | \$895,546 |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 0 | \$0 | - | T | 34 | | 0 | |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | | | | \$339,472 | - | \$2,447,99 |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | 1.5 | | | | |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | τ- | | 1.5 | | \$85,69 |
| The University of Texas Pan-American | 40 | \$58,233 | 159 | 1.5 | 119 | \$525,202 | | \$58,178 |
| University of Houston | 26 | \$117,883 | 40 | | 22 | | | \$2,328,457 |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | * | \$6,721 | 38 | | * | \$20,000 | | \$67,761 |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 6 | \$15,715 | 0 | | | | | \$07,70 |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 5 | \$15,715 | 0 | - T | - | | | |
| University of North Texas | 36 | \$21,440 | 0 | | | \$229,694 | | \$1,299,612 |
| West Texas A&M University | 0 | \$91,294 | | 1.5 | | . , | | \$1,299,612 |
| WEST TEADS ARM UTIVEISILY | 0 | پ 0 | 0 | <u></u> پ٥ | 0 | <u></u> ۵ | 21 | \$100,10C |
| PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES | 45 | \$147,169 | 0 | \$0 | | \$11,761,207 | 4,112 | \$69,365,553 |
| Abilene Christian University | 0 | \$0 | | 7- | | \$240,850 | | \$5,055,580 |
| Austin College | * | \$10,544 | 0 | 1.1 | 151 | \$513,141 | 44 | |
| Baylor University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 243 | \$961,576 | 971 | \$21,297,382 |
| Concordia University Texas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 21 | \$207,514 |
| Dallas Baptist University | * | \$3,768 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 139 | \$1,499,863 |

| | | k-Study deral | | Work-Study Loans HB3015 Federal Perkins | | | | Loans College | | |
|---|----------------|------------------|----------------|---|------|-------------|----------------|------------------|--|--|
| | | riCorps | | -Study | | .oan | | ess Loan | | |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of | Amount | # of Awards | Amoun | | |
| East Texas Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 36 | \$97,525 | 39 | \$388,106 | | |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 46 | \$168,889 | 84 | \$1,047,927 | | |
| Houston Baptist University | * | \$13,518 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 50 | \$680,359 | | |
| Howard Payne University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 23 | \$97,250 | 106 | \$1,086,524 | | |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$23,918 | | |
| Jarvis Christian College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 24 | \$75,742 | 0 | \$(| | |
| Letourneau University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 260 | \$499,333 | 47 | \$561,37 | | |
| Lubbock Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 137 | \$393,778 | 33 | \$396,402 | | |
| McMurry University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 27 | \$74,291 | 45 | \$402,92 | | |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 5 | \$8,287 | 0 | \$0 | 125 | \$458,643 | 49 | \$415,239 | | |
| Paul Quinn College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| Rice University | 5 | \$26,603 | 0 | \$0 | 404 | \$2,114,119 | 141 | \$3,604,262 | | |
| Schreiner University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 42 | \$445,462 | | |
| South Texas College of Law | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$93,840 | | |
| Southern Methodist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$542,455 | 97 | \$1,900,965 | | |
| Southwestern Adventist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$15,783 | 12 | \$115,87 | | |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | * | \$1,174 | 0 | \$0 | 13 | \$68,750 | 40 | \$456,53 | | |
| Southwestern Christian College | * | \$1,468 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ | | |
| Southwestern University | * | \$2,550 | 0 | \$0 | 134 | \$398,935 | 32 | \$450,46 | | |
| St. Edward's University | 10 | \$18,504 | 0 | \$0 | 88 | \$335,373 | 285 | \$4,708,54 | | |
| St. Mary's University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 329 | \$1,301,858 | 188 | \$2,997,074 | | |
| Texas Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$984,667 | 672 | \$13,575,82 | | |
| Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$15,500 | | |
| Texas Lutheran University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 406 | \$525,474 | 32 | \$369,80 | | |
| Texas Wesleyan University | * | \$1,468 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 67 | \$761,084 | | |
| Trinity University | * | \$27,750 | 0 | \$0 | | \$775,928 | 67 | \$975,403 | | |
| University of Dallas | 5 | \$7,690 | 0 | \$0 | 92 | \$484,867 | 39 | \$508,93 | | |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$106,750 | 230 | \$2,768,680 | | |
| University of St. Thomas | * | \$23,845 | 0 | \$0 | 7 | \$23,800 | 9 | \$74,12 | | |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$406,104 | 145 | \$1,676,498 | | |
| Wayland Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 20 | \$59,959 | 19 | \$176,67 | | |
| Wiley College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 9 | \$35,367 | 0 | \$ | | |
| PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGES | 175 | \$313,917 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 242 | \$1,204,320 | | |
| Alamo Community College - Northeast Lakeview College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 | - | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$(| | |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$(\$(| | |

| | | -Study I eral | | Work-Study Loans HB3015 Federal Perkins | | | | ans lege |
|--|----------------|-------------------------|------|---|------|------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | iCorps | | -Study | | an | | is Loan |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of | Amount | # of | Amount | # of Awards | Amount |
| Alvin Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$11,270 |
| Amarillo College | * | \$1,851 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Angelina College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Austin Community College | 34 | \$77,795 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 11 | \$58,765 |
| Blinn College | 11 | \$25,733 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 43 | \$370,862 |
| Brazosport College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Central Texas College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cisco College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clarendon College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Coastal Bend College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$8,731 |
| Collin County Community College District | * | \$800 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$4,721 |
| Dallas County Community College District | 41 | \$51,520 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Del Mar College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| El Paso Community College District | 20 | \$22,216 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| Frank Phillips College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| Galveston College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grayson County College | 0 | ¢0 \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Hill College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | - | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Houston Community College | 18 | \$38,263 | | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Howard College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| | * | | | | | | 0 | |
| Kilgore College | | \$2,154 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | - | \$0 |
| Laredo Community College | * | \$8,360 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lee College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lone Star College System | * | \$1,762 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| McLennan Community College | 17 | \$48,460 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$15,517 |
| Midland College | * | \$753 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Navarro College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$5,500 |
| North Central College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$19,610 |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Odessa College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Panola College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Paris Junior College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| Ranger College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | - | \$0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | * | \$5,000 |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 0 | \$0 \$0 | - | پ 0 \$0 | | \$0 \$0 | * | \$29,265 |
| South Plains College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | - | 1. | | | 0 | |
| - | - | 1.1 | | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 |
| South Texas College | 14 | \$20,444 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 162 | \$644,681 |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Tarrant County College District | 5 | \$10,085 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |

| | | -Study | | -Study | | oans | | oans |
|---|----------------|----------|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|
| | | leral | | 3015 | | al Perkins | | ollege |
| | | iCorps | | -Study | | Loan | | ess Loan |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount |
| Temple College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$9,350 |
| Texarkana College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas Southmost College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Trinity Valley Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Tyler Junior College | * | \$3,721 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ |
| Vernon College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Victoria College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$(|
| Weatherford College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$21,048 |
| Western Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Wharton County Junior College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$ |
| , 5 | 7 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 10 | \$(|
| PRIVATE JUNIOR COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 |
| Jacksonville College | 0 | ; \$0 | 0 | ; \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 16 | \$65,340 | 0 | \$0 | 1,138 | \$3,615,991 | 328 | \$4,493,609 |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$1,000 | * | \$137,989 |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$274,224 | 46 | \$512,482 |
| The University of Texas Dental School, Houston | * | \$47,356 | 0 | \$0 | 102 | \$483,500 | 54 | \$713,464 |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$26,600 |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 113 | \$332,481 | 14 | \$184,576 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | * | \$17,984 | 0 | \$0 | 537 | \$1,000,000 | 76 | \$898,380 |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 225 | \$876,262 | 111 | \$1,729,237 |
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 93 | \$648,524 | 13 | \$290,88 |
| PRIVATE HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | * | \$10,075 | 0 | \$0 | 267 | \$1,300,000 | 0 | \$(|
| Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School | * | \$10,075 | 0 | \$0 | 267 | \$1,300,000 | 0 | \$(|
| Parker University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas Chiropractic College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| PUBLIC STATE COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Lamar State College-Orange | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| PUBLIC TECHNICAL INSTITUTES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 22 | \$427,535 |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | | \$(|
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 22 | \$427,535 |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$(|

| | | oans I Primary | For | Loans Ieral Direct | Eor | Loans deral Direct | E/ | Loans Ederal Direct |
|--|--------|-------------------|--------|-----------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| | | e Loan | | US Loan | | sidized Loan | | bsidized Loan |
| | # of | LUali | # of | | # of | | # of | |
| Institution | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount |
| STATEWIDE TOTALS | 11 | \$299,000 | 48,068 | \$625,319,128 | 354,315 | \$1,296,318,535 | 390,915 | \$2,548,583,550 |
| PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES | 0 | \$0 | 34,151 | \$376,812,889 | 202,659 | \$800,560,532 | 239,332 | \$1,513,259,517 |
| Angelo State University | 0 | \$0 | 465 | \$3,237,894 | 2,252 | \$7,979,869 | 3,112 | \$18,034,518 |
| Lamar University | 0 | \$0 | 264 | \$2,261,459 | 4,664 | \$17,556,707 | 7,939 | \$58,798,095 |
| Midwestern State University | 0 | \$0 | 435 | \$3,905,282 | 2,401 | \$9,084,930 | 2,764 | \$15,217,534 |
| Prairie View A&M University | 0 | \$0 | 643 | \$6,163,088 | 5,078 | \$19,720,095 | 6,349 | \$36,208,004 |
| Sam Houston State University | 0 | \$0 | 1,633 | \$9,496,836 | 8,083 | \$32,163,609 | 9,582 | \$54,463,764 |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 0 | \$0 | 1,706 | \$19,280,476 | 5,917 | \$23,514,066 | 6,905 | \$38,137,93 [,] |
| Sul Ross State University | 0 | \$0 | 54 | \$314,002 | 1,154 | \$4,265,279 | 1,338 | \$6,074,62 |
| Tarleton State University | 0 | \$0 | 757 | \$6,925,455 | 4,961 | \$18,786,252 | 6,248 | \$34,792,236 |
| Texas A&M International University | 0 | \$0 | 27 | \$138,655 | 2,770 | \$10,615,159 | 1,686 | \$8,099,938 |
| Texas A&M University | 0 | \$0 | 3,984 | \$51,232,245 | 10,813 | \$44,068,565 | 14,173 | \$93,765,79 |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 0 | \$0 | 223 | \$2,966,113 | 775 | \$3,217,907 | 928 | \$4,635,970 |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | 0 | \$0 | 13 | \$74,317 | 1,063 | \$4,416,416 | 1,241 | \$8,693,92 |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 0 | \$0 | 423 | \$3,739,339 | 4,251 | \$16,844,743 | 6,575 | \$51,358,958 |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 0 | \$0 | 1,138 | \$11,226,105 | 4,498 | \$17,020,492 | 5,385 | \$30,879,182 |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | 0 | \$0 | 247 | \$1,990,798 | 3,204 | \$12,132,723 | 3,539 | \$18,533,72 |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 8 | \$97,192 | 2,092 | \$8,642,704 | 2,386 | \$16,029,374 |
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 0 | \$0 | 15 | \$112,728 | 687 | \$2,610,472 | 831 | \$5,008,979 |
| Texas Southern University | 0 | \$0 | 1,207 | \$15,615,423 | 4,776 | | 6,408 | \$51,345,908 |
| Texas State University | 0 | \$0 | 4,090 | \$39,721,646 | 14,203 | \$57,636,401 | 16,772 | \$90,225,559 |
| Texas Tech University | 0 | \$0 | 2,039 | \$26,356,836 | 10,994 | \$43,976,322 | 14,637 | \$87,219,29 |
| Texas Woman's University | 0 | | 333 | \$1,916,311 | 5,225 | \$20,183,769 | 7,525 | \$57,252,56 |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 0 | \$0 | 436 | \$4,448,948 | 14,060 | \$54,666,619 | 16,608 | \$110,355,45 |
| The University of Texas at Austin | 0 | \$0 | 6,682 | \$90,275,241 | 11,417 | \$46,585,269 | 14,736 | \$103,952,890 |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 0 | \$0 | 11 | \$73,384 | 2,885 | \$10,226,505 | 1,790 | \$10,764,076 |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | . , | 4,837 | \$20,165,578 | 5,686 | \$40,117,76 |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 0 | \$0 | 280 | \$2,126,074 | 8,834 | \$34,778,087 | 7,807 | \$47,677,00 |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 0 | | 1,512 | \$11,034,394 | 11,381 | \$45,610,618 | 12,130 | \$61,620,490 |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 0 | | 473 | \$4,560,782 | 2,418 | | 3,440 | \$26,088,08 |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 26 | \$226,438 | 1,158 | | 1,349 | \$6,553,21 |
| The University of Texas Pan-American | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 177 | \$1,060,383 | 6,485 | | 5,262 | \$30,344,39 |
| University of Houston | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 1,086 | \$15,129,997 | 12,440 | | 13,332 | \$98,823,32 |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 31 | \$208,575 | 2,058 | | 2,753 | \$22,496,649 |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$200,575 | 5,893 | \$22,731,255 | 5,406 | \$31,740,429 |
| University of Houston-Victoria | 0 | 1.5 | 29 | _{\$0} \$202,482 | 1,560 | | 2,082 | \$31,740,42 |
| University of North Texas | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 3,482 | \$38,892,617 | 14,017 | \$57,075,091 | 16,356 | \$95,728,934 |
| West Texas A&M University | 0 | | 222 | \$1,801,374 | 3,355 | \$13,183,472 | 4,272 | \$93,728,93 |
| WEST TEAS ANT UNIVERSILY | 0 | ΨŪ | | φ1,001,374 | 5,555 | φ13,103, 1 72 | 7,272 | 706,010,100 |
| PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES | 0 | \$0 | 11.337 | \$217,532,090 | 43,586 | \$175,668,242 | 60,883 | \$454,006,024 |
| Abilene Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 303 | \$4,628,070 | 1,555 | \$6,557,563 | 2,285 | \$14,310,722 |
| Austin College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 292 | \$4,439,481 | 548 | | 633 | \$2,714,052 |
| Baylor University | 0 | 1.5 | 1,592 | \$41,495,276 | 5,393 | 1,7 - 7 - | 6,441 | \$34,451,931 |
| Concordia University Texas | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 1,352 | \$2,218,497 | 1,073 | | | \$15,158,435 |
| • | 0 | | | | | | , | |
| Dallas Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 420 | \$6,478,760 | 1,644 | \$6,380,606 | 2,849 | \$25,380,641 |

| | | ans | | Loans Loans | | | | Loans |
|--|----------------|----------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|
| | | Primary | | eral Direct | | deral Direct | | eral Direct |
| | | Loan | - | JS Loan | | sidized Loan | | sidized Loan |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amoun |
| East Texas Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 145 | \$1,673,098 | 791 | \$3,124,158 | 886 | \$4,228,07 |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 761 | \$3,130,119 | 1,140 | \$7,679,480 |
| Houston Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 321 | \$5,017,301 | 1,213 | \$4,757,046 | 1,785 | \$14,038,73 |
| Howard Payne University | 0 | \$0 | 122 | \$1,231,242 | 632 | \$2,346,648 | 719 | \$3,094,412 |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 0 | \$0 | 61 | \$541,352 | 924 | \$3,476,864 | 906 | \$4,276,44 |
| Jarvis Christian College | 0 | \$0 | 32 | \$332,258 | 691 | \$2,680,029 | 676 | \$3,254,49 |
| Letourneau University | 0 | \$0 | 120 | \$2,009,156 | 1,500 | \$5,693,398 | 1,942 | \$13,455,42 |
| Lubbock Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 137 | \$1,165,292 | 853 | \$3,505,822 | 1,362 | \$10,338,94 |
| McMurry University | 0 | \$0 | 89 | \$911,798 | 650 | \$2,535,510 | 663 | \$2,809,25 |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 184 | \$1,589,003 | 1,216 | \$4,870,765 | 2,866 | \$26,935,59 |
| Paul Quinn College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$133,210 | 262 | \$929,172 | 249 | \$957,78 |
| Rice University | 0 | \$0 | 132 | \$3,603,265 | 511 | \$1,618,719 | 609 | \$5,887,82 |
| Schreiner University | 0 | \$0 | 118 | \$1,491,738 | 770 | \$2,987,084 | 990 | \$4,809,67 |
| South Texas College of Law | 0 | \$0 | 664 | \$13,546,257 | 0 | \$0 | 830 | \$18,417,89 |
| Southern Methodist University | 0 | \$0 | 1,417 | \$39,630,500 | 1,517 | \$6,615,564 | 3,253 | \$34,658,52 |
| Southwestern Adventist University | 0 | \$0 | 48 | \$426,316 | 563 | \$2,268,592 | 549 | \$2,290,33 |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | 0 | \$0 | 217 | \$3,000,722 | 1,281 | \$5,004,407 | 1,455 | \$8,355,09 |
| Southwestern Christian College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$3,830 | 73 | \$213,720 | 65 | \$141,70 |
| Southwestern University | 0 | \$0 | 253 | \$4,147,897 | 694 | \$2,942,180 | 746 | \$2,770,66 |
| St. Edward's University | 0 | \$0 | 332 | \$5,634,036 | 2,119 | \$8,789,703 | 2,570 | \$16,188,75 |
| St. Mary's University | 0 | \$0 | 426 | \$8,197,943 | 1,372 | \$6,054,972 | 2,140 | \$22,491,49 |
| Texas Christian University | 0 | \$0 | 950 | \$25,264,542 | 1,798 | \$7,550,401 | 2,561 | \$18,041,84 |
| Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 115 | \$396,391 | 722 | \$2,597,994 | 693 | \$2,241,92 |
| Texas Lutheran University | 0 | \$0 | 107 | \$1,341,783 | 713 | \$2,982,925 | 796 | \$3,196,52 |
| Texas Wesleyan University | 0 | \$0 | 305 | \$6,152,468 | 841 | \$3,313,503 | 1,374 | \$13,641,71 |
| Trinity University | 0 | \$0 | 135 | \$2,077,611 | 653 | \$2,821,862 | , 748 | \$4,677,15 |
| University of Dallas | 0 | \$0 | 126 | \$2,009,992 | 564 | \$2,384,091 | 1,054 | \$9,422,90 |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 0 | \$0 | 535 | \$6,178,807 | 1,931 | \$7,621,519 | 2,208 | \$12,149,50 |
| University of St. Thomas | 0 | \$0 | 120 | \$1,653,892 | 615 | \$2,543,789 | 1,311 | \$12,538,89 |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 0 | \$0 | 1,203 | \$17,744,043 | 3,936 | \$15,912,327 | 5,630 | \$52,496,32 |
| Wayland Baptist University | 0 | \$0 | 73 | \$721,641 | 2,076 | \$7,520,690 | 2,806 | \$20,769,64 |
| Wiley College | 0 | \$0 | 55 | \$444,622 | 1,131 | \$4,456,665 | 1,093 | \$5,733,19 |
| PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 554 | \$3,951,570 | 99,480 | \$290,989,318 | 72,580 | \$255,009,41 |
| Alamo Community College - Northeast Lakeview College | | پر \$0 | | \$3,951,570 | 99,460 0 | \$290,989,518 \$0 | 1 2,560 0 | \$255,009,410 |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | 0 | \$0 \$0 | | \$50,099 | 1,134 | \$3,441,223 | 1,002 | \$3,623,71 |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | 0 | \$0 | | \$10,928 | 485 | \$1,470,271 | 398 | \$1,541,99 |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College | 0 | \$0 | 9 | \$65,182 | 2,014 | \$6,354,179 | 1,668 | \$6,453,78 |
| Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$2,872 | 852 | \$2,843,391 | 711 | \$2,905,53 |

| | | ans | Loans Loans | | | | Loans | | | |
|--|----------------|----------|----------------|-------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--|--|
| | | Primary | | ral Direct | | eral Direct | | eral Direct | | |
| | | Loan | - | S Loan | | dized Loan | | sidized Loan | | |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | | |
| Alvin Community College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$4,000 | 242 | \$716,658 | 78 | \$214,649 | | |
| Amarillo College | 0 | \$0 | 7 | \$65,668 | 1,628 | \$4,867,875 | 1,248 | \$4,744,333 | | |
| Angelina College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | |
| Austin Community College | 0 | \$0 | 65 | \$606,265 | 5,325 | \$14,339,951 | 2,364 | \$7,031,849 | | |
| Blinn College | 0 | \$0 | 207 | \$1,717,246 | 4,748 | \$14,819,828 | 3,396 | \$11,521,599 | | |
| Brazosport College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 96 | \$282,147 | 39 | \$134,296 | | |
| Central Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 11 | \$72,348 | 1,497 | \$4,470,945 | 1,152 | \$3,047,385 | | |
| Cisco College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 661 | \$1,794,682 | 701 | \$2,271,342 | | |
| Clarendon College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$4,536 | 315 | \$873,307 | 330 | \$1,207,279 | | |
| Coastal Bend College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 557 | \$1,551,260 | 556 | \$1,617,688 | | |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 277 | \$760,554 | 182 | \$578,858 | | |
| Collin County Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 17 | \$102,929 | 3,934 | \$11,075,101 | 3,753 | \$14,056,514 | | |
| Dallas County Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 5,854 | \$18,276,943 | 5,464 | \$16,584,946 | | |
| Del Mar College | 0 | \$0 | 9 | \$52,039 | 887 | \$2,223,774 | 237 | \$566,443 | | |
| El Paso Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,520 | \$4,276,599 | 1,161 | \$3,834,939 | | |
| Frank Phillips College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$9,742 | 158 | \$484,931 | 121 | \$422,691 | | |
| Galveston College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 187 | \$552,828 | 167 | \$531,937 | | |
| Grayson County College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$7,142 | 1,100 | \$3,278,945 | 801 | \$3,064,605 | | |
| Hill College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$24,637 | 1,188 | \$3,150,740 | 1,078 | \$4,131,238 | | |
| Houston Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 14,799 | \$41,037,126 | 12,895 | \$46,364,703 | | |
| Howard College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$18,354 | 399 | \$1,180,889 | 264 | \$924,141 | | |
| Kilgore College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | |
| Laredo Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | |
| Lee College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$8,636 | 212 | \$505,484 | 157 | \$498,781 | | |
| Lone Star College System | 0 | \$0 | 12 | \$57,578 | 14,409 | \$44,484,234 | 4,857 | \$22,255,987 | | |
| McLennan Community College | 0 | \$0 | 14 | \$53,871 | 3,397 | \$9,563,853 | 1,755 | \$5,637,619 | | |
| Midland College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 118 | \$311,655 | 77 | \$327,060 | | |
| Navarro College | 0 | \$0 | 13 | \$90,859 | 3,620 | \$10,499,259 | 3,134 | \$11,691,199 | | |
| North Central College | 0 | \$0 | 11 | \$75,246 | 2,071 | \$5,634,649 | 1,166 | \$3,335,696 | | |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 606 | \$1,869,416 | 629 | \$2,461,415 | | |
| Odessa College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$22,179 | 240 | \$716,768 | 211 | \$765,878 | | |
| Panola College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | |
| Paris Junior College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | |
| Ranger College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 485 | \$1,400,072 | 406 | \$1,417,680 | | |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 0 | ÷ \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,813 | \$5,460,982 | 1,736 | \$6,228,554 | | |
| South Plains College | 0 | \$0 | 55 | \$307,016 | 2,066 | \$6,327,229 | 2,048 | \$7,450,055 | | |
| South Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$8,344 | 383 | \$1,158,654 | 301 | \$951,052 | | |
| Tarrant County College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 6,880 | \$19,974,400 | 4,709 | \$15,470,632 | | |

| | | ans | | | | | | Loans |
|---|----------------|-----------|----------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|----------------|---------------|
| | | Primary | | eral Direct | | ral Direct | | eral Direct |
| | | Loan | | JS Loan | | dized Loan | | sidized Loan |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amoun |
| Temple College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$27,100 | 2,436 | \$7,554,331 | 2,048 | \$8,532,684 |
| Texarkana College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,100 | \$3,573,384 | 879 | \$2,984,93 |
| Texas Southmost College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$2,863 | 1,360 | \$4,103,539 | 695 | \$2,251,22 |
| Trinity Valley Community College | 0 | \$0 | 8 | \$37,176 | 1,546 | \$4,057,534 | 1,541 | \$4,330,039 |
| Tyler Junior College | 0 | \$0 | | \$407,482 | 3,668 | \$10,720,913 | 3,352 | \$10,528,42 |
| Vernon College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$16,590 | 1,106 | \$3,162,489 | 982 | \$3,330,47 |
| Victoria College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 450 | \$1,288,877 | 428 | \$1,451,09 |
| Weatherford College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 758 | \$2,168,868 | 727 | \$2,399,82 |
| Western Texas College | 0 | \$0 | * | \$15,815 | 114 | \$307,213 | 141 | \$425,91 |
| Wharton County Junior College | 0 | \$0 | | \$6,828 | 785 | \$2,021,368 | 835 | \$2,906,76 |
| | 0 | \$0 | 16 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$ |
| PRIVATE JUNIOR COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 59 | \$158,383 | 0 | \$ |
| Jacksonville College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 59 | \$158,383 | 0 | \$ |
| PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 11 | \$299,000 | 1,689 | \$21,965,372 | 2,769 | \$12,638,123 | 12,151 | \$278,148,336 |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 0 | \$0 | 160 | \$1,239,799 | 125 | \$590,670 | 1,521 | \$44,979,19 |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 0 | \$0 | 773 | \$10,092,103 | 973 | \$4,077,837 | 3,130 | \$57,726,07 |
| The University of Texas Dental School, Houston | 0 | \$0 | * | \$1,144,342 | 473 | \$2,171,847 | 1,761 | \$39,847,23 |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | 0 | \$0 | * | \$22,974 | * | \$551,495 | 97 | \$645,04 |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | * | \$159,000 | 187 | \$2,318,307 | 469 | \$2,307,282 | 1,637 | \$32,128,10 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | 0 | \$0 | 171 | \$2,508,315 | 607 | \$2,917,860 | 1,850 | \$42,033,57 |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | 0 | \$0 | 83 | \$950,479 | * | \$21,132 | 731 | \$20,663,21 |
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | * | \$140,000 | 232 | \$3,689,053 | 0 | \$0 | 1,424 | \$40,125,89 |
| PRIVATE HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 0 | \$0 | 247 | \$4,057,561 | 261 | \$749,602 | 1,259 | \$32,493,22 |
| Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School | 0 | \$0 | 146 | \$2,811,236 | 0 | \$0 | 493 | \$12,054,49 |
| Parker University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 261 | \$749,602 | 510 | \$10,557,69 |
| Texas Chiropractic College | 0 | \$0 | 101 | \$1,246,325 | 0 | \$0 | 256 | \$9,881,03 |
| PUBLIC STATE COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 1,679 | \$4,764,232 | 1,531 | \$5,307,13 |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 673 | \$1,868,848 | 661 | \$2,218,78 |
| Lamar State College-Orange | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 486 | \$1,582,802 | 426 | \$1,639,37 |
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 520 | \$1,312,582 | 444 | \$1,448,96 |
| PUBLIC TECHNICAL INSTITUTES | 0 | \$0 | 90 | \$999,646 | 3,822 | \$10,790,103 | 3,179 | \$10,359,904 |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 0 | \$0 | * | \$6,917 | 541 | \$1,603,761 | 237 | \$720,32 |
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 0 | \$0 | * | \$48,032 | 333 | \$814,744 | 345 | \$1,092,314 |
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 0 | \$0 | 71 | \$895,094 | 2,351 | \$6,666,158 | 2,111 | \$6,902,49 |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 0 | \$0 | * | \$49,603 | 597 | \$1,705,440 | 486 | \$1,644,768 |

| | | Loans | | Loans | | Loans | | ans |
|--|----------------|---------------|----------------|--------------|--------|-------------|----------------|------------|
| | | Other | | Texas | | ral TEACH | | 015 |
| | | -Term Loan | - | -Time Loan | | Grant | | her |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount |
| STATEWIDE TOTALS | 15,359 | \$156,392,104 | 9,504 | \$58,982,939 | 2,755 | \$8,627,773 | 52 | \$78,136 |
| PUBLIC UNIVERSITIES | 9,290 | \$83,155,377 | 6,768 | \$43,157,175 | 2,109 | \$6,688,693 | 51 | \$78,135 |
| Angelo State University | 101 | \$683,989 | 91 | \$438,221 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lamar University | 153 | \$1,227,769 | 122 | \$793,893 | 49 | \$141,917 | 0 | \$0 |
| Midwestern State University | 90 | \$641,744 | 41 | \$227,402 | 32 | \$92,787 | 0 | \$0 |
| Prairie View A&M University | * | \$39,694 | 117 | \$658,089 | * | \$16,015 | | \$0 |
| Sam Houston State University | 611 | \$5,689,713 | 261 | \$1,198,643 | 61 | \$162,031 | 0 | \$0 |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 232 | \$2,166,090 | 122 | \$883,670 | 179 | \$519,405 | 0 | \$0 |
| Sul Ross State University | 0 | \$0 | 13 | \$65,795 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Tarleton State University | 0 | \$0 | 115 | \$620,370 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M International University | 32 | \$189,903 | 89 | \$647,544 | * | \$1,982 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University | 1,183 | \$12,323,132 | 861 | \$5,407,330 | 152 | | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 125 | \$1,613,221 | 39 | \$170,318 | 0 | | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | * | \$35,264 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 77 | \$706,900 | 139 | \$691,099 | 374 | | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 177 | \$1,512,679 | 118 | \$745,968 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | 134 | \$1,069,459 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | | | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 31 | \$177,447 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | | | \$0 |
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 12 | \$71,521 | 14 | \$42,435 | 9 | | | \$0 |
| Texas Southern University | 0 | \$0 | 105 | \$381,175 | 42 | 1 ., | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas State University | 1,086 | \$9,430,602 | 473 | \$3,274,223 | 30 | | - | \$0 |
| Texas Tech University | 1,309 | \$13,677,589 | 436 | \$3,127,048 | 17 | 1 | | \$78,135 |
| Texas Woman's University | 581 | \$1,691,749 | 142 | \$917,340 | 29 | | | \$0 |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 576 | \$4,311,130 | 237 | \$1,651,532 | 430 | 1 | 0 | \$0 |
| The University of Texas at Austin | 424 | \$5,976,271 | 818 | \$6,038,612 | 0 | | | \$0 |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 0 | \$0 | 35 | \$173,803 | 0 | | | \$0 |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | 0 | \$0 | 204 | \$1,391,158 | * | 7.7 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 200 | \$1,328,243 | 172 | \$1,128,906 | 79 | \$3,013 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 0 | \$1,520,245 | 390 | \$2,245,236 | 166 | 1 .7. | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 42 | \$312,422 | 100 | | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 0 | \$0 | 39 | \$245,146 | 45 | | - | \$0 \$0 |
| The University of Texas of the Basin The University of Texas Pan-American | 7 | \$45,351 | 249 | \$1,504,415 | 277 | \$1,544,268 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| | 910 | | | | | | - | \$0 \$0 |
| University of Houston | 33 | \$8,720,031 | 682 | \$4,166,535 | 13 | | | |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | | \$205,237 | 19 | \$110,381 | 74 | | | \$0 |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 104 | \$691,431 | 43 | \$213,645 | 0 | 1.5 | | \$0 |
| University of Houston-Victoria | 47 | \$247,801 | 30 | \$134,455 | 10 | | | \$0 |
| University of North Texas | 826 | \$7,110,222 | 461 | \$3,209,374 | 22 | | 0 | \$0 |
| West Texas A&M University | 221 | \$1,571,195 | 49 | \$340,992 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| PRIVATE UNIVERSITIES | 4,273 | \$60,357,428 | | \$15,703,299 | 637 | \$1,909,973 | 0 | \$0 |
| Abilene Christian University | 223 | \$2,961,662 | 194 | \$757,221 | * | \$11,892 | | \$0 |
| Austin College | 41 | \$558,946 | 41 | \$285,530 | 7 | | 0 | \$0 |
| Baylor University | 1,237 | \$21,903,808 | 280 | \$2,166,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Concordia University Texas | 65 | \$629,519 | 24 | \$166,202 | 325 | \$1,010,836 | 0 | \$0 |
| Dallas Baptist University | 150 | \$1,618,736 | 124 | \$585,220 | 19 | | 0 | \$0 |

| | | Loans Other | | oans exas | | Loans Loa Federal TEACH HB3 | | |
|--|----------------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|--------|
| | | Term Loan | | Time Loan | | ant | | her |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount |
| East Texas Baptist University | 57 | \$558,051 | 59 | \$355,545 | 12 | \$42,584 | 0 | \$0 |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 128 | \$1,316,382 | 83 | \$370,310 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Houston Baptist University | 122 | \$1,506,168 | 107 | \$552,457 | 19 | \$46,222 | 0 | \$0 |
| Howard Payne University | 23 | \$202,943 | 65 | \$315,275 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 0 | \$0 | 48 | \$187,804 | 50 | \$144,144 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jarvis Christian College | * | \$5,761 | 12 | \$42,734 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Letourneau University | 134 | \$1,748,882 | 103 | \$445,155 | 26 | \$71,584 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lubbock Christian University | 72 | \$691,880 | 41 | \$309,000 | 6 | \$17,326 | 0 | \$0 |
| McMurry University | 51 | \$513,815 | 105 | \$580,284 | 18 | \$65,629 | 0 | \$0 |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 50 | \$537,482 | 52 | \$347,461 | * | \$949 | 0 | \$0 |
| Paul Quinn College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$2,000 | 0 | \$0 |
| Rice University | 0 | \$0 | 47 | \$299,310 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Schreiner University | 52 | \$549,570 | 67 | \$305,170 | 7 | \$27,748 | 0 | \$0 |
| South Texas College of Law | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Southern Methodist University | 266 | \$5,764,558 | 69 | \$500,067 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Southwestern Adventist University | 11 | \$93,385 | 28 | \$117,280 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | 68 | \$779,096 | 46 | \$229,000 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Southwestern Christian College | * | \$5,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Southwestern University | 87 | \$967,180 | 48 | \$326,884 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| St. Edward's University | 189 | \$2,730,489 | 155 | \$924,383 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| St. Mary's University | 136 | \$1,967,141 | 164 | \$635,019 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas Christian University | 266 | \$4,340,066 | 137 | \$1,018,891 | * | \$12,755 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas College | 5 | \$38,400 | 0 | \$0 | 14 | \$33,751 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas Lutheran University | 70 | \$725,795 | 59 | \$396,460 | 7 | \$19,820 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas Wesleyan University | 57 | \$570,203 | 55 | \$372,945 | 21 | \$58,093 | 0 | \$0 |
| Trinity University | 340 | \$2,533,135 | 48 | \$376,000 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| University of Dallas | 118 | \$1,645,283 | 39 | \$116,215 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 149 | \$1,671,336 | 102 | \$772,800 | | \$28,300 | 0 | \$0 |
| University of St. Thomas | 65 | \$827,383 | 43 | \$314,280 | | \$86,405 | 0 | \$0 |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 0 | \$0 | 198 | \$1,045,785 | 39 | \$124,795 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wayland Baptist University | 34 | \$359,202 | 63 | \$458,653 | 16 | \$45,777 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wiley College | 5 | \$36,171 | 5 | \$27,959 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| PUBLIC COMMUNITY COLLEGES | 485 | \$3,170,055 | 10 | \$21,737 | 9 | \$29,107 | 0 | \$0 |
| Alamo Community College - Northeast Lakeview College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Alamo Community College - Northwest Vista College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Alamo Community College - Palo Alto College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Alamo Community College - San Antonio College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Alamo Community College - St. Philip's College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |

| | | oans ther | | ans xas | | ans I TEACH | | ans 3015 |
|--|----------------|----------------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|
| | Long-T | erm Loan | B-On-Ti | me Loan | Gr | ant | Ot | her |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount |
| Alvin Community College | 11 | \$93,412 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Amarillo College | 26 | \$171,692 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Angelina College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Austin Community College | 76 | \$447,661 | * | \$5,831 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Blinn College | 163 | \$1,235,780 | * | \$7,857 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Brazosport College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Central Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Cisco College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 ¢0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Clarendon College | | \$4,450 | - | \$0 | - | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Coastal Bend College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 7 | \$26,423 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Collin County Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Dallas County Community College District | 11 | \$52,236 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Del Mar College | 50 | \$327,312 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| El Paso Community College District | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Frank Phillips College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$2,000 | 0 | \$0 |
| Galveston College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Grayson County College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Hill College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Houston Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | * | \$23,399 | 0 | \$0 |
| Howard College | * | \$22,615 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Kilgore College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Laredo Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lee College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lone Star College System | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| McLennan Community College | 12 | \$44,932 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Midland College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Navarro College | 14 | \$84,613 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | * | \$3,708 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| North Central College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0,700 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 0 | پ و \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Odessa College | * | _{\$0} \$17,300 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | \$0 \$0 |
| Panola College | | \$0 | - | \$0 | | \$0 | - | |
| Paris Junior College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Ranger College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 24 | \$156,731 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| South Plains College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| South Texas College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Tarrant County College District | 58 | \$376,605 | * | \$8,049 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |

| | | oans | | oans | | ans | | ans |
|---|----------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|--------|----------------|--------|
| | (| Other | | exas | Federal TEACH | | HB3 | 8015 |
| | | Term Loan | - | ime Loan | - | ant | | her |
| Institution | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount | # of Awards | Amount |
| Temple College | 8 | \$45,974 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texarkana College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas Southmost College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Trinity Valley Community College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| Tyler Junior College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Vernon College | 7 | \$26,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Victoria College | 7 | \$36,319 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| Weatherford College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| Western Texas College | 0 | \$0 | | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Wharton County Junior College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| | 3 | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | 3 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| PRIVATE JUNIOR COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | Ū | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Jacksonville College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| PUBLIC HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 1,030 | \$8,164,386 | 15 | \$100,728 | 0 | \$0 | * | * |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 110 | \$1,144,356 | * | \$22,514 | 0 | \$0 | * | * |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 122 | \$1,470,348 | * | \$23,280 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| The University of Texas Dental School, Houston | 283 | \$1,755,387 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | 5 | \$52,766 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | 304 | \$2,108,131 | 6 | \$30,934 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio | 78 | \$815,771 | * | \$24,000 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | 61 | \$238,640 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | 67 | \$578,987 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| PRIVATE HEALTH-RELATED INSTITUTIONS | 281 | \$1,544,858 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Baylor College of Medicine-Medical School | 281 | \$1,544,858 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Parker University | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas Chiropractic College | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| PUBLIC STATE COLLEGES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lamar State College-Orange | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$C |
| PUBLIC TECHNICAL INSTITUTES | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |

Appendix F: Students Who Demonstrated Need and Received Aid

| | Aggregate Statistics | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|
| Type of Institution | Number of Aid Recipients | Grants & Scholarships | Work- Study | Loans | Total Financial Aid | Unmet Need | Other Aid | | |
| Private or Independent Institutions | 80,119 | \$1,054,241,345 | \$17,221,237 | \$977,599,956 | \$2,049,062,538 | \$2,490,846,508 | \$0 | | |
| Public Two-Year Institutions | 355,142 | \$1,193,666,829 | \$18,338,324 | \$540,313,437 | \$1,752,318,590 | \$4,738,266,828 | \$0 \$0 | | |
| Public Universities and HRIs | 376,064 | \$1,942,429,807 | \$31,917,213 | \$2,866,530,894 | \$4,840,877,914 | \$7,334,738,420 | \$78,136 | | |
| Grand Total | 811,325 | \$4,190,337,981 | \$67,476,774 | \$4,384,444,287 | \$8,642,259,042 | \$14,563,851,756 | \$78,136 | | |
| All Public Institutions | 731,206 | \$3,136,096,636 | \$50,255,537 | \$3,406,844,331 | \$6,593,196,504 | \$12,073,005,248 | \$78,136 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Average n | er Recinient I | Population | | | | | |
| Type of Institution | Number of Aid Pecinients | Average p Grants & Scholarships | er Recipient F Work- Study | Population Loans | Total Financial Aid | Unmet Need | Other Aid | | |
| Type of Institution | | Grants & | Work- | | | Unmet Need | Other Aid | | |
| Type of Institution Private or Independent Institutions | of Aid | Grants & | Work- | Loans | Financial Aid | | | | |
| | of Aid Recipients | Grants & Scholarships | Work- Study | | | Unmet Need \$31,089 \$13,342 | Other Aid \$0 \$0 | | |
| Private or Independent Institutions | of Aid Recipients 80,119 | Grants & Scholarships \$13,158 | Work- Study \$215 | Loans \$12,202 | Financial Aid \$25,575 | \$31,089 | \$0 | | |
| Private or Independent Institutions Public Two-Year Institutions | of Aid Recipients 80,119 355,142 | Grants & Scholarships \$13,158 \$3,361 | Work- Study \$215 \$52 | Loans \$12,202 \$1,521 | Financial Aid \$25,575 \$4,934 | \$31,089 \$13,342 | \$0 \$0 | | |

| | Public Universities and HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two- Year Institutions | All Institutions |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Undergraduate | 304,752 | 61,710 | 353,716 | 720,178 |
| Graduate | 54,661 | 13,417 | 0 | 68,078 |
| Professional | 10,874 | 4,712 | 0 | 15,586 |
| 2nd BA | 5,777 | 280 | 1,426 | 7,483 |
| Total | 376,064 | 80,119 | 355,142 | 811,325 |
| Male | 152,498 | 32,191 | 132,474 | 317,163 |
| Female | 223,566 | 47,928 | 222,668 | 494,162 |
| Total | 376,064 | 80,119 | 355,142 | 811,325 |
| Resident | 354,273 | 65,126 | 336,088 | 755,487 |
| Nonresident | 18,626 | 13,971 | 17,346 | 49,943 |
| Unknown | 0 | 841 | 0 | 841 |
| N'l Merit Finalist (TEG) | 0 | 136 | 0 | 136 |
| HB103 Resident | 3,165 | 45 | 1,708 | 4,918 |
| Total | 376,064 | 80,119 | 355,142 | 811,325 |
| White | 126,124 | 34,298 | 96,894 | 257,316 |
| African American | 60,325 | 13,752 | 80,034 | 154,111 |
| Hispanic | 142,135 | 21,496 | 149,438 | 313,069 |
| Asian | 25,262 | , 3,354 | 11,398 | 40,014 |
| Other | 22,218 | 7,219 | 17,378 | 46,815 |
| Total | 376,064 | 80,119 | 355,142 | 811,325 |
| | 202 222 | 60 710 | 105 542 | |
| Full-time | 302,723 | 68,710 | 185,543 | 556,976 111,976 |
| 3/4-time 1/2-time | 33,537 34,456 | 3,414 7,331 | 75,025 72,567 | 111,976 114,354 |
| Less than 1/2-time | 5,348 | 664 | 22,007 | 28,019 |
| Total | 376,064 | 80,119 | 355,142 | 811,325 |

| | Public Universities and HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two-Year Institutions | All Institutions |
|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| Income < 10,000 | 83,651 | 13,987 | 111,000 | 208,638 |
| Income < 15,000 | 29,385 | 4,207 | 37,027 | 70,619 |
| Income < 20,000 | 28,565 | 4,216 | 36,985 | 69,766 |
| Income < 25,000 | 25,924 | 4,079 | 32,673 | 62,676 |
| Income < 30,000 | 22,056 | 3,918 | 25,943 | 51,917 |
| Income < 35,000 | 20,529 | 3,862 | 21,857 | 46,248 |
| Income < 40,000 | 19,021 | 3,694 | 18,130 | 40,845 |
| Income < 45,000 | 17,131 | 3,568 | 14,640 | 35,339 |
| Income < 50,000 | 15,046 | 3,246 | 11,810 | 30,102 |
| Income < 55,000 | 13,109 | 2,805 | 9,387 | 25,301 |
| Income < 60,000 | 11,222 | 2,470 | 7,697 | 21,389 |
| Income < 65,000 | 9,916 | 2,221 | 6,079 | 18,216 |
| Income < 70,000 | 8,913 | 2,107 | 4,801 | 15,821 |
| Income < 75,000 | 8,100 | 2,012 | 3,752 | 13,864 |
| Income < 80,000 | 7,562 | 1,930 | 3,068 | 12,560 |
| Income < 85,000 | 6,989 | 1,844 | 2,314 | 11,147 |
| Income < 90,000 | 6,568 | 1,749 | 1,843 | 10,160 |
| Income < 95,000 | 6,183 | 1,684 | 1,417 | 9,284 |
| Income < 100,000 | 5,531 | 1,564 | 1,087 | 8,182 |
| Income >= 100,000 | 30,663 | 14,956 | 3,632 | 49,251 |
| Total | 376,064 | 80,119 | 355,142 | 811,325 |

| | Public Universities and HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two- Year Institutions | All Institutions |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Undergraduate | 21,774 | | 69,960 | 93,619 |
| Graduate | 5,930 | 975 | 0 | 6,905 |
| Professional | 419 | 346 | 0 | 765 |
| 2nd BA | 1,301 | 53 | 1,146 | 2,500 |
| Total | 29,424 | 3,259 | 71,106 | 103,789 |
| Male | 12,856 | 1,391 | 29,112 | 43,359 |
| Female | 16,568 | 1,868 | 41,994 | 60,430 |
| Total | 29,424 | 3,259 | 71,106 | 103,789 |
| Resident | 27,443 | 2,289 | 67,306 | 97,038 |
| Nonresident | 1,660 | 582 | 3,220 | 5,462 |
| Unknown | C | 387 | 0 | 387 |
| N'l Merit Finalist (TEG) | C | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HB103 Resident | 321 | . 1 | 580 | 902 |
| Total | 29,424 | 3,259 | 71,106 | 103,789 |
| White | 11,267 | 1,338 | 21,426 | 34,031 |
| African American | 3,720 | 586 | 11,631 | 15,937 |
| Hispanic | 9,841 | 900 | 30,382 | 41,123 |
| Asian | 2,276 | 166 | 3,652 | 6,094 |
| Other | 2,320 | 269 | 4,015 | 6,604 |
| Total | 29,424 | 3,259 | 71,106 | 103,789 |
| Full-time | 14,112 | 1,544 | 16,302 | 31,958 |
| 3/4-time | 3,789 | | 11,577 | |
| 1/2-time | 5,315 | 631 | 19,538 | 25,484 |
| Less than 1/2-time | 6,208 | 901 | 23,689 | 30,798 |
| Total | 29,424 | 3,259 | 71,106 | 103,789 |

Appendix G: Students Who Demonstrated Need and Did Not Receive Aid.

| | Public Universities and HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two-Year Institutions | All Institutions |
|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------|
| Income < 10,000 | 5,531 | 731 | 17,686 | 23,948 |
| Income < 15,000 | 1,647 | 149 | 4,797 | 6,593 |
| Income < 20,000 | 1,655 | 194 | 5,049 | 6,898 |
| Income < 25,000 | 1,608 | 174 | 5,335 | 7,117 |
| Income < 30,000 | 1,507 | 156 | 4,712 | 6,375 |
| Income < 35,000 | 1,415 | 154 | 3,882 | 5,451 |
| Income < 40,000 | 1,404 | 149 | 3,493 | 5,046 |
| Income < 45,000 | 1,284 | 162 | 3,050 | 4,496 |
| Income < 50,000 | 1,203 | 142 | 2,743 | 4,088 |
| Income < 55,000 | 1,117 | 120 | 2,468 | 3,705 |
| Income < 60,000 | 991 | 96 | 2,406 | 3,493 |
| Income < 65,000 | 919 | 86 | 2,263 | 3,268 |
| Income < 70,000 | 889 | 77 | 2,150 | 3,116 |
| Income < 75,000 | 888 | 84 | 1,899 | 2,871 |
| Income < 80,000 | 805 | 63 | 1,728 | 2,596 |
| Income < 85,000 | 765 | 58 | 1,454 | 2,277 |
| Income < 90,000 | 740 | 64 | 1,162 | 1,966 |
| Income < 95,000 | 673 | 63 | 937 | 1,673 |
| Income < 100,000 | 603 | 45 | 743 | 1,391 |
| Income >= 100,000 | 3,780 | 492 | 3,149 | 7,421 |
| Total | 29,424 | 3,259 | 71,106 | 103,789 |

Appendix H: Students Who Did Not Demonstrate Need and Received Aid.

| Type of Institution | Number of Aid Recipients | Grants & Scholarships | Work-Study | Loans | Total Financial Aid | Other Aid |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------|
| Private or Independent | | | | | | |
| Institutions | 7,714 | \$74,391,204 | \$2,339 | \$69,007,486 | \$143,401,029 | \$0 |
| Public Two-Year Institutions | 12,608 | \$7,926,957 | \$13,514 | \$46,710,637 | \$54,651,108 | \$0 |
| Public Universities and HRIs | 40,742 | \$63,463,299 | \$56,216 | \$340,488,520 | \$404,008,035 | \$0 |
| Grand Total | 61,064 | \$145,781,460 | \$72,069 | \$456,206,643 | \$602,060,172 | \$0 |
| All Public Institutions | 53,350 | \$71,390,256 | \$69,730 | \$387,199,157 | \$458,659,143 | \$0 |

Average Award per Recipient Population

| Type of Institution | Number of Aid Recipients | Grants & Scholarships | Work-Study | Loans | Total Financial Aid | Other Aid |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------|------------------------|-----------|
| Private or Independent | | | | | | |
| Institutions | 7,714 | \$9,644 | \$0 | \$8,946 | \$18,590 | \$0 |
| Public Two-Year Institutions | 12,608 | \$629 | \$1 | \$3,705 | \$4,335 | \$0 |
| Public Universities and HRIs | 40,742 | \$1,558 | \$1 | \$8,357 | \$9,916 | \$0 |
| Totals | 61,064 | \$11,830 | \$3 | \$21,008 | \$32,841 | \$0 |
| All Public Institutions | 53,350 | \$2,186 | \$2 | \$12,062 | \$14,251 | \$0 |

| | Public Universities and HRIs | Private or Independent Institutions | Public Two- Year Institutions | All Institutions |
|--------------------------|------------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------|
| Undergraduate | 35,782 | 6,550 | 12,539 | 54,871 |
| Graduate | 4,380 | 1,054 | 0 | 5,434 |
| Professional | 205 | 90 | 0 | 295 |
| 2nd BA | 375 | 20 | 69 | 464 |
| Total | 40,742 | 7,714 | 12,608 | 61,064 |
| Male | 17,204 | 3,165 | 5,410 | 25,779 |
| Female | 23,538 | 4,549 | 7,198 | 35,285 |
| Total | 40,742 | 7,714 | 12,608 | 61,064 |
| Resident | 39,120 | 5,700 | 12,160 | 56,980 |
| Nonresident | 1,610 | 1,907 | 448 | 3,965 |
| Unknown | 0 | 77 | 0 | 77 |
| N'l Merit Finalist (TEG) | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| HB103 Resident | 12 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| Total | 40,742 | 7,714 | 12,608 | 61,064 |
| White | 24,444 | 5,189 | 7,147 | 36,780 |
| African American | , 3,362 | 486 | 1,505 | 5,353 |
| Hispanic | 8,879 | 1,129 | 3,008 | 13,016 |
| Asian | 1,960 | 253 | 268 | 2,481 |
| Other | 2,097 | 657 | 680 | 3,434 |
| Total | 40,742 | 7,714 | 12,608 | 61,064 |
| Full-time | 34,315 | 6,846 | 7,914 | 49,075 |
| 3/4-time | 2,680 | 248 | 2,357 | 5,285 |
| 1/2-time | 3,190 | 520 | 2,029 | 5,739 |
| Less than 1/2-time | 557 | 100 | 308 | 965 |
| Total | 40,742 | 7,714 | 12,608 | 61,064 |

Appendix I: Percent of Enrollment Receiving Tuition Equalization Grants, by Ethnicity.

| | Texas Private or | African | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| | White | American | Hispanic | Asian | Other | Total |
| Abilene Christian University | 17.4% | 24.3% | 33.9% | 19.1% | 10.6% | 19.6% |
| Austin College | 16.5% | 28.1% | 29.3% | 20.3% | n/a | 32.1% |
| Baylor University | 11.9% | 31.9% | 36.5% | 24.8% | 8.6% | 17.0% |
| Concordia University Texas | 26.9% | 29.6% | 34.4% | 31.5% | 28.9% | 29.49 |
| Dallas Baptist University | 18.3% | 16.6% | 27.7% | 23.7% | 2.7% | 17.29 |
| East Texas Baptist University | 31.9% | 48.0% | 46.2% | 40.0% | 34.0% | 37.09 |
| Hardin-Simmons University | 27.4% | 34.2% | 38.9% | 28.6% | 13.5% | 28.59 |
| Houston Baptist University | 7.7% | 14.3% | 40.9% | 9.7% | 67.8% | 24.29 |
| Howard Payne University | 27.9% | 47.6% | 46.0% | 50.0% | 24.5% | 33.19 |
| Huston-Tillotson University | 26.8% | 36.7% | 35.7% | 16.7% | 8.2% | 34.29 |
| Jacksonville College | 20.2% | 24.7% | 27.6% | 16.7% | 13.8% | 22.39 |
| Jarvis Christian College | 10.0% | 30.6% | 29.6% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 29.29 |
| Letourneau University | 22.9% | 20.7% | 32.2% | 28.1% | 8.5% | 20.39 |
| Lubbock Christian University | 22.1% | 26.8% | 35.7% | 0.0% | 4.4% | 24.6% |
| McMurry University | 32.0% | 48.2% | 42.3% | 26.7% | 20.9% | 36.6% |
| Our Lady of the Lake University of San Antonio | 7.9% | 8.8% | 28.3% | 10.4% | 10.0% | 19.6% |
| Parker University | 17.1% | 11.9% | 9.6% | 12.7% | 10.7% | 14.79 |
| Paul Quinn College | 20.0% | 38.0% | 38.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 37.39 |
| Rice University | 6.2% | 16.9% | 21.5% | 15.1% | 3.4% | 9.0% |
| Schreiner University | 25.2% | 10.6% | 40.1% | 0.0% | n/a | 30.0% |
| South Texas College of Law | 63.4% | 66.3% | 64.4% | 52.4% | 61.8% | 62.79 |
| Southern Methodist University | 10.1% | 17.5% | 25.3% | 23.0% | 3.0% | 11.69 |
| Southwestern Adventist University | 18.0% | 23.1% | 37.3% | 28.0% | 25.5% | 27.89 |
| Southwestern Assemblies of God University | 18.2% | 18.5% | 34.0% | 12.5% | 20.8% | 21.49 |
| Southwestern Christian College | 0.0% | 14.1% | 27.3% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 12.99 |
| Southwestern University | 21.4% | 50.0% | 41.1% | 22.2% | 20.6% | 26.79 |
| St. Edward's University | 15.7% | 27.2% | 36.1% | 29.0% | 11.7% | 23.59 |
| St. Mary's University | 11.3% | 24.8% | 44.3% | 30.0% | 7.2% | 29.89 |
| Texas Chiropractic College | 51.5% | 48.7% | 67.6% | 52.0% | 0.0% | 49.39 |
| Texas Christian University | 7.5% | 27.8% | 28.3% | 24.3% | 3.8% | 10.89 |
| Texas College | 22.2% | 30.4% | 36.5% | 0.0% | n/a | 31.39 |
| Texas Lutheran University | 17.3% | 25.9% | 27.7% | 5.9% | n/a | 35.09 |
| Texas Wesleyan University | 27.3% | 39.3% | 51.4% | 27.9% | 4.4% | 26.19 |
| Trinity University | 12.1% | 43.1% | 32.2% | 12.5% | 7.7% | 16.4° |
| University of Dallas | 10.3% | 17.1% | 27.7% | 14.4% | 4.7% | 12.99 |
| University of Mary Hardin-Baylor | 20.6% | 24.6% | 0.1% | 20.9% | 84.2% | 28.29 |
| University of St. Thomas | 17.1% | 39.1% | 32.1% | 32.3% | 11.1% | 24.99 |
| University of the Incarnate Word | 11.4% | 14.8% | 28.0% | 18.1% | 10.5% | 20.69 |
| Wayland Baptist University | 12.9% | 9.0% | 13.7% | 0.0% | 6.7% | 11.89 |
| Wiley College | 28.0% | 26.3% | 41.8% | 0.0% | 12.1% | 25.79 |
| Grand Total | 15.3% | 25.2% | 31.6% | 21.1% | 14.4% | 20.1% |

NOTE: This appendix is provided in accordance with the General Appropriations Act, which requires independent colleges and universities that enroll students receiving Tuition Equalization Grants to report to the THECB regarding the diversity of their student body and faculty.

| FY 2015 Exemptions and Waivers by Institution | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|--|--|
| | Exemp | otions | V | Vaivers | | |
| | <u>Awards</u> | Dollars | <u>Awards</u> | Dollars | | |
| Public Universities | 81,026 | \$228,313,464 | 43,594 | \$355,025,052 | | |
| Angelo State University | 492 | \$2,423,672 | 460 | \$3,567,148 | | |
| La mar University | 955 | \$4,607,141 | 1,500 | \$10,450,47 | | |
| Midwestern State University | 390 | \$1,761,969 | 541 | \$4,552,32 | | |
| Prairie View A&M University | 553 | \$3,867,604 | 484 | \$4,296,32 | | |
| Sam Houston State University | 5,309 | \$10,972,505 | 624 | \$4,944,15 | | |
| Stephen F. Austin State University | 4,297 | \$6,565,157 | 305 | \$2,167,84 | | |
| Sul Ross State University | 4,137 | \$1,119,256 | 123 | \$423,17 | | |
| Tarleton State University | 890 | \$4,665,959 | 194 | \$1,729,093 | | |
| Texas A&M International University | 1,932 | \$3,307,809 | 384 | \$2,817,71 | | |
| Texas A&M University | 5,391 | \$25,398,400 | 6,005 | \$50,527,35 | | |
| Texas A&M University at Galveston | 256 | \$1,408,804 | 100 | \$850,47 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Central Texas | 422 | \$946,340 | 293 | \$3,456,68 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Commerce | 739 | \$3,267,474 | 605 | \$3,465,77 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Corpus Christi | 3,175 | \$6,635,277 | 642 | \$5,089,07 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Kingsville | 1,843 | \$2,926,532 | 587 | \$4,426,93 | | |
| Texas A&M University-San Antonio | 680 | \$1,640,122 | 33 | \$164,34 | | |
| Texas A&M University-Texarkana | 140 | \$422,094 | 580 | \$3,688,13 | | |
| Texas Southern University | 492 | \$3,305,240 | 655 | \$5,152,25 | | |
| Texas State University | 10,223 | \$19,272,926 | 1,147 | \$5,145,97 | | |
| Texas Tech University | 9,164 | \$24,801,557 | 3,600 | \$28,504,97 | | |
| Texas Woman's University | 961 | \$2,744,765 | 409 | \$3,149,03 | | |
| The University of Texas at Arlington | 4,451 | \$10,235,771 | 2,039 | \$15,064,30 | | |
| The University of Texas at Austin | 4,024 | \$19,121,140 | 6,570 | \$64,042,96 | | |
| The University of Texas at Brownsville | 633 | \$2,505,677 | 423 | \$3,830,83 | | |
| The University of Texas at Dallas | 2,831 | \$6,454,391 | 2,232 | \$24,922,34 | | |
| The University of Texas at El Paso | 1,068 | \$4,749,295 | 2,402 | \$18,684,97 | | |
| The University of Texas at San Antonio | 3,089 | \$11,912,473 | 1,498 | \$10,176,50 | | |
| The University of Texas at Tyler | 854 | \$3,697,496 | 345 | \$2,814,40 | | |
| The University of Texas of the Basin | 206 | \$680,205 | 152 | \$40,28 | | |
| The University of Texas Pan-American | 2,122 | \$4,563,233 | 693 | \$5,327,37 | | |
| University of Houston | 2,582 | \$10,203,162 | 3,079 | \$28,338,83 | | |
| University of Houston-Clear Lake | 484 | \$1,506,773 | 1,178 | \$4,448,54 | | |
| University of Houston-Downtown | 588 | \$1,923,430 | , 63 | \$533,82 | | |
| University of Houston-Victoria | 197 | \$730,149 | 39 | \$347,52 | | |
| University of North Texas | 2,889 | \$13,724,438 | 1,994 | \$16,772,654 | | |
| West Texas A&M University | 2,343 | \$3,495,695 | 1,580 | \$10,998,97 | | |

Appendix J. Exemptions and Waivers

| FY 2015 Exemptions and Waivers by Institution | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|--------------|--------|--------------|--|--|--|
| | Exemp | otions | W | aivers | | | |
| | Awards | Dollars | Awards | Dollars | | | |
| Community Colleges | 133,205 | | 10,831 | \$12,880,021 | | | |
| Alamo Community College District | 13,445 | \$19,064,230 | * | \$25,446 | | | |
| Alvin Community College | 2,443 | \$757,991 | 49 | \$70,201 | | | |
| Amarillo College | 2,793 | \$1,657,722 | 52 | \$52,556 | | | |
| Angelina College | 131 | \$151,113 | 20 | \$29,928 | | | |
| Austin Community College | 11,352 | \$13,382,190 | 23 | \$163,131 | | | |
| Blinn College | 1,147 | \$1,713,555 | 99 | \$160,751 | | | |
| Brazosport College | 171 | \$169,340 | 6 | \$10,287 | | | |
| Central Texas College | 926 | \$792,892 | 5,761 | \$7,615,132 | | | |
| Cisco College | 159 | \$254,401 | 49 | \$20,988 | | | |
| Clarendon College | 35 | \$65,728 | 44 | \$36,735 | | | |
| Coastal Bend College | 119 | \$282,101 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| College of the Mainland Community College District | 1,668 | \$1,146,214 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Collin County Community College District | 982 | \$587,451 | 291 | \$432,619 | | | |
| Dallas County Community College District | 19,079 | \$11,096,617 | 222 | \$234,369 | | | |
| Del Mar College | 3,494 | \$2,673,463 | 218 | \$203,520 | | | |
| El Paso Community College District | 7,397 | \$7,754,670 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Frank Phillips College | 21 | \$46,195 | * | \$452 | | | |
| Galveston College | 214 | \$153,197 | 93 | \$127,407 | | | |
| Grayson College | 191 | \$215,966 | 238 | \$167,948 | | | |
| Hill College | 155 | \$192,953 | 9 | \$3,200 | | | |
| Houston Community College | 9,272 | \$6,617,396 | 13 | \$20,996 | | | |
| Howard County Junior College District | 1,479 | \$890,061 | 81 | \$148,819 | | | |
| Kilgore College | 241 | \$455,632 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Laredo Community College | 622 | \$395,442 | 101 | \$89,506 | | | |
| Lee College | 2,566 | \$1,304,990 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Lone Star College System District | 11,586 | \$6,515,958 | 85 | \$21,512 | | | |
| McLennan Community College | 755 | \$1,086,504 | 80 | \$114,708 | | | |
| Midland College | 230 | \$264,263 | 66 | \$72,423 | | | |
| Navarro College | 334 | \$524,164 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| North Central Texas College | 2,289 | \$778,453 | 185 | \$91,599 | | | |
| Northeast Texas Community College | 223 | \$217,651 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Odessa College | 147 | \$238,518 | 89 | \$107,255 | | | |
| Panola College | 71 | \$156,483 | 186 | \$108,280 | | | |
| Paris Junior College | 1,566 | \$563,538 | 189 | \$175,083 | | | |
| Ranger College | 58 | \$136,925 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| San Jacinto Community College District | 4,259 | \$3,447,951 | 1,635 | \$1,370,061 | | | |
| South Plains College | 2,542 | \$2,178,678 | 300 | \$101,852 | | | |
| South Texas College | 17,154 | \$21,008,769 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Southwest Texas Junior College | 606 | \$501,048 | 8 | \$12,040 | | | |
| Tarrant County College District | 1,661 | \$1,098,551 | 259 | \$599,850 | | | |
| Temple College | 360 | \$691,828 | 51 | \$117,100 | | | |
| Texarkana College | 106 | \$152,025 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Texas Southmost College | 2,504 | \$841,401 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Trinity Valley Community College | 2,210 | \$886,371 | 47 | \$35,599 | | | |
| Tyler Junior College | 1,805 | \$1,475,544 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Vernon College | 655 | \$365,334 | 133 | \$178,320 | | | |
| Victoria College | 266 | \$313,626 | 0 | \$0 | | | |
| Weatherford College | 1,279 | \$913,924 | 36 | \$54,751 | | | |
| Western Texas College | 261 | \$316,821 | 104 | \$105,598 | | | |
| Wharton County Junior College | 176 | \$349,244 | 0 | \$0 | | | |

| FY 2015 Exemptions and Waivers by Institution | | | | |
|--|---------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Exemptions | | Waivers | |
| | <u>Awards</u> | Dollars | <u>Awards</u> | Dollars |
| Public Health-Related Institutions | 4,949 | \$5,391,804 | 3,055 | \$24,139,153 |
| Texas A&M University System Health Science Center | 80 | \$678,881 | 264 | \$1,925,879 |
| Texas Tech University Health Sciences Center | 4,129 | \$2,146,697 | 303 | \$2,969,775 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at | | | | |
| Houston | 112 | \$486,732 | 785 | \$6,499,776 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at San | | | | |
| Antonio | 194 | \$824,439 | 330 | \$3,167,815 |
| The University of Texas Health Science Center at Tyler | 0 | \$0 | 0 | \$0 |
| The University of Texas M.D. Anderson Cancer Center | 5 | \$5,375 | 16 | \$87,242 |
| The University of Texas Medical Branch at Galveston | 97 | \$574,518 | 131 | \$1,419,434 |
| The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center | 29 | \$229,768 | 1,004 | \$5,619,746 |
| University of North Texas Health Science Center | 303 | \$445,394 | 222 | \$2,449,486 |
| | | | | |
| State Colleges | 1,032 | \$1,080,573 | 486 | \$2,587,510 |
| Lamar Institute of Technology | 478 | \$299,627 | 83 | \$180,910 |
| Lamar State College-Orange | 67 | \$156,718 | 351 | \$2,117,322 |
| Lamar State College-Port Arthur | 487 | \$624,228 | 52 | \$289,278 |
| | | | | |
| Technical College System | 5,166 | \$5,689,787 | 97 | \$270,822 |
| Texas State Technical College-Harlingen | 3,304 | \$2,720,468 | 28 | \$57,038 |
| Texas State Technical College-Marshall | 340 | \$346,553 | 27 | \$120,816 |
| Texas State Technical College-Waco | 989 | \$2,115,754 | 35 | \$67,167 |
| Texas State Technical College-West Texas | 533 | \$507,012 | 7 | \$25,801 |
| Grand Total | 225,378 | \$357,320,709 | 58,063 | \$394,902,558 |

Source: IFRS, 2015

Appendix K: Resources

College Board. (2015). *Trends in Student Aid 2015.* Retrieved August 31, 2016 from <u>https://trends.collegeboard.org/student-aid</u>

Department of Numbers. (Nd.). *Texas Household Income.* Retrieved August 31, 2016 from <u>http://www.deptofnumbers.com/income/texas/</u>

Snyder, T., de Bray, C, Dillow, S. (2016). *Digest of Education Statistics 2014*. Retrieved August 31, 2016 from <u>http://nces.ed.gov/pubs2016/2016006.pdf</u>

Short, Kathleen. (2011). *The Research Supplemental Poverty Measure: 2010*. Retrieved August 31, 2016 from <u>https://www.census.gov/prod/2011pubs/p60-241.pdf</u>

Short, Kathleen. (2012). *The Research Supplemental Poverty Measure: 2011.* Retrieved August 31, 2016 form <u>https://www.census.gov/prod/2012pubs/p60-244.pdf</u>

Short, Kathleen. (2013). *The Research Supplemental Poverty Measure: 2012.* Retrieved August 31, 2016 form <u>https://www.census.gov/prod/2013pubs/p60-247.pdf</u>

Short, Kathleen. (2014). *The Research Supplemental Poverty Measure: 2014.* Retrieved August 31, 2016 form <u>https://www.census.gov/content/dam/Census/library/publications/2014/demo/p60-251.pdf</u>

Texas Charter for Higher Education. (1987). Retrieved August 31, 2016 from <u>http://www.thecb.state.tx.us/reports/PDF/0081.PDF</u>.

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board. (2015). Annual Texas Success Initiative High School Summary Report. Retrieved August 31, 2016 from <u>http://www.thecb.state.tx.us/reports/PDF/6849.PDF</u>

Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board. (2016). Sources and Uses of Funds General Academic Institutions, Health-Related Institutions, Lamar State Colleges and Texas State Technical Colleges. Retrieved August 31, 2016 from

http://www.thecb.state.tx.us/reports/PDF/7261.PDF?CFID=46913962&CFTOKEN=75836810



This document is available on the Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board website: http://www.thecb.state.tx.us

For more information contact:

Charles W. Puls, Ed.D, Deputy Assistant Commissioner Student Financial Aid Programs Texas Higher Education Coordinating Board P.O. Box 12788 Austin, TX 78711 PHONE (512) 427-6365 <u>charles.puls@thecb.state.tx.us</u>